

2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Hillsborough - 1721 - Grady Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Grady Elementary School

3910 W MORRISON AVE, Tampa, FL 33629

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Michael Campbell

Start Date for this Principal: 8/2/2022

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	25%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: A (84%) 2018-19: A (72%) 2017-18: A (66%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Hillsborough	- 1721 - Grady Elementary Sch	ool - 2022-23 SIP	
G	rady Elementary Sc	hool	
3910	W MORRISON AVE, Tampa,	FL 33629	
	[no web address on file]		
School Demographics			
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	No		25%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No		45%
School Grades History			
Year 2021-22 Grade A	2020-21	2019-20 A	2018-19 A
School Board Approval			

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Grady Elementary will provide all students with the knowledge, skills, and supports necessary to excel as a successful and responsible citizen.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Grady Elementary School will be a leader in preparing students for life.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Campbell, Michael	Principal	
Congemi, Megan	SAC Member	
Gonzalez, Ann-Marie	Assistant Principal	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 8/2/2022, Michael Campbell

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

40

Total number of students enrolled at the school

563

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

7

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 8

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	94	105	86	87	81	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	524
Attendance below 90 percent	2	11	5	8	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/6/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Gra	de	Lev	/el						Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	111	81	85	82	69	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	502
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Hillsborough - 1721 - Grady Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	111	81	85	82	69	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	502
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiastor	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2022			2021			2019	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	85%	53%	56%				83%	52%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	82%						73%	55%	58%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	84%						58%	50%	53%
Math Achievement	87%	50%	50%				81%	54%	63%
Math Learning Gains	86%						73%	57%	62%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	82%						65%	46%	51%
Science Achievement	83%	59%	59%				74%	50%	53%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparisor
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	72%	52%	20%	58%	14%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	81%	55%	26%	58%	23%
Cohort Co	mparison	-72%			•	
05	2022					

	ELA										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
	2019	85%	54%	31%	56%	29%					
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison										

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparisor
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	81%	54%	27%	62%	19%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	86%	57%	29%	64%	22%
Cohort Co	mparison	-81%				
05	2022					
	2019	74%	54%	20%	60%	14%
Cohort Co	mparison	-86%			•	

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	72%	51%	21%	53%	19%
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	61	70		61	65		67				
ELL	64	91		100	100						
ASN	95			100							
BLK	56	82		63	90						
HSP	76	89		80	89		64				
MUL	80			80							
WHT	90	80	94	91	85	73	89				
FRL	71	74	64	77	86	73	71				

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	67			71			50				
ELL	80			73							
ASN	89			95							
BLK	57			64							
HSP	82	69		76	56		76				
MUL	83			83							
WHT	89	65		90	71		86				
FRL	77	45		68	35	10	59				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	42	47	20	53	70		36				
ELL	80	85		80	85						
ASN	88			94							
BLK	57	62		39	50						
HSP	80	63		78	73	64	63				
MUL	71			82							
WHT	89	81	65	88	75	82	81				
FRL	66	56	52	67	60	45	58				

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	84
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	589
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	65

Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

NO

0

Hillsborough - 1721 - Grady Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	89
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	98
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	<u>.</u>
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	73
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	80
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	80
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	86
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	74
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

All grade levels and subgroups were consistent in their progress last year.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Grady made a significant amount of growth with learning gains for our BQ students. We want to continue to closely monitor our BQ students to make sure our most needy students are making learning gains.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Our BQ earning gains the previous year, 2020-2021, were very low. This caused a downward trend in both BQ and regular learning gains. We closely monitored all of our BQ students after each test. We also made sure our BQ students across all grade levels were getting at least 45 minutes a week of reading and math.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

BQ learning gains for reading and math.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We closely monitored all of our BQ students after each test. We also made sure our BQ students across all grade levels were getting at least 45 minutes a week of reading and math. We had a spreadsheet that showed the progress of each of our BQ students.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Continue to use the strategies we were implementing last year.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will form a PD group to find out what PD our teachers really want and provide it to them.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Based on feedback of PD program, we will see which areas we will keep and which areas we will need to improve.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

1

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to B.E.S.T. Standards

•	, , ,
Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	Our school had fantastic data. As we transition into the BEST standards, it will be necessary for all teachers to learn how to successfully implement the new standards into their lesson plans. Since all teachers must teacher the standards, it is imperative they know the standards and how to teach them.
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	Grady will have 85% of their 3-5 grade students proficient in both reading and math on the FAST test in May 2023.
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	PD and walk throughs in classrooms.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Michael Campbell (mike.campbell@hcps.net)
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence- based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	Teachers are taking PD classes to learn more about the standards and how to implement them in their class.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.	Teachers need PD to learn about standards before they can be expected to teacher the standards.
Action Steps to Implement List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.	
Professional Development during pre-planning, 4 teachers and principal and AP	

Professional Development during pre-planning. 4 teachers and principal and AP Professional Development during faculty meetings. Jen Cucci and Ann-Marie Gonzalez

Person Responsible

Ann-Marie Gonzalez (ann-marie.gonzalez@hcps.net)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

We show our teachers how much we appreciate them through positive words, publicly announcing staff and their accomplishments, games to improve morale, allow staff to leave early on occasion, social committee holds events for staff throughout the year, PTA does monthly treats for the staff, and PTA does teacher appreciation week.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Admin and Office Staff - Responsible for all interactions throughout school and creating a positive environment.

Teachers - Meeting and maintaining positive relationships amongst their teams and faculty members throughout.

Social Committee - Teachers keep morale going throughout the year with special events and prizes. PTA - Supporting school and teachers through providing classroom supplies, monthly treats for staff, and teacher appreciation week.