Brevard Public Schools

Fairglen Elementary School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Diamaia a fan Inconscionad	40
Planning for Improvement	13
Donition College 9 Familiana and	
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Fairglen Elementary School

201 INDIAN TRL, Cocoa, FL 32927

http://www.fairglen.brevard.k12.fl.us

Demographics

Principal: Christy Meraz A

Start Date for this Principal: 8/26/2022

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-6
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	Yes
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2021-22: C (41%) 2018-19: C (48%) 2017-18: C (42%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	ATSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Brevard County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Fairglen Elementary School

201 INDIAN TRL, Cocoa, FL 32927

http://www.fairglen.brevard.k12.fl.us

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2021-22 Title I School	Disadvan	2 Economically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-6	School	Yes		100%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Report	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		28%
School Grades Histo	pry			
Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	С		С	С

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Brevard County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We, the students, staff, parents, and community of Fairglen Elementary, work together as a team to provide a nurturing and safe environment that promotes academic and personal excellence, encourages independent thinkers, and inspires young minds to reach full potential. (Rev. 18/19)

Provide the school's vision statement.

Fairglen Elementary School's vision is to develop well-rounded, productive and successful citizens by serving every student with excellence as the standard. (Rev. 18/19)

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Meraz, Christy	Principal	School Leadership Team, Monitoring Progress Monitoring, Data Collection, Data Analysis, ESSA Subgroups Data Identification and collection, Instructional Coaching, Professional Development, Collaborative Planning, FCRR Walkthrough Tool
Patrylow, Lisel	Assistant Principal	Discipline Data and Intervention, Professional Development, Instructional Coaching, Subgroup Data Monitoring, School Leadership, ESOL Contact, Attendance/Truancy, Collaborative Planning, FCRR Walkthrough Tool
Mark, Diane	Reading Coach	Instructional Coaching, Professional Development, School Leadership Team, Data Monitoring, Intervention Assistance and Design, Collaborative Planning, FCRR Walkthrough Tool
Walker, Colleen	Instructional Coach	Instructional Coaching, Professional Development, School Leadership Team, Data Monitoring, Intervention Assistance and Design, Collaborative Planning
Delida, Laurie	Instructional Coach	PBIS Coach/ESE Team Lead: Assists with data tracking for discipline, serves as LEA, assists teachers with BIP Creation and Intervention, School Leadership Team, Mentoring, Data Monitoring

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 8/26/2022, Christy Meraz A

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

16

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

48

Total number of students enrolled at the school

590

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

3

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

la dia eta u	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	86	83	79	75	69	79	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	568
Attendance below 90 percent	18	38	20	25	23	24	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	178
One or more suspensions	0	3	2	7	3	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	28	36	31	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	129
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	36	39	44	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	147
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	65	37	38	13	17	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	185

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	2	1	5	4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	9	4	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22		
Students retained two or more times	0	2	1	5	4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	22		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/26/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	83	72	74	67	74	88	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	538
Attendance below 90 percent	16	18	12	15	20	13	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
One or more suspensions	0	1	3	2	2	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Level 1 on 2021 FSA ELA	0	0	0	2	24	32	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
Level 1 on 2021 FSA Math	0	0	0	2	36	43	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	107

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ide L	.ev	el					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	rotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	2	3	22	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	93

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	4	9	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	83	72	74	67	74	88	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	538
Attendance below 90 percent	16	18	12	15	20	13	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
One or more suspensions	0	1	3	2	2	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Level 1 on 2021 FSA ELA	0	0	0	2	24	32	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
Level 1 on 2021 FSA Math	0	0	0	2	36	43	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	107

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

L. Parker				Grade Level										
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	2	3	22	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	93

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	4	9	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2022			2021		2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	32%	61%	56%				45%	62%	57%	
ELA Learning Gains	42%						52%	60%	58%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	32%						52%	57%	53%	
Math Achievement	35%	49%	50%				45%	63%	63%	
Math Learning Gains	55%						51%	65%	62%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	51%						45%	53%	51%	
Science Achievement	41%	60%	59%				44%	57%	53%	

