Hillsborough County Public Schools

Lamb Elementary



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 15 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Lamb Elementary

6274 S 78TH ST, Tampa, FL 33619

http://lamb.mysdhc.org/

Demographics

Principal: Shawnette Singleton

Start Date for this Principal: 6/27/2022

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School KG-5 | | | | | | | |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education | | | | | | | |
| 2021-22 Title I School | KG-5 K-12 General Education Yes 100% udents With Disabilities* nglish Language Learners* ack/African American Students* spanic Students* ultiracial Students* hite Students conomically Disadvantaged | | | | | | | |
| 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% | | | | | | | |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students* | | | | | | | |
| School Grades History | 2021-22: D (32%) 2018-19: C (41%) 2017-18: C (42%) | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* | | | | | | | |
| SI Region | Central | | | | | | | |
| Regional Executive Director | <u>Lucinda Thompson</u> | | | | | | | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Year | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Support Tier | N/A | | | | | | | |
| ESSA Status | CSI | | | | | | | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here. | | | | | | | | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 15 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Lamb Elementary

6274 S 78TH ST, Tampa, FL 33619

http://lamb.mysdhc.org/

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID I | | 2021-22 Title I School | Disadvan | 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Elementary S KG-5 | School | Yes | | 100% | | | | | | | |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Report | 9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white I Survey 2) | | | | | | | |
| K-12 General Education | | No | | 94% | | | | | | | |
| School Grades Histo | ry | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 2021-22 | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | | | | | | | |
| Grade | D | | С | С | | | | | | | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Lamb Elementary School will provide the highest quality educational experience where students will build relationships in a positive culture, while engaging in standards-based instruction to achieve academic excellence.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our students will become leaders in their classrooms to enhance the school and the community at large.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Singleton, Shawnette | Principal | ? Develops and coordinates educational programs through meetings with staff, reviews of teachers' activities, and issuance of directives. ? Administers and develops educational programs for students with mental or physical disabilities. ? Confers with teachers, students, and parents concerning educational and behavioral problems in school. ? Establishes and maintains relationships with colleges, community organizations, and other schools to coordinate educational services. ? Requisitions and allocates supplies, equipment, and instructional material as needed. ? Directs preparation of class schedules, cumulative records, and attendance reports. ? Walks about school building and property to monitor safety and security. ? Plans and monitors school budget. ? Plans for and directs building maintenance. ? Performs any other duties as assigned. ? Responsibilities and tasks outlined in this document are not exhaustive and may change as determined by the needs of the district. |
| Garcia, Nino | Attendance/ Social Work | ? Conducts home visits and provide outreach services to students, parents, and families. ? Serves as liaison between the school, family, and community agencies. ? Consults with classroom teachers, administrators, and others to provide insight for students and understanding regarding a particular child's (or group of children's needs) behavior. ? Completes a comprehensive, diagnostic assessment of the child's social, emotional, and interpersonal relationships within the school, home, and community. ? Serves on the Child Study Team at each school to assist students experiencing attendance, academic, social, emotional, and/or health problems. ? Participates in staffings with local school personnel parents, and appropriate community agency staff to provide coordinated evaluation and planning. ? Advocates for children ensuring student's and families' rights are observed. ? Provides and participates in training programs. |
| Williams, Veronica | Reading Coach | ? Assists in the oversight and daily operation of elementary K-5 Reading Coaches at elementary |

