Hillsborough County Public Schools

Palm River Elementary School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Palm River Elementary School

805 MAYDELL DR, Tampa, FL 33619

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Kelly Mccluney

Start Date for this Principal: 7/15/2020

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2021-22 Title I School | Yes |
| 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History | 2021-22: B (56%) 2018-19: C (48%) 2017-18: D (35%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Central |
| Regional Executive Director | <u>Lucinda Thompson</u> |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F | or more information, click here. |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Palm River Elementary School

805 MAYDELL DR, Tampa, FL 33619

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2021-22 Title I Schoo | l Disadvan | Property Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|---|
| Elementary S PK-5 | School | Yes | | 100% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 86% |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2021-22 | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 |

C

C

School Board Approval

Grade

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

В

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Palm River Elementary will utilize a student centered approach to teaching and learning where data drives all instructional and behavioral decisions to positively impact the whole child.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision at Palm River Elementary is to develop well rounded, confident and responsible students who aspire to achieve their full potential. We will do this by providing a welcoming, happy, safe, and supportive learning environment in which everyone is valued and all achievements are celebrated.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| McCluney, Kelly | Principal | |
| Haynes, Sophia | Assistant Principal | |
| Kozlowski, Jaclyn | ELL Compliance Specialist | |
| Whitley, Sherry | Other | Media Specialist |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 7/15/2020, Kelly Mccluney

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

12

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

20

Total number of students enrolled at the school

428

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

13

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 71 | 74 | 55 | 64 | 49 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 36 | 35 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/2/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 67 | 73 | 53 | 60 | 48 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 39 | 39 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| One or more suspensions | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 44 | 10 | 35 | 32 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 67 | 73 | 53 | 60 | 48 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 39 | 39 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| One or more suspensions | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 44 | 10 | 35 | 32 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | l | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | 2019 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 38% | 53% | 56% | | | | 41% | 52% | 57% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 61% | | | | | | 54% | 55% | 58% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 78% | | | | | | 55% | 50% | 53% | |
| Math Achievement | 42% | 50% | 50% | | | | 44% | 54% | 63% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 72% | | | | | | 54% | 57% | 62% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 71% | | | | | | 43% | 46% | 51% | |
| Science Achievement | 33% | 59% | 59% | | | | 43% | 50% | 53% | |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 36% | 52% | -16% | 58% | -22% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 39% | 55% | -16% | 58% | -19% |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison | | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| | 2019 | 39% | 54% | -15% | 56% | -17% |
| Cohort Com | nparison | -39% | | | | |

| | | | MATH | l | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 45% | 54% | -9% | 62% | -17% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 38% | 57% | -19% | 64% | -26% |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison | | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 39% | 54% | -15% | 60% | -21% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -38% | | | | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 39% | 51% | -12% | 53% | -14% |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |

Subgroup Data Review

| | 2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | | |
| SWD | 19 | 53 | 69 | 14 | 58 | 75 | 6 | | | | | | |
| ELL | 39 | 59 | | 38 | 59 | | 46 | | | | | | |
| BLK | 29 | 67 | | 35 | 77 | | 22 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 46 | 60 | 70 | 51 | 69 | | 40 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 38 | 56 | | 33 | 63 | | 36 | | | | | | |
| FRL | 38 | 63 | 82 | 42 | 75 | 74 | 31 | | | | | | |

| | | 2021 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 12 | 19 | | 10 | 13 | | 13 | | | | |
| ELL | 24 | 15 | | 20 | 15 | | 19 | | | | |
| BLK | 17 | 22 | | 22 | 17 | | 11 | | | | |
| HSP | 31 | 22 | | 28 | 14 | | 25 | | | | |
| WHT | 26 | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| FRL | 26 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 17 | 40 | 22 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 27 | 51 | 58 | 28 | 54 | 67 | 32 | | | | |
| ELL | 40 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 61 | | 42 | | | | |
| BLK | 36 | 47 | 60 | 37 | 48 | 43 | 44 | | | | |
| HSP | 46 | 58 | 56 | 48 | 58 | | 41 | | | | |
| WHT | 36 | 63 | | 44 | 44 | | | | | | |
| FRL | 42 | 55 | 56 | 43 | 54 | 45 | 43 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 55 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 48 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 443 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 8 |
| Percent Tested | 98% |

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities 44

NO

Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?

| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | U |
|---|---|
| English Language Learners | |

| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 48 |
|---|----|
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |

| English Language Learners | |
|--|-----|
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 46 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 55 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 45 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students | | |
|--|----|--|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 57 | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 | |

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The lowest data component from the 2021-2022 school year are students with disabilities with 19% proficiency in ELA and 14% proficiency in mathematics. A contributing factor was the lack of rapid interventions for this targeted groups of students who have significant learning gaps or who lack key foundational skills. This particular subgroup of students did show growth in overall gains and in the lowest 25% gains.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The component that demonstrates the greatest need for improvement from 2022 FSA data were our ELA and math achievement proficiency.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factors to this need for the areas of improvement in proficiency were the lack of foundational skills and instructional strategies demonstrated by students during the core and independent practice to meet and/or exceed grade-level benchmarks.

