

2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0  |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 0  |

Hillsborough - 0131 - Armwood High School - 2022-23 SIP

# **Armwood High School**

12000 E US HIGHWAY 92, Seffner, FL 33584

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

# **Principal: Dina Langston**

Start Date for this Principal: 6/9/2020

|   | 1  |
|---|--|
| <b>2019-20 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)  | Active   |
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File)  | High School<br>9-12  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education   |
| 2021-22 Title I School  | Yes  |
| 2021-22 Economically<br>Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3)   | 74%  |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an<br>asterisk) | Students With Disabilities*<br>English Language Learners<br>Asian Students<br>Black/African American Students<br>Hispanic Students<br>Multiracial Students<br>White Students<br>Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students |
| School Grades History   | 2021-22: C (50%)<br>2018-19: C (48%)<br>2017-18: C (49%)   |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info  | ormation*  |
| SI Region   | Central  |
| Regional Executive Director   | Lucinda Thompson   |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A  |
| Year  |  |
| Support Tier  |  |
| ESSA Status   | ATSI   |
|   |  |

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

#### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
| Title I Requirements           | 0  |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 0  |

| Hillsborough                                     | - 0131 - Armwood High School - 2 | 2022-23 SIP         |  |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| A  | rmwood High Schoo                | þ                   |  |
| 12000 E  | E US HIGHWAY 92, Seffner, FL     | 33584               |  |
|  | [ no web address on file ]       |                     |  |
| School Demographics                              |                                  |                     |  |
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File) | 2021-22 Title I School           | Disadvant           | Economically<br>taged (FRL) Rate<br>ted on Survey 3) |
| High School<br>9-12                              | Yes                              |                     | 74%  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)          | Charter School                   | (Reporte            | Minority Rate<br>ed as Non-white<br>Survey 2)        |
| K-12 General Education                           | No                               |                     | 76%  |
| School Grades History                            |                                  |                     |  |
| Year         2021-22           Grade         C   | 2020-21                          | <b>2019-20</b><br>C | <b>2018-19</b><br>C                                  |

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Believe, Achieve, Succeed

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Armwood High School will work with our families and community to provide an atmosphere that challenges our students to be college and career ready.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name                | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Langston,<br>Dina   | Principal              | The Principal is responsible for the instructional direction and vision of the school, inclusive to all programs and initiatives. The Principal will provide a common vision for the use of data based decision-making; shape a vision of academic success for all students; create a climate hospitable to education; cultivate leadership in others; manage people; review data and action plans; as well as improve school leadership.  |
| Johnson,<br>Matthew | Assistant<br>Principal | Assistant Principal is responsible for supporting the vision and instructional goals established, support teachers, participate in community/parent outreach, directly supervise and evaluate teachers and effective instruction and all other duties assigned by the Principal. The Assistant Principal(s), under the direction of the Principal, implement(s) and enforce(s) school board policies, administrative rules and regulations. In the absence of the Principal, the Assistant Principal(s) shall assume the duties and responsibilities of the Principal. The Assistant Principal(s) will work cooperatively with the District, support district-wide goals and initiatives, and be held directly accountable to the Principal. |
| James,<br>Stacey    | Magnet<br>Coordinator  | Support Collegiate Academy students and classroom teachers, provides information and supports students in their college applications and career choices, recruits for the Magnet Program, and assist in all areas requests and duties assigned by the Principal.   |
| Alfonso,<br>Julie   | Instructional<br>Coach | Instructional coach meets weekly with department heads and PLC leaders to support the vision and instructional goals established by the Principal. Support classroom teachers, creates and participates in staff development, assist in all areas requests and duties assigned by the Principal.   |
| Worden,<br>Kyle     | Other                  | Culture and Climate Resource Teacher (CCRT) meets weekly with<br>administration to support the vision and goals established by the<br>administration team. Implements incentives based on culture and climate<br>surveys, attendance and behavior data, meets with PBIS and RTI teams to<br>support Tier 1,2, and 3 students.  |