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY S	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	9	33	32	16	42	39	14				
ELL	21	25		21	75						
BLK	25	42		13	25						
HSP	28	30		32	67		45				
MUL	39	40		48	55		50				
WHT	32	44	30	35	55	50	41				
FRL	30	39	30	32	54	44	33				
		2021	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	17	47	42	17	41	27	24				
ELL	23			38							
BLK	29	46		29	62						
HSP	33	67		41	53						
MUL	38			33							
WHT	39	58	60	35	51	32	28				
FRL	31	58	50	29	53	42	24				
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	18	46	54	18	36	34	21				
ELL	38			38							
BLK	27	29		36	43						
HSP	25	52		30	25						
MUL	44	42		39	50						
WHT	48	55	57	47	55	47	47				
FRL	36	48	51	37	51	46	40				

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	41
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	288
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	99%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	26
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	36
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	26
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	40
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	46
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	

Pacific Islander Students					
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%					
White Students					
Federal Index - White Students	41				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	37				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

These scores can be attributed to: Summer Slide/Chronic Absences/Skill deficits due to Distance Learning and lack of instructional focus on standards aligned, rigorous grade level core instruction.

Data:

ELA Learning Gains: 42%

ELA Lowest 25th Percentile 32% SSA: Science 41% Proficiency Math Learning Gains: 55% Math Lowest 25th Percentile 51%

Trends:

ELA Proficiency of 32% continues (3 years) to trend below district/state average 60% Math Proficiency of 35% continues to be below district/state average 58% The number of discipline referrals have increased over the last 2 years (299 to 402)

ESSA Federal Index:

Students with Disabilities: 26% and below 41% for 3 consecutive years

Black/African American Students: 25% and below 41% for 2 consecutive years

English Language Learners: 36% and below 41% for 1 consecutive year

Hispanic Students: 40% and below 41% for 3 consecutive years

Economically Disadvantaged Students: 37% and below 41% for 1 consecutive year

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

iReady Diagnostic 3 Data from 2021-2022 SY shows the following percent per grade level that are performing two or more grade levels below:

1st Grade: 5% 2nd Grade: 20% 3rd Grade: 14% 4th Grade: 17% 5th Grade: 28% 6th Grade: 35%

iReady Diagnostic 3 Data from 2021-2022 SY shows the following percent per grade level that are performing two or more grade levels below:

Students with Disabilities: 36% (Total of 169 students)

Hispanic: 38% (Total of 46 students)

Black or African American: 7% (Total of 44 students)

Economically Disadvantaged Students: 17%(Total of 397 students)

iReady Diagnostic 3 Data from 2021-2022 SY shows deficiency in Phonics in Grades 1-6. The following represents the percent of students performing two or more grade levels below:

1st Grade: 4% 2nd Grade: 32% 3rd Grade: 23% 4th Grade: 21% 5th Grade: 11% 6th Grade: 10%

Data Components:

SSA: Science 41% Proficiency FSA: ELA 32% Proficiency FSA: Math 35% Proficiency

Our data components showed the lowest performance achievement/proficiency ratings in ELA. Contributing factors include: Chronic Absences/Summer Slide/Skill deficits due to Distance Learning and as noted above, a lack of standards-aligned core instruction. Increasing students' learning gains remains the focus when making key decisions for instruction.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Areas of concern with student achievement include Reading and Math. The number of students reaching proficiency level have declined in both state level assessments and iReady diagnostics. Contributing factors include:

Implementation of new standards/curriculum in ELA Student Chronic Absenteeism Lack of rigorous core instruction in ELA and Math

ELA Action Plan:

Professional Development - Science of Reading Walkthroughs using FCRR Tool Collaborative Planning Math Action Plan:
New Standards

New Curriculum
Data Tracking - Test data in Performance Matters
Walkthroughs by Coach and Administration
Collaborative Planning
Ongoing Professional Development

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Improvement in Science - 29% to 41% Proficient based on state assessment data.

