

Hillsborough County Public Schools

# Anderson Elementary School



## 2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>0</b>

# Anderson Elementary School

3910 W FAIR OAKS AVE, Tampa, FL 33611

[ no web address on file ]

## Demographics

Principal: Lydia Sierra

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2021-22 Title I School</b>	No
<b>2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	80%
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
<b>School Grades History</b>	2021-22: C (51%) 2018-19: A (63%) 2017-18: C (52%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Central
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Lucinda Thompson</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	
<b>ESSA Status</b>	ATSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>0</b>

## Anderson Elementary School

3910 W FAIR OAKS AVE, Tampa, FL 33611

[ no web address on file ]

### School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	No	80%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	57%

### School Grades History

Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	C		A	A

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

To provide a safe learning environment that will empower students to become life-long learners and productive citizens.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

Inspiring all students to reach their full potential.

### School Leadership Team

**Membership**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Sierra, Lydia	Principal	<p>The leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core leadership team is to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RTI/MTSS process: at the core (tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction.</li> <li>4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.</li> <li>5. Facilitate a culture of collaboration and shared responsibility.</li> <li>6. Facilitate and monitor site based professional development.</li> <li>7. Foster leadership development of teacher leaders.</li> </ol>
Foushee-Winfield, Tracey	Assistant Principal	<p>The leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core leadership team is to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RTI/MTSS process: at the core (tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction.</li> <li>4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.</li> <li>5. Facilitate a culture of collaboration and shared responsibility.</li> <li>6. Facilitate and monitor site based professional development.</li> <li>7. Foster leadership development of teacher leaders.</li> </ol>
Behrens, Brian	SAC Member	<p>The leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core leadership team is to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RTI/MTSS process: at the core (tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.</li> <li>3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction.</li> <li>4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.</li> </ol> <p>A collaborative culture of shared responsibility is established through Leadership Team Meetings and PLCs.</p>

### Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Monday 7/1/2019, Lydia Sierra



**Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

2

**Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

3

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school**

22

**Total number of students enrolled at the school**

298

**Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.**

5

**Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.**

4

### Demographic Data

### Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	46	50	49	58	48	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	295
Attendance below 90 percent	0	14	7	12	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
One or more suspensions	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	3	7	3	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

**Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Date this data was collected or last updated**

Sunday 8/28/2022

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	58	50	59	49	49	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	321
Attendance below 90 percent	0	6	8	6	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	14	19	15	12	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
Course failure in Math	8	2	7	1	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	8	6	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	7	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	2	14	19	15	12	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	78

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	1	7	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	58	50	59	49	49	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	321
Attendance below 90 percent	0	6	8	6	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	14	19	15	12	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
Course failure in Math	8	2	7	1	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	8	6	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	7	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	2	14	19	15	12	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	78

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	1	7	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	54%	53%	56%				67%	52%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	57%						60%	55%	58%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	45%						47%	50%	53%
Math Achievement	55%	50%	50%				65%	54%	63%
Math Learning Gains	61%						68%	57%	62%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	42%						61%	46%	51%
Science Achievement	40%	59%	59%				70%	50%	53%

### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

**NOTE:** This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
03	2022					
	2019	53%	52%	1%	58%	-5%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
04	2022					
	2019	69%	55%	14%	58%	11%
Cohort Comparison		-53%				
05	2022					
	2019	74%	54%	20%	56%	18%
Cohort Comparison		-69%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
03	2022					
	2019	56%	54%	2%	62%	-6%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
04	2022					
	2019	71%	57%	14%	64%	7%
Cohort Comparison		-56%				
05	2022					
	2019	61%	54%	7%	60%	1%
Cohort Comparison		-71%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	70%	51%	19%	53%	17%
Cohort Comparison						

### Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	31	48	36	31	35	25	27				
ELL	55			55							
ASN	70			80							
BLK	23	60		23	33						
HSP	53	47		47	74		25				
MUL	54			62							
WHT	63	57		65	62		47				
FRL	44	56	47	44	61	44	36				
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	21			28							
ELL	53			60							
BLK	38			24							
HSP	46	76		46	47		21				
MUL	62			46							
WHT	57	80		54	67		57				
FRL	48	70		41	48		30				
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	35	40	30	43	67						
ELL	64			64							
BLK	50			50							
HSP	67	65		57	65	75	75				
MUL	79	75		75	70		64				
WHT	66	56	46	67	67	40	73				
FRL	64	63	43	62	70	61	63				

### ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	51
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	354
Total Components for the Federal Index	7

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	33
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	55
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	75
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	35
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	49
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	58
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	59
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	47
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Proficiency in all content areas across most groups showed lower performance. In particular, our bottom quartile students declined from last year in both ELA and mathematics.

Instructional choice that may have been successful with past cohorts of students appear to not leverage comparable student achievement with the current cohort. Modification and acceleration is warranted to respond to student needs in order to make academic gains.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Science appears to be the area of greatest need.

#### What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Multiple teacher leaves, unit losses, and teacher knowledge of science best practices appear to be contributing factors. Acceleration in science instruction is critical in addressing the unfinished learning for the current cohorts of students.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math achievement increased the most last year.

**What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

Teachers focused a lot on small group instruction that directly addressed student deficits. Teachers also used informal assessments to drive these small groups with reteach and enrichment opportunities.

**What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?**

Identifying the most critical previous year standards to address just before this year's standard will be vital in leveraging an acceleration instructional model to address students' unfinished learning.

**Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.**

Content planning.

Utilizing formative assessment to drive next steps in instruction.

Weekly common planning sessions will also be utilized.

**Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.**

Collaboration with Content coaches/district resource teachers to assist in our instructional initiatives.

**Areas of Focus**

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:



**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our school wide improvement priorities will continue to focus on standards based planning with an emphasis on differentiation and scaffolding to support diverse learners with grade-level content and acceleration of unfinished learning.

Rationale: Standards based planning and acceleration planning assist teachers in providing rigorous instruction for all students and addresses deficits students may have due to unfinished learning.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Grade 3 proficiency will increase by 5%; Learning gains of the bottom quartile will increase in reading by 3%. Cohorts that failed to maintain or improve will increase its proficiency by 10%.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Classroom walkthroughs, student performance, attending grade level planning sessions, data chats.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Differentiation in classroom instruction utilizing standards based instruction and Marzano taxonomy/learning targets.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Rationale: Maintaining standards-based planning will provide rigorous instruction, as well as targeting instruction for all students. Maintaining our focus on differentiation ensures instruction is focused on meeting the needs of all learners.

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Teachers will attend weekly common planning sessions by grade level/content area. Planning will be data driven and include the development of small groups based on data. In the teacher-led small groups, teachers will plan for the use of pre-identified literacy scaffolds to accelerate identified unfinished learning.
2. Review students individual data at each grade level (3-5) and determine the growth necessary to yield learning gain and /or proficiency.
3. Develop targeted interventions.
4. General education teachers, by grade levels, will collaborate with our instructional coaches to design and monitor instruction.
5. Teachers will meet with administration to disaggregate data on a regular basis.

**Person Responsible**

Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

**#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities**

<b>Area of Focus</b> <b>Description and Rationale:</b> <b>Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.</b>	<p>Our SWD ELA achievement increased from 28% to 31%, but is still below the threshold of 41%.</p>
<b>Measurable Outcome:</b> <b>State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve.</b> <b>This should be a data based, objective outcome.</b>	<p>Our intended outcome is to raise the achievement level of our students with disabilities (SWD) specifically in ELA from 31% to 45%.</p>
<b>Monitoring:</b> <b>Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.</b>	<p>Data chats during progress monitoring assessment windows.</p>
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b>	<p>Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)</p>
<b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b> <b>Describe the evidence-based strategy being</b>	<p>Intensive and targeted interventions will be a priority. Summative and formative assessments and iReady data will be utilized to drive instructional decisions regarding classroom instruction and targeted interventions. Supplemental lessons will be taught by our ESE, and classroom teacher in small groups. Instructional support will be provided by our reading coach and our district resource teacher/coach to identify instructional strategies that specifically target our students with disabilities. Both ESE and classroom teachers will track the progress of their SWDs and participate in administrative led data discussions on a monthly basis. Both the ESE and general education teachers will plan collaboratively to</p>

**implemented for this Area of Focus.**

create standards based instruction that meets the needs of our students in the SWD subgroup.