# **Demographic Information**

# **Principal start date**

Tuesday 6/9/2020, Dina Langston

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

17

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 119

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 2,384

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year. 22

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 21

**Demographic Data** 

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indiantar  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator  | κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 749 | 581 | 545 | 506 | 2381  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 239 | 221 | 252 | 1013  |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 240 | 198 | 193 | 836   |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 1   | 102 | 0   | 103   |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6   | 14  | 66  | 0   | 86    |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 221 | 178 | 99  | 795   |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18  | 110 | 120 | 158 | 406   |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56  | 39  | 37  | 10  | 142   |
|  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | evel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8    | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator                           |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|--|--|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |  |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |  |  |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |  |  |  |

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/22/2022

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator  |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |  |
|--|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|--|
| Indicator  | κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |  |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 608 | 583 | 541 | 467 | 2199  |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 167 | 214 | 166 | 704   |  |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27  | 42  | 56  | 26  | 151   |  |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |  |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |  |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 152 | 148 | 1   | 476   |  |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 14  | 83  | 0   | 97    |  |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33  | 21  | 8   | 0   | 62    |  |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | G | rad | e L | eve |    |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 18 | 30 | 49 | 30 | 127   |

# The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indiantar                           |   |   |   |   |   | G | rad | e L | eve |    |    |    |    | Tetel |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 97 | 96 | 91 | 82 | 366   |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 13 | 12 | 7  | 7  | 39    |

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indiantar  | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator  | κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 608 | 583 | 541 | 467 | 2199  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 167 | 214 | 166 | 704   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27  | 42  | 56  | 26  | 151   |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 152 | 148 | 1   | 476   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 14  | 83  | 0   | 97    |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33  | 21  | 8   | 0   | 62    |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |       |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|-------|
| indicator                            | κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12    | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators |   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 30 | 49 | 30    | 127   |

# The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indiantar                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator                           | Κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12    | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 96 | 91 | 82    | 366   |
| Students retained two or more times |             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 7  | 7     | 39    |

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

# School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Component      |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       | 2019   |          |       |  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement             | 40%    | 52%      | 51%   |        |          |       | 44%    | 56%      | 56%   |  |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 48%    |          |       |        |          |       | 49%    | 54%      | 51%   |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 33%    |          |       |        |          |       | 36%    | 41%      | 42%   |  |
| Math Achievement            | 27%    | 39%      | 38%   |        |          |       | 31%    | 49%      | 51%   |  |
| Math Learning Gains         | 42%    |          |       |        |          |       | 34%    | 48%      | 48%   |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 52%    |          |       |        |          |       | 25%    | 45%      | 45%   |  |
| Science Achievement         | 51%    | 46%      | 40%   |        |          |       | 62%    | 69%      | 68%   |  |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 63%    | 49%      | 48%   |        |          |       | 73%    | 75%      | 73%   |  |

# Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|       |      |        |          | ELA                               |       |                                |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |

|       |      |        |          | MATH                              |       |                                |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |

| SCIENCE |      |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Grade   | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |

|      |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 59%    | 66%      | -7%                         | 67%   | -8%                      |
|      |        | CIVIC    | SEOC                        |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      | •     |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 71%    | 73%      | -2%                         | 70%   | 1%                       |
|      |        | ALGEE    | RA EOC                      | · ·   |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 15%    | 63%      | -48%                        | 61%   | -46%                     |
|      |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                     |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 34%    | 57%      | -23%                        | 57%   | -23%                     |