41% proficiency on science exceeded the 5th Grade ELA Proficiency of 33%.

Closing the gap with state/district: State average Science 5th grade was 48% and district average was 55%.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Students participated in Super Science Saturdays. These lessons were taken back to the classroom to present to all students in 5th Grade. PENDA was used with fidelity on a daily basis and our school received the PENDA science power performer award. Teachers participated in collaborative planning sessions with a focus on science instruction.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

To accelerate learning, professional development opportunities will be provided to support the ELA and Math Curriculum. PENDA will be implemented to strengthen science instruction. PENDA Reports/Data will be utilized to drive instructional needs. Monthly MTSS Meetings will be conducted to monitor and target Intervention based on areas of deficiency and to identify students in need of intensive small group instruction. District Assessments will be conducted at the end of each Benchmark to make instructional decisions and identify students in need of small group instruction for remediation of skills.

Strategies:

Daily Core Phonics Program in 1st and 2nd Grade
Targeted Small Group Instruction
On-going Professional Development
Observation and Feedback
Focus on standards aligned, rigorous core instruction

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, the following professional development opportunities will be provided:

Benchmark Advance/Universe (Kinder-5th) and SAAVAS (6th Grade) - ELA Curriculum Benchmark - Math Curriculum

MTSS - Intervention/Small Group Instruction

Conscious Discipline and Restorative Practices - Improve attendance/support emotional needs of students

Science of Reading--in partnership with State Regional Literacy Director/RAISE

Shifting the Balance--focused on student engagement

Teach Like a Champion--focused on classroom engagement

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Intentionality to impact students based on student data (money, people, time) - Title 1 Plan to include supporting student instruction; Plethora of resources to utilize during academic instruction; Intervention to occur daily to close academic gaps; Looking at data during Grade Level Meetings to address the individual needs of students to drive instruction

Services to include:

Eckerd on Activity Wheel (Prevention)

Science:

PENDA

ELA Curriculum

Intervention Resources:

Lexia

LLI

95%

Math Curriculum:

Benchmark

Professional Development on the Science of Reading will provide teachers the tools to have a deeper understanding of reading acquisition. Teachers will hone in on explicit instruction, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing. Teachers will also be provided tools on decoding strategies to support students.

Professional Development on MTSS: Monitor student progress with interventions Analyze FAST Progress Monitoring and iReady Data after Diagnostics

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Discipline

The number of discipline referrals increased significantly: 2020-2021 (299 referrals) 2021-2022 (402 referrals). This increase may be attributed to students being on remote learning during the 2020-21 school year, thus reducing the number of students in the building. The following data represents the referrals by grade level during the 2021-2022 School Year:

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Kindergarten: 42 Referrals 1st Grade: 45 Referrals 2nd Grade: 72 Referrals 3rd Grade: 37 Referrals 4th Grade: 31 Referrals 5th Grade: 32 Referrals

6th Grade: 143 Referrals

6th Grade represents 25% or more of combined referrals.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific

measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

measurable outcome the During the 2022-2023 school year, we will decrease the amount of office school plans to achieve. referrals by 25% from 402 referrals to 300 referrals.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring:

- * Data Analysis (Monitor Reports). Data will be pulled off of focus bi-weekly.
- * Classroom Walkthroughs/Feedback
- * Risk ratio report monthly
- * Grade Level Meetings

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Fairglen will utilize Conscious Discipline with fidelity in conjunction with restorative practices. These together have been shown to:

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. Improve the social and emotional skills of students Improve the social and emotional skills of teachers