Lack of teacher understanding and clarity regarding demands and instructional rigor to meet and/or exceed grade level benchmarks. Additional resources were allocated to support the lowest 25% of students in 3rd-5th grade.

New actions that will be taken to address this need for improvement will consist of the following utilizing the KUD method to increase teacher clarity regarding the rigor and demands of the grade-level benchmarks, continuing to implement collaborative planning sessions with academic coaches to design instructional task aligned to grade-level benchmarks and allocating the appropriate to facilitate benchmark based small group instruction.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

The component that demonstrated the greatest improvement from 2022 FSA data was our math learning gains increasing from 17% to 77%.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Last Modified: 5/3/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 14 of 30

Our math coach and other personnel staff members supported our bottom quartile students with small group instruction. Planning sessions focused on providing scaffolded support to ensure progress towards mastery of the

standards and daily intended learning outcomes. Students were given multiple opportunities to engage in rigorous aligned instructional task during core and small group instruction. Students also demonstrated ownership of the data and learning by participating in data driven conversations after informal and formal assessments with members of the administrative and academic leadership team.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Explicit Modeling- When teachers adopt explicit teaching practices they clearly show students what to do and how to do it. The teacher decides on learning intentions and success criteria, makes them transparent to students, and demonstrates them by modelling. The teacher checks for understanding, and at the end of each lesson revisits what was covered and ties it all together (Hattie, 2009)

- Direct instruction 0.59
- Teacher clarity 0.75

Feedback-Feedback informs a student and/or teacher about the student's performance relative to learning goals. Feedback redirects or refocuses teacher and student actions so the student can align Hillsborough - 3281 - Palm River Elementary School - 2021-22 SIP

Last Modified: 9/2/2022 https://www.floridacims.org Page 15 of 23

effort and activity with a clear outcome that leads to achieving a learning goal. Teachers and peers can provide formal or informal

feedback. It can be oral, written, formative or summative. Whatever its form, it comprises specific advice a student can use to improve performance.

• Feedback - 0.73

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Driven by Data 2.0- Data-driven instruction is the philosophy that schools should focus on two simple questions: how do you know if are students learning? And when they are not, what do you do about it? Driven by Data 2.0 is a practical guide that answers these questions to empower schools to achieve significant gains in student achievement.

Assess—set the roadmap for learning

Analyze—identify why students struggle

Act—teach more effectively what students need

Build the culture—train and develop your staff so that data-driven instruction can thrive

Get Better Faster- It's the book's focus on the actionable—the practice-able—that drives effective coaching. By practicing the concrete actions and micro-skills listed here, teachers will markedly improve their ability to lead a class, producing a steady chain reaction of future teaching success.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Strong resource team consisting of reading coach and math coach, This team will use their expertise to coach and guide teachers/students to strengthen the core instruction.

Teachers will participate in 5-day of support cycles focused on student-centered coaching that focuses on a benchmark based goal.

We also have an behavior leadership team that will influence the overall mindset and culture of the school.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

.

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Spring 2022 iReady Diagnostic data indicated 61% of Kindergarten- 5th

grade students received

an overall placement in literacy of one or more years below grade level

indicating that the

majority of our students are not meeting the instructional requirements to be

considered

Area of Focus
Description and

Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

proficient on their grade level benchmarks.

Spring 2022 ELA FSA data indicated 62% of 3rd-5th grade students received

a Level 1 or 2 achievement score.

Spring 2022 Mathematics FSA data indicated 58% of 3rd-5th grade students

received a Level 1 or 2 achievement score.

Essential practices such as explicitly modeling OF instructional strategies, offering feedback to students that address misconceptions and making

adjustments throughout the lesson will be implemented to ensure students are progressing towards mastery of the intended learning

target and grade

level benchmarks resulting in student achievement.

By Spring 2023, 100% of students in grades Kindergarten-5 will show a years growth by

Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

reaching and/or exceeding their iReady typical growth measure from Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 3.

By Spring 2023, 50% 3rd-5th grade students receive a Level 3 or higher on the ELA portion of the FAST assessment.

By Spring 2023, 50% 3rd-5th grade students receive a Level 3 or higher on the Mathematics portion of the FAST assessment.

The following area of focus will be monitored through:

Data collection during core instruction with specific student look-fors designed during instructional planning sessions to determine student progress towards intended outcome of the lesson. From data collection, teachers will provide planned targeted, intentional small group instruction with a specific benchmark/skill focus to positively impact student learning.