# Subgroup Data Review

|   |             | 2022      | SCHOO             | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2020-21 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2020-21 |
| SWD                                       | 17          | 36        | 26                | 17           | 38         | 50                 | 17          | 36         |              | 75                      | 36                        |
| ELL                                       | 13          | 38        | 33                | 12           | 34         | 60                 | 15          | 39         |              | 81                      | 46                        |
| ASN                                       | 85          | 78        |                   | 70           |            |                    | 100         |            |              | 100                     | 50                        |
| BLK                                       | 31          | 43        | 36                | 17           | 41         | 55                 | 41          | 57         |              | 95                      | 48                        |
| HSP                                       | 38          | 47        | 33                | 27           | 38         | 57                 | 45          | 62         |              | 87                      | 56                        |
| MUL                                       | 63          | 51        |                   | 46           | 57         |                    | 68          | 64         |              | 85                      | 82                        |
| WHT                                       | 50          | 54        | 30                | 40           | 45         | 41                 | 64          | 72         |              | 80                      | 76                        |
| FRL                                       | 34          | 43        | 33                | 24           | 39         | 50                 | 44          | 59         |              | 87                      | 52                        |
| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |             |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel            |
| SWD                                       | 16          | 35        | 35                | 18           | 32         | 33                 | 22          | 30         |              | 74                      | 2019-20                   |
| ELL                                       | 10          | 38        | 44                | 18           | 33         | 42                 | 25          | 47         |              | 80                      | 36                        |
| ASN                                       | 64          | 62        |                   | 64           | 50         | 72                 | 20          | -1         |              | 00                      |                           |
| BLK                                       | 32          | 37        | 33                | 20           | 25         | 28                 | 36          | 55         |              | 86                      | 34                        |
| HSP                                       | 34          | 43        | 43                | 27           | 35         | 38                 | 40          | 63         |              | 80                      | 42                        |
| MUL                                       | 53          | 45        | 27                | 35           | 23         |                    | 50          | 57         |              | 95                      | 67                        |
| WHT                                       | 48          | 43        | 31                | 38           | 35         | 34                 | 55          | 72         |              | 79                      | 63                        |
| FRL                                       | 33          | 37        | 34                | 25           | 29         | 30                 | 37          | 57         |              | 79                      | 39                        |
|   | 00          | _         | -                 | DL GRAD      | -          |                    | -           | -          |              | 10                      | 00                        |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD                                       | 15          | 32        | 29                | 21           | 22         | 21                 | 54          | 56         |              | 79                      | 10                        |
| ELL                                       | 14          | 36        | 38                | 15           | 33         | 27                 | 55          | 40         |              | 74                      | 38                        |
| ASN                                       | 67          | 60        |                   | 58           |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| BLK                                       | 37          | 48        | 38                | 25           | 31         | 23                 | 50          | 61         |              | 90                      | 26                        |
| HSP                                       | 39          | 46        | 38                | 27           | 31         | 25                 | 58          | 66         |              | 86                      | 41                        |
| MUL                                       | 64          | 46        |                   | 32           | 35         |                    | 80          | 91         |              | 68                      | 40                        |
| WHT                                       | 54          | 57        | 34                | 40           | 36         | 25                 | 76          | 87         |              | 80                      | 43                        |
| FRL                                       | 36          | 45        | 37                | 26           | 29         | 25                 | 56          | 67         |              | 84                      | 30                        |

# ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index                           |      |
|--|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)                 | ATSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students         | 50   |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 2    |

| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index       5         Total Components for the Federal Index       5         Percent Tested       9         Subgroup Data         Students With Disabilities         Federal Index         Subgroup Data         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities | 44<br>546<br>11<br>98%<br>35<br>YES<br>0 |
|--|--|
| Total Components for the Federal Index       9         Percent Tested       9         Subgroup Data         Students With Disabilities         Federal Index - Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities   | 11<br>98%<br>35<br>YES                   |
| Percent Tested       9         Subgroup Data         Students With Disabilities         Federal Index - Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities  | 98%<br>35<br>YES                         |
| Subgroup Data         Students With Disabilities         Federal Index - Students With Disabilities       C         Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?       Y   | 35<br>YES                                |
| Students With Disabilities         Federal Index - Students With Disabilities       Students With Disabilities         Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?       Y  | YES                                      |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities       C         Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?       Y  | YES                                      |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?   | YES                                      |
|  |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%  | 0  |
|  | -  |
| English Language Learners  |  |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners  | 38                                       |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Y  | YES                                      |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%   | 0  |
| Native American Students   |  |
| Federal Index - Native American Students   |  |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?   | N/A                                      |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  | 0  |
| Asian Students   |  |
| Federal Index - Asian Students   | 81                                       |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?   | NO                                       |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  | 0  |
| Black/African American Students  |  |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students  | 46                                       |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  | NO                                       |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%   | 0  |
| Hispanic Students  |  |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  | 48                                       |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  | NO                                       |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%   | 0  |

| Multiracial Students  |     |
|---|-----|
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students  | 65  |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%         | 0   |
| Pacific Islander Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students                                   |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?           | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%    | 0   |
| White Students  |     |
| Federal Index - White Students  | 55  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%               | 0   |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                         | 46  |
|   |     |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO  |