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------|-------------------|---|
| | | schools and works with area directors, principals, assistant principals, and teachers. |
| | | ? Demonstrates a strong working knowledge of best practices in specified content area |
| | | ? Develops and conducts professional development for targeted audience in specific content areas |
| | | regarding instructional strategies, best practices, and specific instructional topics. |
| | | ? Provides coaching to targeted audience to improve instruction, classroom organization, |
| | | classroom management, and effective implementation of district-provided resources. |
| | | ? Conducts data conferences with classroom teachers to interpret data and plan instruction. |
| | | ? Models effective teaching techniques when presenting to groups and other professionals. |
| | | ? Assists specified content supervisors in developing model lesson plans and curriculum |
| | | development. ? Meets regularly with building administrators regarding classroom practices and provides on |
| | | ?going feedback with specified content supervisor. |

| Letcher, Joy Other | ? Coaches teachers, paraprofessionals, behavior technicians, and other professionals in the use of behavior interventions; assists with implementation and modeling of behavior strategies within the classroom. ? Designs and delivers behavior management training, attends required and non-required training related to job skills, and provides follow-up to district-level training. ? Assists with data collection and implementation of Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (PBIP) processes, including training, modeling, and coaching those who will deliver the intervention, monitoring student behavior changes and intervention integrity, and supporting data-based decisions throughout the implementation of Positive Behavior Intervention Plans (PBIP). ? Coordinates activities with supervisors and administrators to develop systems to prevent behaviors that lead to restraints and suspensions. ? Assists with individual behavior contracts and social skills group planning. ? Possess a high-level understanding of behavior management strategies and methodologies, including de-escalation skills. Creates effective management systems and |
|-----------------------|--|
|-----------------------|--|

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | determines appropriate positive behavior supports. ? Communicates effectively with parents, peers, and administration, including both verbal and nonverbal communication. ? Supports the Problem-Solving Leadership Team (PSLT) in implementation of Problem-Solving Response to Intervention (PSRtI) and schoolwide Positive Behavior Systems (PBS). ? Performs any other duties as assigned. Responsibilities and tasks outlined in this document are not exhaustive and may change as determined by the needs of the district |
| Ferguson, Michelle | Assistant Principal | ? Makes or shares in the making of decisions in a timely manner, using appropriate levels of involvement so that actions may be taken and commitments made by self and others. ? Acts in accordance with the shared vision and mission of the district and school; cares about the organization's reputation and is aware of the effect his/her decisions make on the organization. ? Influences the school stakeholders by a variety of means, such as persuasive argument, setting examples, or using expertise; is able to present ideas to others in an open, informative, and non-evaluative manner; is able to write clearly and concisely. ? Uses data to implement curriculum and instructional supervision; gathers, analyzes and uses data from varied and multiple sources to build relationships, form concepts, and create hypotheses; analyzes alternatives and perspectives when solving a problem or making a decision. ? Demonstrates readiness to initiate action and takes responsibility for leading and enabling others to improve the circumstances being faced or anticipated. ? Organizes cooperatively with staff and other stakeholders to design and implement ways to reach the goals and mission of the school. ? Skillfully facilitates others working together effectively; shows concern for diverse perspectives, as well as empathy for other's feelings; is adaptable |
| Turnbull, Diane | School Counselor | ? Provides an ongoing program of individual, small group, and large group counseling services in the areas of social/personal development, academic advisement, and career |

| | ŀ | Hillsborough - 0128 - Lamb Elementary - 2022-23 SIP |
|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
| | | awareness per the national standards established by the American School Counselor Association. ? Consults and works collaboratively with administrators, teachers, school personnel, district personnel, parents, and various community agencies to meet the counseling and educational planning needs of elementary school students, including schoolwide needs. ? Interprets and utilizes school records, including cognitive, aptitude, and achievement tests, for educational planning. Reviews and evaluates student records and transcripts. ? Addresses individual student needs and refers students to appropriate school and community resources as necessary. ? Provides preventive and responsive services, including crisis counseling as needed, and addresses student mental health needs as appropriate. ? Facilitates the involvement of parents in the education of their students, including assisting in parent/teacher/counselor conferences. |
| Derosier, Cassandra | Other | ? Participates in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of instructional programs related to ELL students. ? Analyzes and utilizes assessment data to improve the performance of ELL students. ? Facilitates the development, implementation, and evaluation of professional development activities to support teachers and other school personnel with research-based and innovative strategies for implementing successful instructional programs for ELL students. |

? Provides coaching to teachers and other school personnel to improve instruction and outcomes

for ELL students.