Increase student academic readiness Increase student academic achievement

Improve the quality of student-teacher interactions

Improve school climate

Decrease aggression in preschool children

Decrease impulsivity and hyperactivity in "difficult" students

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the

Student behavior impacts all students in the classroom. In order to continue to improve the

achievement of all students, we must work to improve behaviors that impact the environment. With an overarching emphasis on using data to determine the effectiveness of its techniques, PBIS reflects the application of explicit resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

values and evidence-based practices to build a MTSS that is practical, durable, and available to all." (Florida PBIS Project)

Conscious Discipline is a research-based comprehensive self-regulation program that

combines social and emotional learning with discipline and guidance. Built on a foundation of current brain

research, the School Family[™] is constructed from safety, connection and problem-solving. Restorative practices is an emerging social science that studies show to strengthen relationships between individuals as well as develop social connections within communities.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Grade Level Representatives will participate in monthly PBIS Meetings to review student data, discuss students in Tier 2 interventions and their data, and identify students needing Tier 3 supports. Teachers will implement PBIS and Restorative Circles daily with fidelity.

This includes:

- * identifying classroom procedures that align with school-wide expectations
- * teaching and reinforcing expectations in common areas around campus

(Title I: Purchased Teach like a Champion, Restorative Circles, The First Days of School)

Person Responsible Laurie Delida (delida.laurie@brevardschools.org)

PBIS Team will provide professional development for staff during pre-planning and as needed throughout the year.

Person Responsible Laurie Delida (delida.laurie@brevardschools.org)

PBIS Team will share discipline data with staff and stakeholders during monthly PBIS meeting (team leads will share weekly in grade level meetings).

Person Responsible Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Social worker and Eckerd staff will be utilized to support students through small group and individual meetings increasing our focus on mental health supports and prevention.

Person Responsible Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Teachers will work with Administration and teams to create Behavior Intervention Plans as soon as behaviors are identified to track data and implement interventions to address individual behavioral needs

Person Responsible Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Teachers will complete Conscious Discipline, Teach Like a Champion, and Restorative Circles/Practices training and books to become more aware of our reactions and approaches to discipline situations. (Title I: Purchased materials)

Person Responsible Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Math Proficiency of 35% continues to be below district/state

average 58%

Math Learning Gains: 55%

Math Lowest 25th Percentile: 51%

Student achievement in Math (FSA) over 50% of students in 4th

and 5th Grade scored a Level 1:

4th - 56% 5th - 56%

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

The percentage of our students that are proficient in Math based on 2021-22 FSA DATA:

3rd Grade - 27% 4th Grade - 35% 5th Grade - 35% 6th Grade - 41%

ESSA Subgroup Data

SWD:16% ELL: 21% BLK: 13% HSP: 32% MUL: 48% WHT: 35% FRL: 32%

percentages.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

* Classroom Walkthroughs/Feedback

* Grade Level Meetings/Collaborative Planning (weekly)

During the 2022-2023 school year, we will increase Math

Proficiency from 35% to 50% on the FAST PM3 Summative

These increases will bring us closer to the district and state

* Long Range Planning (monthly)

Data for 3rd to 6th Grade Students.

* Data Analysis (Monitor Reports (iReady, FAST, and REVEAL)

-- New Math Curriculum

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

Grade level teams will be focused on collaborative planning with Math Coach and Admin, utilizing new curriculum and grade level material, student supports for skill deficits, common assessments, and

analyzing data.

Teams will consist of the grade level teachers, ESE Teachers, and Administration.