**Rationale for Evidence-based**

**Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.**

By providing resources, data discussion, and collaborative planning opportunities, teachers will be able to identify targeted students with disabilities and closely monitor their progress. Appropriate instruction and interventions necessary for academic gains will be determined as part of our collaborative work between ESE, general education, ELA district teacher/ coach and our school based ELA coach. Teachers will be provided opportunities to participate in professional development and support specifically designed to inform and strengthen instruction. Our multi-facet approach to closely monitor our SWD, and engage in collaborative practices for their teachers will increase the likelihood of learning gains and proficiency for students.

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Identify SWDs at each grade level (3-5) and determine the growth necessary to yield learning gain and /or proficiency.
2. Identify ELA standards SWD had the least achievement in on the FSA.
3. Develop targeted interventions.
4. ESE and general education teachers will work collaborate with our instructional coaches to design and monitor instruction.
5. Teachers will meet with administration to disaggregate data on a regular basis.

**Person Responsible**

Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

**#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American****Area of Focus  
Description and  
Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our African American students declined from 38% to 22% in ELA.

**Measurable  
Outcome:**  
State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Our intended outcome is to raise the achievement level of our African American students, specifically in ELA, from 22% to 45%.

**Monitoring:**  
Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Walkthroughs, data chats, progress monitoring assessments, and formative assessments.

**Person  
responsible for  
monitoring  
outcome:**

Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based  
Strategy:**  
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Intensive and targeted interventions will be a priority. Summative and formative assessments and iReady data will be utilized to drive instructional decisions regarding classroom instruction and targeted interventions. Instructional support will be provided by our reading coach and our district resource teacher/coach to identify instructional strategies that specifically target our African American students. All teachers will plan collaboratively to create standards based instruction that meets the needs of our students in the subgroup.

**Rationale for  
Evidence-based  
Strategy:**  
Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

By providing resources, data discussion, and collaborative planning opportunities, teachers will be able to monitor this subgroup of students. Appropriate instruction and interventions necessary for academic gains will be determined as part of our collaborative work between school based instructors and district level resource support personnel.

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Identify African American students at each grade level (3-5) and determine the growth necessary to yield learning gain and /or proficiency.
2. Identify ELA standards African American's had the least achievement in on the FSA.
3. Develop targeted interventions.
4. All teachers will work and collaborate with our instructional coaches to design and monitor instruction.
5. Teachers will meet with administration to disaggregate data on a regular basis.

**Person****Responsible**

Tracey Foushee-Winfield (tracey.foushee-winfield@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

**Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

**Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.**

The School Advisory Council (SAC) meets every month and is responsible for the planning, review, and making suggestions to assist with instructional improvement. All parents, staff, and community are invited to be members of Anderson's SAC. SAC members including all stakeholders can provide input on the Parent and Family Engagement. Parent Teacher Student Compact as well as all other plans related to school improvement are solicited, welcomed and valued. Parent surveys are also used to solicit parent input. Comments from parents and all other stakeholder are documented on SAC meeting minutes.

Information about our school events, programs, and meetings are provided via our newsletters, Curriculum and assessment information is shared by teachers at Open House, during parent teacher conferences, through newsletters and through our parent link communication platform. Assessment information is sent home in a timely matter many through electronic options. Input from parents can be shared directly with teachers, admin or via, SAC or PTA. Interim/progress reports, conferences, and climate survey are provided to parents. All stakeholders can access information via the school web site and social media channels.

**Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.**

School Admin - establish school wide initiatives that establish and celebrate an expectation of positive and supportive interactions within our school community

Teachers- implement and maintain class activities that support a positive class culture and learning environment

Staff - assist class teachers in monitoring student interactions in effort to support positive student interactions