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

# Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

# What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Some of the trends that we have identified is that our ESE and ELL students are still in need of additional support. Our ESE students in the ELA bottom quartile dropped 9 points, while making tremendous gains in math bottom quartile. Our ELL ELA bottom quartile dropped 11 points, but made huge gains in math. Our FRL students have maintained the same averages for the last three years.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Our greatest need for improvement is ELA bottom quartile and achievement and math achievement.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Our students were lacking rigor during online and hybrid learning due to covid. We need to enhance rigor in the classrooms and engage students in grade level appropriate work.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math bottom quartile, graduation rate continues to climb, focus on acceleration points through DE, AP and CTE offerings,

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Support from Math Resource Teacher, small group and one on one tutoring opportunities for students, Strong CTE PLC for acceleration points.

# What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Focusing on alignment of instructional priorities, four principals of instruction, and new instructional evaluation tool, standard based lesson planning and rigorous practices in the classroom.

# Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Based on walkthrough and informal data collection. Marzano's Best practices framework, proficiency scales in the classroom

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

stick to same focus, consistency and clarity in alignment of four principles and evaluation tool

# Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

| #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction |  |
|---|--|
| Area of Focus   |  |

| Area of Focus<br>Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a rationale<br>that explains how it<br>was identified as a<br>critical need from the<br>data reviewed.                                | Based on a review of our data, our area of focus will be to support teachers in delivering focused lessons that align with grade level standards, strengthen students' understanding of content and include conceptual, procedural or application knowledge practice. This area of focus was identified as we would like to gain points in ELA Achieve, ELA BQ, Math Achieve, Science, History, Acceleration Points, and Graduation Rate. |
|---|---|
| Measurable Outcome:<br>State the specific<br>measurable outcome<br>the school plans to<br>achieve. This should<br>be a data based,<br>objective outcome.  | ELA Achieve-50, ELA Gains-50, ELA BQ-45, Math Achieve-40, Math Gains-40, Math BQ, 40, Science-63, History-70, Grad Rate-90, Acceleration-52   |
| Monitoring:<br>Describe how this<br>Area of Focus will be<br>monitored for the<br>desired outcome.  | PLC logs, designated admin to specific PLCs, walkthough data, coaching and instructional support, progress monitoring, scoreboard review and reflections weekly basis   |
| Person responsible<br>for monitoring<br>outcome:  | Dina Langston (dina.langston@hcps.net)  |
| Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Describe the<br>evidence-based<br>strategy being<br>implemented for this<br>Area of Focus.   | Teachers will provide quality instruction daily. Quality instruction is designed<br>using the Four Principles of Excellent Instruction and will be measured using<br>walkthrough data to then determine teacher PD as well as the student<br>scoreboards. We will also continue to stay focused on WIG/Scoreboard<br>implementation through PLCs.   |
| Rationale for<br>Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Explain the rationale<br>for selecting this<br>specific strategy.<br>Describe the<br>resources/criteria<br>used for selecting<br>this strategy. | Everything that we are doing is to improve teacher practice. Using Get Better<br>Faster will be used as a tool to help improve instruction. The Four Principles of<br>Excellence will help teachers focus on best practices. The WIG sessions and<br>student scoreboards will help hold both teachers and students accountable for<br>their learning and growth.  |
| Action Steps to Implement   |   |

# Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

All faculty were trained on the new instructional evaluation tool, four principles of instruction and instructional priorities during pre planning

Person Responsible Matthew Johnson (matthewr.johnson@hcps.net)

Time was provided to all PLC groups to collaborate on common assessments, planning calendars and testing dates

# **Person Responsible** Matthew Johnson (matthewr.johnson@hcps.net)

Instructional Leadership Team calibrated with Administration for walk through data collection

Person Responsible Dina Langston (dina.langston@hcps.net)

Department Heads will begin coaching cycles as the needs are identified by administration walkthroughs.