Monitoring:
Describe how this Area
of Focus will be
monitored for the
desired outcome.

Analyze formal and informal data and implement needed interventions according to student data from ELA and mathematics mini-assessments, unit tests and FAST Progress Monitoring assessments.

Students applying and articulating use of instructional strategies to text and problem-solving as demonstrated by teacher during explicit modeling Student responding to text verbally and in written form Students showing multiple ways to solve a problem through computations.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Kelly McCluney (kelly.mccluney@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Explicit Modeling- When teachers adopt explicit teaching practices they clearly show

students what to do and how to do it. The teacher decides on learning intentions and

success criteria, makes them transparent to students, and demonstrates

modelling. The teacher checks for understanding, and at the end of each lesson revisits

what was covered and ties it all together (Hattie, 2009)

- Direct instruction 0.59
- Teacher clarity 0.75

Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Feedback- Feedback informs a student and/or teacher about the student's performance

relative to learning goals. Feedback redirects or refocuses teacher and student actions so

the student can align effort and activity with a clear outcome that leads to achieving a

learning goal. Teachers and peers can provide formal or informal feedback. It can be oral, written, formative or summative. Whatever its form, it comprises specific

Feedback – 0.73

The research based work of John Hattie's Visible Learning refers to making student

learning visible to teachers so they can know whether they are having an impact on student

learning. It also refers to making teaching visible to the student as well so that students

learn to become their own teachers, an important component of becoming lifelong learners

- something we want students to value. Teaching and learning are visible when the

learning goal is not only challenging but is explicit. When implementing visible learning

instructional strategies, both the teacher and the student work together to attain the goal,

provide feedback, and ascertain whether the student has attained the goal. Evidence

shows that the greatest effects on student learning come when the students become their

own teachers In successful classrooms, both the teaching and learning are visible.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Design master schedule to include common grade level collaborative planning sessions centered on benchmark-based planning.

Create and implement planning protocols that

will be utilized to structure planning sessions to support the understanding of the intent and rigor of the grade level benchmarks.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific

strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

strategy.

Designed explicit models with instructional strategies to support grade level benchmark and student thinking.

Person Responsible Sophia Haynes (sophia.haynes@hcps.net)

Administer district baseline assessments (foundational skills, fluency and reading comprehension) to gain quick information on students unfinished learning in prerequisite skills and knowledge. Leadership team will analyze formative data to understand current state of students learning while identifying the content knowledge and skills students may struggle with within their current grade level. Engage teachers in data deep drive to analyze to prioritize the most critical prerequisite skills and adjust grade level curriculum scope and sequence pacing guides according to students needs.

Person Responsible Kelly McCluney (kelly.mccluney@hcps.net)

Academic coaches will facilitate weekly grade professional learning communities to help build and develop content and best instructional practices.

Weekly grade level plc's will analyze student instructional task to determine student progress towards demonstrating that is preventing them from achieving proficiency. Tiered levels of intervention will be implemented according to student data.

Person Responsible Kelly McCluney (kelly.mccluney@hcps.net)

Administration will conduct daily classroom observations to provide feedback and progress monitor explicit modeling and task alignment to grade level benchmarks. Administration will provide immediate feedback to coach teachers.

Administration will meet weekly with academic coaches to discuss walk-though observations/feedback to progress monitor implementation of collaborative planning sessions and impact of coaching cycles.

Person Responsible Kelly McCluney (kelly.mccluney@hcps.net)

#2. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

Last Modified: 5/3/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 19 of 30

#3. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#4. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#5. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#6. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#7. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#8. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#9. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#10. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#11. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#12. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#13. -- Select below -- specifically relating to

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Based on the 2022 STAR Literacy Progress Monitoring Assessment #1 data, 54% of Kindergarten students are below the 25th percentile.

Based on the 2022 STAR Literacy Progress Monitoring Assessment #1 data, 46% of 1st grade students are below the 25th percentile.

Based on the 2022 STAR Reading Progress Monitoring Assessment #1 data, 60% of 2nd grade students are below the 25th percentile.

By focusing on ELA, the instructional improvements will include a strong focus on foundational skills, benchmarks based planning, instructional tasks alignment to intended learning outcome and rigor of task, and explicit modeling of instructional strategies to support benchmarks.

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Based on the 2022 FAST Progress Monitoring Assessment #1, 69% of 3rd-5th grade students scored at level 1. By focusing on ELA, the instructional improvements will include benchmarks based planning, instructional tasks alignment to intended learning outcome and rigor of task, explicit modeling of instructional strategies to support benchmarks taught.

Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

On the 2023 STAR Literacy Progress Monitoring Assessment #3 data, 60% of Kindergarten- 2nd grade students will be above the 50% percentile or above in literacy and mathematics.

Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

On the 2023 FAST Progress Monitoring Assessment #3, 50% of 3rd-5th grade students will score at a level 3 or higher in ELA and Mathematics.

Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

Areas of focus will be monitored through core instruction classroom observations with specific measure to gage student progress towards the intended learning outcome, collection, analysis of student work to include student work samples and exit tickets. Inventions developed and implemented from data analysis. Administrative and academic leadership teams will progress monitor school-wide and grade level data. Data will also be progress monitored for individual and groups of students.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net

Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Explicit Modeling- When teachers adopt explicit teaching practices they clearly show students what to do and how to do it. The teacher decides on learning intentions and success criteria, makes them transparent to students, and demonstrates them by modelling. The teacher checks for understanding, and at the end of each lesson revisits what was covered and ties it all together (Hattie, 2009)

- Direct instruction 0.59
- Teacher clarity 0.75

Feedback-Feedback informs a student and/or teacher about the student's performance relative to learning goals. Feedback redirects or refocuses teacher and student actions so the student can align effort and activity with a clear outcome that leads to achieving a learning goal. Teachers and peers can provide formal or informal feedback. It can be oral, written, formative or summative. Whatever its form, it comprises specific advice a student can use to improve performance.

• Feedback - 0.73

Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

The research based work of John Hattie's Visible Learning refers to making student learning visible to teachers so they can know whether they are having an impact on student learning. It also refers to making teaching visible to the student as well so that students learn to become their own teachers, an important component of becoming lifelong learners – something we want students to value. Teaching and learning are visible when the learning goal is not only challenging but is explicit. When implementing visible learning instructional strategies, both the teacher and the student work together to attain the goal, provide feedback, and ascertain whether the student has attained the goal. Evidence

shows that the greatest effects on student learning come when the students become their own teachers In successful classrooms, both the teaching and learning are visible.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

| Action Step | Person Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|---|
| Design master schedule to include common grade level instructional design sessions centered on benchmarks-based planning to accelerate student learning. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |
| Administer district progress monitoring baseline assessments to gain information on students learning on benchmark skills and knowledge. Academic Leadership team will analyze formative data to understand current state of students learning while identifying the content knowledge and skills students may struggle with within their current grade level. Engage teachers in data deep drive to analyze to prioritize the most critical prerequisite skills/benchmarks and adjust grade level curriculum scope and sequence pacing guides according to student's needs. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |
| Weekly grade level plc's will analyze student instructional task and student work samples to determine student progress towards intended learning outcome, mastery of grade level benchmarks and what misconceptions students are demonstrating that is preventing them from achieving proficiency. Tiered levels of intervention will be implemented according to student data. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |
| Students, administrative and academic leadership team will track individual/grade level data to know and understand their current level of proficiency, and receive frequent feedback on their performance and areas of improvement. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |
| Administrative team will conduct daily walk-thoughs to progress monitor explicit modeling and task alignment to grade level benchmarks. Administration will provide immediate feedback to coach teachers. Administration will meet weekly with academic coaches to discuss walk-though observations/feedback to progress monitor implementation of collaborative planning sessions and impact of coaching cycles. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |
| Leverage academic coaches to provide continuous tiered teacher support and coaching on teacher clarity, success criteria, targeted task alignment and intent and rigor of the grade level standards | McBride, Kelly, kelly.mcbride@hcps.net |
| Staff will participate in quarterly data check-ins to progress monitor school-wide progress towards to gains in student proficiency and student learning gains. | McCluney, Kelly, kelly.mccluney@hcps.net |

Last Modified: 5/3/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 29 of 30

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment. Palm River strives to maintain a healthy and positive school culture and environment by our collective vision, mission and core values. Our school is committed to ensuring all stakeholders feel seen, valued, and heard. Our students are provided with multiple opportunities to demonstrate leadership skills through their daily interactions and opportunities in the classroom with classroom jobs and leadership roles. We empowering teachers to actively seek teacher leadership roles and responsibilities. In our school, we have champion teams (physical environment, empowering instruction, social emotional learning, direct lessons, leadership roles, new and ongoing staff learning, and family and community partnerships) where everyone in the school serves on a team. Staff members choose their teams based on passions; then, set goals, action steps, and celebrate when meeting their goals. These teams drive our school improvement plan, which means teachers are making the decisions to execute the mission and vision of the school. Their ownership of who we are creates a sense of pride. Communication and positive parent interactions are other critical elements in building and maintaining a positive school culture.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

The stakeholders play an important role in managing schools. They are the partners of the school leaders in making the schools conducive to teaching and learning. They are also responsible for the achievement of the learning outcomes through their active participation in school activities, programs and projects. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.