Through our GLTs, we will focus on the following influences on student achievement from

John Hattie's work:

Teacher Clarity - 0.75 effect size

Teacher Estimates of Achievement: 1.44 ES

Comprehensive instructional programs for teachers - 0.72 ES

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Response to Intervention - 1.09 ES Scaffolding - 0.58 ES

Working in our Grade Level Teams, taking a PLC Approach, our leadership team is utilizing research from Amplify Your Impact: Coaching Collaborative Teams in PLCs at

Work.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

"High achieving schools build a school environment where working together to solve

problems and to learn from each other become cultural norms." {Westwood 2000 Teachers

who learn, kids who achieve; A look at schools with model professional development}

"The likelihood of using new learning and sharing responsibility rises when colleagues

guided by a coach, work together and hold each other accountable for improved teaching

and learning." {Annenberg Institute for School Reform, 2004}

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Grade level teams will meet during pre-planning and monthly to create plans to ensure grade level alignment. Grade Level Teams will utilize new curriculum (Benchmark) for instruction.

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

During monthly Grade Level Meetings, teams will evaluate student data to determine effectiveness and impact to student learning. Additionally, math manipulatives will be shared during grade level meetings and used in classroom instruction. (Title I: Purchased manipulatives)

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

School-based leadership will collaborate monthly to analyze data and target areas for coaching.

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

School leadership will facilitate classroom walkthroughs to observe instruction and implementation of grade level collaborative plans and instructional supports. Walkthrough data will be utilized to create "next steps" plans through coaching and feedback to ensure we are meeting the targeted school initiatives.

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

School leadership will monitor specific subgroup data.

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

Students will take ownership of math data and set goals via quarterly data chats with teachers.

Person Responsible

Lisel Patrylow (patrylow.lisel@brevardschools.org)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Student achievement in SSA - The percentage of our students

that are proficient in

Science is 41% in 2021-2022.

Improvement in Science - 29% to 41% Proficient

41% proficiency on science exceeded the 5th Grade ELA

State average was 48% and district average was 55%

Proficiency of 33%

Area of Focus Description and Still trending below state/district averages: Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need

from the data reviewed.

Subgroup Data:

SWD: 14% Proficient HSP: 45% Proficient MUL: 50% Proficient WHT: 40% Proficient FRL: 33% Proficient

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Science Proficiency for fifth grade students will increase from 41% to 50% based on the end of the year Florida Standards outcome the school plans to achieve. NGSSS. These increases will bring us closer to the district and state percentages.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

* Data Analysis (Monitor Reports and Assessments--Penda progress monitoring, District

Assessments)

- * Classroom Walkthroughs/Feedback
- * Grade Level Meetings
- * Collaborative Planning

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Grade level teams will be focused on collaborative planning,

utilizing complex grade level

material, evaluating aligned tasks, writing to the text, student supports for skill deficits,

common assessments, and analyzing data. Teams will consist

of the grade level teachers.

Title 1 supports (Teachers and IAs), ESE Teachers, Literacy

Coach, and Administration.

Through our GLTs, we will focus on the following influences on

student achievement from

John Hattie's work:

Teacher Clarity - 0.75 effect size

Teacher Estimates of Achievement: 1.44 ES

Comprehensive instructional programs for teachers - 0.72 ES

Scaffolding - 0.58 ES

Rationale for Evidence-based

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based

strategy being implemented for this

Strategy:

Area of Focus.

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Working in our Grade Level Teams, taking a PLC Approach, our

leadership team is

utilizing research from Amplify You Impact: Coaching

Collaborative Teams in PLCs at

Work.

"High achieving schools build a school environment where

working together to solve

problems and to learn from each other become cultural norms." {Westwood 2000 Teachers

who learn, kids who achieve; A look at schools with model professional development}

"The likelihood of using new learning and sharing responsibility rises when colleagues

guided by a coach, work together and hold each other accountable for improved teaching

and learning." {Annenberg Institute for School Reform, 2004}

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Grade level teams will meet during pre-planning and monthly to create long range plans to ensure grade level alignment.

Grade Level Teams will utilize STEM SCOPES and PENDA for instruction.

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

School leadership will facilitate classroom walkthroughs to observe instruction and implementation of grade level plans and instructional supports. Walkthrough data will be utilized to create "next steps" plans to ensure we are meeting the targeted school initiatives.

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

School leadership will monitor monthly reports on PENDA mastery of content.