Person Responsible Dina Langston (dina.langston@hcps.net)

# Postsecondary Readiness-

Through a partnership with English 4 and Economics, our seniors are exposed to Career Cluster/interest inventories, career pathways, resume and cover letter activities, interview protocols, college application assistance and financial aid information. Additionally, our seniors and juniors have opportunities to attend post secondary representatives visits to our campus, field trips to colleges and for careers. When selecting classes for programming, students can choose electives based on interests or even take dual enrollment courses on our campus or at HCC to save on cost of college. Our College and Career Coordinator holds FAFSA info sessions for parents, College Wednesday Workshops for students each week to assist with the admissions process, and visits Senior Classes to talk to students about options. Lastly, our school has partnered with AMIKids to provide pre-apprenticeship opportunities to seniors who plan on entering the work force.

# **Person Responsible** Stacey James (stacey.james@hcps.net)

ELL and ESE resource teacher will be assisting teachers with accommodations during PLC process while also supporting ELL and ESE students in small groups and as needed in the classroom.

Person Responsible Dina Langston (dina.langston@hcps.net)

# **#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to PBIS**

| #2. Positive outline and Environment specifically relating to P Dio  |  |
|--|--|
| Area of Focus<br>Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a rationale<br>that explains how<br>it was identified as<br>a critical need<br>from the data<br>reviewed.                                | Students and parents were given a survey in July on what they wanted from their school both academically and culturally. We used the data from these surveys as well as attendance, behavior data and trends from the previous year to work through with the PBIS team. Based on the large number of absences, tardies and office referrals, we identified that our policies need to be clearly defined and enforced within the school.  |
| Measurable<br>Outcome:<br>State the specific<br>measurable<br>outcome the<br>school plans to<br>achieve. This<br>should be a data<br>based, objective<br>outcome.                                  | By utilizing students and teacher led PBIS teams, we will create a school and classroom culture that supports both teaching and learning. We are aiming for a 28% decrease in the number of students who miss 10% of the school days or more.  |
| Monitoring:<br>Describe how this<br>Area of Focus will<br>be monitored for<br>the desired<br>outcome.  | Administration staff and ILT will walk through to observe and identify trends as they relate to culture and climate. monitoring behavior and attendance data, surveys-TELL, Panorama, Insight, Pulse surveys, lunch incentives   |
| Person<br>responsible for<br>monitoring<br>outcome:  | Matthew Johnson (matthewr.johnson@hcps.net)  |
| Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Describe the<br>evidence-based<br>strategy being<br>implemented for<br>this Area of Focus.  | Response to Intervention through PBIS  |
| Rationale for<br>Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Explain the<br>rationale for<br>selecting this<br>specific strategy.<br>Describe the<br>resources/criteria<br>used for selecting<br>this strategy. | Response to Intervention (RTI) has seen widespread use in schools as an effective way to promote student learning. Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) is an evidence-based three-tiered framework to improve and integrate all of the data, systems, and practices affecting student outcomes every day. PBIS creates schools where all students succeed. Through the use of PBIS we can implement strategies and incentives that will impact not only our Tier 1 but also our Tier 2 and 3 students. |

# **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

During preplanning, administration and PBIS team will share clearly defined classroom expectations and presenters will model explicit instruction, procedural and applicational instruction so teachers will see how to implement the expectations into their daily lessons.

#### Person Responsible Matthew Johnson (matthewr.johnson@hcps.net)

During preplanning, admin and PBIS team will explain and demonstrate the 3 core action steps as well as share the walk through form for each action step and how it connects to the observation rubric and the 4 principles of learning (our focus is on culture for learning and rigorous content).