? Utilizes appropriate strategies and problem-solving tools to make decisions concerning planning,

utilization of funds, delivery of services, and evaluation of services.

? Monitors ELL program improvement initiatives and makes recommendations for changes needed

to ensure highest student achievement.

? Disseminates information and current research to appropriate personnel.

| Porter, | |
|---------|--|
| Megan | |

Math Coach content area

? Demonstrates a strong working knowledge of best practices in specified

? Develops and conducts professional development for targeted audience in

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | specific content areas regarding instructional strategies, best practices, and specific instructional topics. ? Provides coaching to targeted audience to improve instruction, classroom organization, classroom management, and effective implementation of district-provided resources. ? Conducts data conferences with classroom teachers to interpret data and plan instruction. ? Models effective teaching techniques when presenting to groups and other professionals. ? Assists specified content supervisors in developing model lesson plans and curriculum development. ? Meets regularly with building administrators regarding classroom practices and provides on going feedback with specified content supervisor. |
| Wilson, Alida | Psychologist | |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 6/27/2022, Shawnette Singleton

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

515

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 71 | 93 | 74 | 91 | 67 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| lu dinata u | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/29/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | Gr | ade L | _ev | el | | | | | | Total |
|--|----|----|----|-----|----|-------|-----|----|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 71 | 91 | 86 | 112 | 78 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 547 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 1 | 27 | 28 | 34 | 24 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Course failure in ELA | 1 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Course failure in Math | 1 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 9 | 21 | 24 | 43 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| Level 1 on 2021 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Level 1 on 2021 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 38 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | G | rade | Le | ve | l | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| maicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 21 | 24 | 43 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | (| Grad | le L | _ev | el | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|------|------|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 1 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | Gr | ade L | _ev | el | | | | | | Total |
|--|----|----|----|-----|----|-------|-----|----|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 71 | 91 | 86 | 112 | 78 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 547 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 1 | 27 | 28 | 34 | 24 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Course failure in ELA | 1 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Course failure in Math | 1 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 9 | 21 | 24 | 43 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| Level 1 on 2021 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 21 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Level 1 on 2021 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 38 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | G | rade | Le | ve | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 21 | 24 | 43 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| In dia stan | | | | | | Grad | le L | _ev | el | | | | | Tatal |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|------|------|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 1 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | | 2019 | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | 26% | 53% | 56% | | | | 37% | 52% | 57% |
| ELA Learning Gains | 46% | | | | | | 38% | 55% | 58% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 36% | | | | | | 36% | 50% | 53% |
| Math Achievement | 21% | 50% | 50% | | | | 39% | 54% | 63% |
| Math Learning Gains | 34% | | | | | | 47% | 57% | 62% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 38% | | | · | · | | 49% | 46% | 51% |
| Science Achievement | 22% | 59% | 59% | | | | 38% | 50% | 53% |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 32% | 52% | -20% | 58% | -26% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 39% | 55% | -16% | 58% | -19% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -32% | | | • | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 32% | 54% | -22% | 56% | -24% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -39% | | | • | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Co | mparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0% | | | • | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 31% | 54% | -23% | 62% | -31% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 45% | 57% | -12% | 64% | -19% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -31% | ' | | <u>'</u> | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 38% | 54% | -16% | 60% | -22% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -45% | | | ' | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 33% | 51% | -18% | 53% | -20% |