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

Students will take ownership of science data using PENDA reports on mastery of content and set goals via quarterly data chats with teachers.

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

School leadership will monitor specific subgroup data.

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

School purchased hands-on science lab materials for instruction.

(Title I: Purchased science lab materials)

Person Responsible

Christy Meraz (meraz.christy@brevardschools.org)

RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

D3 iReady data from 21-22 shows that 50% of students in grades K-2 are not on track to score grade level or above on the statement ELAS assessment.

Areas of concern:

Phonological Awareness

Phonics

* Planning sessions need to have a clear structure to focus on the alignment of benchmarks, resources. student tasks, assessments, and the transfer to instruction. Additionally, better understanding of the science of reading is warranted.

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

21-22 FSA Data shows: 70% of 3rd Graders, 71% of 4th Graders, and 67% of 5th Graders scored below grade level (Level 1 and 2). Therefore we believe that increasing grades 3 to 5 literacy achievement at tier I level, will help ensure that these gaps will not be as prominent in 3-5 in future years. Fairglen's ESE Population is 29%

Subgroup Data:

SWD: 9% Proficient ELL: 21% Proficient BLK: 25% Proficient HSP: 28% Proficient MUL: 39% Proficient WHT: 32% Proficient FRL: 30% Proficient

PM 1 Data 2022:

3rd - 28% Proficient 4th - 31% Proficient 5th - 47% Proficient

6th - 47% Proficient

The Focus Areas: Comprehension Vocabulary

Multi-Syllabic Words Morphology

Planning sessions need to have a clear structure to focus on the alignment of benchmarks, resources, student tasks, assessments, and the transfer to instruction. Additionally, adherence to the core BENCHMARK Advance curriculum will be a key lever for instruction.

Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50
 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

By the Spring 2023 FAST PM3, literacy achievement will increase by from a baseline of 30% to 60% on grade level for K-2 students.

Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

By the Spring 2023 FAST PM3, ELA Proficiency will increase from FSA 32% proficient to FAST 2022 60% proficient.

Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

PM 1, PM 2, FAST PM3
i-Ready D1 and D2
FCRR Walkthroughs with feedback - monthly
Benchmark Advance Assessments
Intervention Data - Intervention instruction to specifically target identified gaps - daily

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Meraz, Christy, meraz.christy@brevardschools.org

Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Explicit Instruction - Frequent opportunities for guided/independent practice

Systematic instruction - Opportunities for students to practice previous content to progress toward learning goals

Scaffolded instruction - Gradual release until student(s) can perform independently

Lexia - Aligns with PA, Phonics, Fluency B.E.S.T. Standards/Systematic and structured approach to the six critical areas for reading

95% Group - Systematic and explicit instructional on foundational skills utilizing evidence-based practices Corrective Feedback- Provides student opportunity for self-correction

Collaborative Planning - Supports implementation of Benchmark Advance

iReady - The approach helps accelerate grown and grade-level learning

Benchmark Advance - Aligned/Focus on systematic, explicitness of instruction and reinforcing the "Why" - Science of Reading

John Hattie's: 1.57

Teacher Clarity - 0.75 effect size

Teacher Estimates of Achievement: 1.44 ES

Comprehensive instructional programs for teachers - 0.72 ES

Phonics Instruction - 0.60 ES
Writing Program - 0.46 ES

Response to Intervention - 1.09 ES

Scaffolding - 0.58 ES

Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

All evidence-based practices/programs listed above address the identified need that is improving primary literacy achievement. The identified programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population as they are:

- * B.E.S.T. Standards Aligned
- * Aligned with the Brevard K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-Based Reading Plan
- * Systematic and/or Explicit
- * Geared towards struggling readers with an emphasis on Foundational Skills such as Phonological Awareness and Phonics