Person Responsible Kyle Worden (kyle.worden@hcps.net)

Soaring Hawks (our peer to peer mentoring program) will be meeting with at-risk students who have 2 or more EWS indicators

Person Kyle Worden (kyle.worden@hcps.net)

We will incentive students through lunch incentives, positive referrals, after school incentives, work with SGA, athletic events, event incentives, HOCO Prom, quarterly events and milestones

 Person
 Kyle Worden (kyle.worden@hcps.net)

# **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

# Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

We are implementing PBIS and Renaissance to create a more positive school climate and culture. As part of PBIS and Renaissance, we have scheduled Pep Rallies to recognize different clubs, sports teams and faculty to encourage student participation and pride in our school. For the first time in our school history, we will have tailgates for every home football game as well as other sporting events to further increase support and foster a positive culture throughout our school. Leading these events to improve the student experience and positively impact our school culture will be a student organization called the "Blue Crew". Building on our incentives program from last spring, we have organized more incentives quarterly, to engage our student body and create a more positive climate. Included this year will be incentives to reward students for Behavioral, Academic and Attendance milestones which will help support a more positive environment in our classrooms. These incentives will include lunches, snacks, athletic event passes, complimentary tickets to homecoming and prom, school swag and more. We have in place incentive areas during our lunches things such as: outdoor games incentives, gaming room incentives, Wednesday competitions through our lunch periods, student/Faculty competitions and school-wide competitions. Our SGA has already identified organizations that the student body would like to support and will be organizing fundraisers so that we can positively impact our entire community. We will be recognizing students and faculty weekly to reward them for their positive contributions to our school. All students and Staffulty will have the opportunity to nominate and recognize anyone on our school campus who represents our school's values and impacts our school climate and culture in a positive manner. We are revamping our adaptation of the Ron Clark Academy "House System" to help foster a more positive school climate and culture. Each grade level has been assigned a House with faculty and student leaders. Throughout each quarter, the four grade levels and their houses will be competing with one another to earn House points as well as the rewards that are attached to them. This healthy competition will not only increase the pride in our school community, but also the pride in each specific grade level and their individual achievements. Students will be rewarded points for things such as: fundraisers, pep-rallies, spirit weeks, hall decorations, Langston's Weekly Rewards, quarterly attendance, quarterly behavior, quarterly academics, achievement reports and more.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Our stakeholders that are vital in creating a positive school climate and culture are our Students, Staffulty and Parents/Guardians. Our students are the main voice behind our school creating a positive climate and culture. We have utilized Insight Survey Data, Panorama Data and Student Input Data to create programs and organizations that will meet the needs of our students to create a positive school experience for our students. Our SGA students participated in the Jostens Global Renaissance Conference and have organized and created student support systems, incentive programs and events to help build a climate and culture that meets the wants and needs of our students. Our students will be helping to promote a positive school climate and culture by organizing: Pep-rallies, tailgates, color runs, movie nights, dodgeball tournaments, March Madness basketball tournament, Wednesday lunch competitions, car washes on campus for faculty, a school store, Holiday events and student-faculty competitions. Our Soaring Hawks mentoring program has created a Peer Mediation Leadership Team to help bridge the gap between our students and faculty and serve team to help create more positive interactions throughout our campus. Our Peer Mediation Leadership Team has been trained and will be administering Restorative Circles between students, students and faculty and will be assisting in other ways to help promote a positive school climate and culture. Using Insight data to help identify how to best reach our families and community, we will be hosting back to school nights and specific grade level activities for different grade levels. A senior Spaghetti night is in place, which will promote a positive climate and families will be encouraged to come in, partake in a free dinner and receive information that will benefit their children as they progress throughout their senior year. Bringing awareness to all different programs, activities and services that our school provides will improve our school climate as we increase communication to two of our largest stakeholders. Utilizing school newsletters and positive referrals, we will also increase our level of communication to parents and promote the great things our students are doing on our campus as we recognize them weekly for these achievements. Implementing PBIS and Renaissance, we have listened to the voice of our Staffulty as we know they are the lynchpin that holds this school together. Using Insight Survey Data, and an Armwood Teacher Survey we have created programs, PD Sessions, and School Expectations that are all driven to support specific wants and needs of our faculty. This will help us to promote a more positive school culture and ensure that our Staffulty has an active voice in the direction that our school is going. Considering Staffulty input, we have rebuilt our general school expectations, planned specific PD sessions to meet the needs of our faculty, and honored their desire for more time built into their school day when possible. Each Department on our campus is also taking on a leadership role within our house system to bridge the gap between students and faculty to create a more positive environment across our campus.