| | | | SCIENC | CE | | |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |

Subgroup Data Review

| | | 2022 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 |
| SWD | 21 | 22 | 17 | 14 | 26 | 18 | 27 | | | | |
| ELL | 29 | 38 | 20 | 16 | 30 | | 25 | | | | |
| BLK | 19 | 51 | 59 | 14 | 32 | 53 | 17 | | | | |
| HSP | 29 | 40 | 13 | 22 | 38 | 17 | 21 | | | | |
| WHT | 41 | | | 47 | | | | | | | |
| FRL | 26 | 45 | 38 | 19 | 35 | 39 | 23 | | | | |
| | | 2021 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | • | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 19 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 13 | | | | |
| ELL | 22 | 32 | | 25 | 20 | | 17 | | | | |
| BLK | 16 | 29 | 38 | 15 | 26 | 27 | 10 | | | | |
| HSP | 25 | 24 | | 27 | 21 | | 18 | | | | |
| MUL | 27 | | | 27 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 39 | | | 50 | | | | | | | |
| FRL | 20 | 26 | 38 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 14 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | • | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 23 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 33 | 31 | 21 | | | | |
| ELL | 14 | 33 | 42 | 35 | 61 | 55 | 20 | | | | |
| ASN | 50 | | | 90 | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 31 | 37 | 30 | 29 | 37 | 52 | 29 | | | | |
| HSP | 36 | 38 | 50 | 42 | 48 | 43 | 45 | | | | |
| MUL | 43 | | | 21 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 63 | 40 | | 63 | 78 | | | | | | |
| FRL | 35 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 45 | 47 | 37 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | CSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 34 |

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | YES |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 5 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 50 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 273 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 8 |
| Percent Tested | 98% |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 21 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 3 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 30 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 1 |
| | |
| Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| | N/A |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | N/A 0 |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students | 0 |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | 0 N/A |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 N/A |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students | 0 N/A 0 |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 0 N/A 0 |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | 0 N/A 0 35 YES |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 N/A 0 35 YES |
| Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students | 0 N/A 0 35 YES 0 |

| Multiracial Students | |
|--|----------|
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 44 |
| Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | 44 NO |
| | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | NO |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students | NO 0 |

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

In 2019, student math proficiency was 39% and in 2022 student proficiency was 21% which is a 18% decrease.

In 2019, student ELA proficiency was 37%, in 2022 student ELA proficiency was 26% which is a decrease of 11%.

In 2019, student science proficiency was 38% and in 2022 science proficiency was 22% which is a 16% decrease.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

When analyzing school comparison data, the data component showing the greatest area of need is our levels of proficiency in ELA, Math, and Science.

The ELA BQ gains were 46% and the Math BQ gains were 38%.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Resources specific to each grade level may have contributed to the lack of progress. The progress monitoring process was not adequately monitored and inconsistently analyzed.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Overall ELA gains showed the most improvement increasing from 38% in 2019 to 46% in 2022.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Grades 3-5 were comprised of effective and highly effective teacher who stayed in ELA for the duration of the school year and had over 1 year of teaching experience in Hillsborough county.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Weekly PLC planning meetings that analyze data with fidelity. Small group tutoring/intervention for students.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Book Study - Driven by Data 2.0 Teach Me Tuesdays - With fidelity checks of implementation Our reading coach will attend all PLC planning meetings.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Non-evaluative walkthroughs by coaches and administration.

Weekly PLC planning meetings to analyze data with fidelity.

Bi-weekly grade level team meetings with coaches and administration focusing on current data trends.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

.

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical

need from the data reviewed.

Lamb's 2022 FSA data shows low academic performance in all content areas. 59% of the instructional staff have 0-3 years of experience, therefore we are focused on strengthening core instruction in all content areas (ELA, Math, and Science). To engage all students in rigorous, grade level core instruction with appropriate pacing and intentional scaffolded supports

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific

measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Standards based assessments will be used to show mastery of grade level benchmarks.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area

of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Common planning discussions of data. Weekly walkthroughs with feedback.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Shawnette Singleton (shawnette.singleton@hcps.net)

Data teams will meet weekly for aggressive monitoring of students'

performance outcomes.

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Weekly planning sessions will be focused on standards with an emphasis on teacher

Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this

Area of Focus.

clarity.

The teachers will develop a progress monitoring tool to ensure student

mastery of the standards.

Administration will analyze walkthrough data to help determine coaching next

steps for teachers.