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- · Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step	Person Responsible for Monitoring
Grade level teams will meet during pre-planning and participate in collaborative planning weekly to ensure grade level alignment. Plans will include learning cycles to ensure assessment match the expectations of the benchmark. Grade Level Teams will utilize Benchmark Advance Units and Savvas Units developed at the district level to ensure alignment, pacing, and scaffolding. (Title I: Literacy Coach)	Meraz, Christy, meraz.christy@brevardschools.org
Walkthrough data will be utilized to create "next steps" plans through coaching and feedback to ensure we are meeting the targeted school initiatives.	Mark, Diane, mark.diane@brevardschools.org
Literacy Coach and School Leadership will facilitate Professional Development on Shifting the Balance, Science of Reading, and TLAC Strategies. (Title I: Purchase Teach Like a Champion)	Mark, Diane, mark.diane@brevardschools.org
First Grade Students will participate in RAISE Grant Program Tutoring to work on phonics. (Title I Purchase: Phonics program)	Mark, Diane, mark.diane@brevardschools.org
Students will take ownership of reading data and set goals via quarterly data chats with teachers.	Meraz, Christy, meraz.christy@brevardschools.org

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

During the 2021-2022 school year, students in Grades 3rd-6th participated in the YouthTruth Survey. The survey results identified the following as the highest ranked areas for Fairglen: Engagement (93%) and Instructional Methods (54%). Students indicated that the biggest area of growth was Academic Challenges (39%) and Belonging (48%)

Action Steps:

- 1) PBIS Incentives
- 2) Atmosphere of Positive Affirmations
- 2) Reward positive behaviors
- 3) Informal Surveys throughout the school year (student feedback)
- 4) Title 1 Events
- 5) Conscious Discipline School Family Activities to Unite, Disengage Stress, Connect, and Commit
- 5) Restorative Circles and Practices

Teachers were asked to complete the Teacher Insight Survey in January 2022. This survey gives leaders feedback into how teachers view various aspects of the school. Highly rated areas included: Leadership, Observation and Feedback, and Evaluation. Support Staff Completed the Insight Survey and the highly rated area was Evaluation.

Action Steps:

- 1) Team-Building
- 2) Informal Surveys throughout the school year (staff feedback)
- 3) Staff Incentives

Parents are asked to provide the school input multiple times throughout the school year. We survey parents concerning Title 1 Events, preferred methods of communication, and other essential feedback areas. In the Parent Survey, parents/guardians still indicated that they would prefer paper copies of information to be sent home. Almost 90% of parents stated that Fairglen Elementary has shown to be a welcoming environment.

Family Fun Nights were also highly rated on the feedback survey. We continue to seek to improve our home to school partnership.

Action Steps:

- 1) Monthly Newsletter
- 2) Informal Surveys throughout the school year
- 3) Title 1 Events

Monthly School Advisory Council Meetings are advertised through Blackboard (text email, and push notification) as well as on our school website and Facebook page. We are constantly seeking parents and community members to join SAC to assist us with the decision making process. School data is shared with SAC at least three times a year, typically as students finish iReady Diagnostic Testing. The school advisory council reviews parent concerns, safety issues, and academic and behavior supports.

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site. Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive culture and environment at th

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Staff: Conscious Discipline; PBIS, Relationship Building; Accountability; Attend Events; Restorative Circles (Daily)

Students: Accountability; Adhering to Be Safe, Be Kind, and Work Hard (School-Wide Expectations); Attend Events

Parents/Guardians: Attend Events; Supporting School-Wide Expectations; Accountability - child to school daily

Business Partner/Community Members: Attend Events; Providing PBIS Incentives and Support for Staff, Students, and Parents

Eckerd Youth: Prevention Strategies/Promote positive relationships and life skills
Continue to promote a clear code of conduct for students (set high expectations; procedures)
Implement evidence-based alternatives to exclusionary discipline (examples: Conscious Discipline,
Restorative Practices and Positive Behavioral Supports)
Provide ongoing training and feedback to teachers on implementing these approaches