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

ELA teachers will aggressively monitor standards-based tasks for students within each

lesson with frequent checks for understanding while using this information to determine

data driven small group instruction.

strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Small Group Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Use formative assessment methods to design, reengage, and enrich student learning of grade level standards through small group instruction.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Target small groups based on data.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Evidence of small group planning. Walk- throughs with feedback.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Ferguson (michelle.ferguson@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Small group explicit instruction based on data.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Teachers will focus on individual student needs as well as maximize instructional time to ensure that every student receives high quality instruction.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Common Planning specifically for small group. Modeling of explicit instruction during planning

Identifying and/or creating common assessments aligned to grade level standards

Walkthroughs with feedback

Coaching cycles when needed

Person Responsible

Veronica Williams (veronica.williams1@hcps.net)

#3. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to goal setting

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. To foster a positive school culture through individual goal setting for teachers and students.

Although data folders were used in 2022, based on student and teacher input it was determined that there was a need to ensure that each student knows their individual goals and the specific steps needed to meet or exceed those goals. These goals can be academic, behavioral, or social-emotional.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific

measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Student data folders with steps to reach their goals will be used revisited quarterly to check student goals.

Each lead teacher will be in charge of checking to ensure that students

data folders are being used with fidelity.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Derosier - Kindergarten Stephenson - 1st Letcher - ESE Jones - 2nd Sanders - 3rd

Porter - 4th Ferguson - 5th

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Shawnette Singleton (shawnette.singleton@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Creation of individual student goal setting to promote a growth mindset as well as a positive school environment.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

It was determined that students were struggling with negative behaviors and accountability using data folders as well as visuals and PBIS within the school.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Common planning to access data

Student data conferences

Schoolwide celebrations of students meeting academic, behavioral, and social-emotional goals

PBIS rewards

Morning show visuals

Person Responsible Shawnette Singleton (shawnette.singleton@hcps.net)

RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

56% of K-2 students are one or more grade levels below in vocabulary according to to the iReady Spring 2022 Diagnostic.

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

70% of students in grades 3-5 are one or more grade levels below in vocabulary according to the iReady Spring 2022 Diagnostic.

Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

Increase the level of students performing on or above grade level by 10%.

Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

Increase the level of students performing on or above grade level by 10%.

Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

Administration will be conducting weekly feedback walkthroughs specifically focused on vocabulary. Coaching support to ensure that teachers are effectively using vocabulary strategies.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Singleton, Shawnette, shawnette.singleton@hcps.net

Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

Reading wonders is a research based program that is recommended by HCPS.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

^{*}Vocabulary - Reading wonders Critchlow verbal language scales

^{*}FCRR for tier 2 and tier 3.

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

Literacy Leadership
Facilitating PLCs and planning sessions
Conducting walkthroughs to ensure grade level appropriate vocabulary instruction

Literacy Coaching
Facilitate planning to address common vocabulary strategies and misconceptions
Model vocabulary lessons/strategies

Assessment

Use common assessments from the research based program, Reading Wonders

Use data from common assessments to form targeted small groups for vocabulary instruction

Professional Learning
Teach Me Tuesdays focused on vocabulary strategies
Professional Development on Wonders Vocabulary

Singleton, Shawnette, shawnette.singleton@hcps.net

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Lamb Elementary takes a proactive approach to building a positive school culture. As part of our Tier 1 systems, we use a PBIS system. Throughout the day, students can earn Bolt Bucks for appropriately following our schoolwide expectations. Students can spend these bucks at the school store or to attend a special monthly event. The fidelity and implementation of our PBIS system is monitored by the PBIS team. The team will meet monthly to review Tier 1 PBIS data including the behavior tracker and attendance.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Teachers and staff will reward the students with Bolt Bucks. Administration will establish high expectations and support teachers, staff, families, and students in meeting those expectations. Our Instructional Coaches and Student Services Team will support teachers and students. Parents will support the students and have open lines of communication with teachers and administration. Our community partners will provide the supports needed.