

Escambia County School District

Bellview Middle School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Bellview Middle School

6201 MOBILE HWY, Pensacola, FL 32526

www.escambiaschools.org

Demographics

Principal: Paul Lovely

Start Date for this Principal: 7/12/2022

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	Yes
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2021-22: D (37%) 2018-19: C (45%) 2017-18: D (40%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	N/A
Support Tier	N/A
ESSA Status	CSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Bellview Middle School

6201 MOBILE HWY, Pensacola, FL 32526

www.escambiaschools.org

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	Yes	100%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	78%

School Grades History

Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	D		C	C

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Bellview Middle School is a diverse and inclusive school community in which all staff is committed to academic and behavioral excellence. Therefore, Bellview Middle School respects the individual needs of children; fosters a caring, safe and creative environment; and emphasizes the social, emotional, physical, and intellectual development of each child. Bellview exhibits pride, purpose, and perseverance.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Bellview Middle School is to provide a rich, caring, and stimulating environment where children will be able to recognize and achieve their fullest academic potential.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Lovely, Paul	Principal	As a member of the school leadership team, I provide instructional leadership necessary to design, develop, implement, support, and evaluate the instructional programs utilized at Bellview Middle School. Additional duties and responsibilities summarized: Utilizes current research, outside sources, performance data, and feedback from students, teachers, parents, and the community to make decisions related to the improvement of instruction and student performance. Promotes high student achievement and empowers students to become critical thinkers, enthusiastic readers, skillful researchers, and ethical users of information review performance data and provide feedback to all stakeholders that relate to the success of students.
Fulton, Dawn	Assistant Principal	As a member of the school leadership team, I assist the principal in providing instructional leadership necessary to design, develop, implement, support, and evaluate the instructional programs utilized at Bellview Middle School. Additional duties and responsibilities summarized: assist and oversee the daily operations of the school. Supervises curricular and extracurricular activities as assigned. Provides recommendations to the Principal regarding curriculum improvement. Supervises textbook selection, acquisition, and inventory. Assists the Principal in the administration of the summer school program. Assists in developing the master schedule and assignment for students and staff. Assists in the administration of the testing program. Assists in gathering, analyzing, and interpreting data related to student performance. Assists in coordinating the school's acceleration program.
Gentry, Brandi	Assistant Principal	As a member of the school leadership team, I assist the principal in providing instructional leadership necessary to design, develop, implement, support, and evaluate the instructional programs utilized at Bellview Middle School. Additional duties and responsibilities summarized: assist and oversee the daily operations of the school. Assists the Principal in overseeing the behavior team. Supervises curricular and extracurricular activities as assigned. Supervises equipment selection, acquisition, and inventory. Assists in the administration of the summer school program. Assists in gathering, analyzing, and interpreting data related to student performance and behavior.
Nickerson, Tracey	Curriculum Resource Teacher	As a member of the school leadership team: I meet with administration and staff to review student data with a focus on interventions for learning or behavioral problems. Additional duties and responsibilities include: facilitating meetings to determine and monitor student growth, assisting staff in the use and review of data in the appropriate Rti tiers, and facilitating training and meetings with staff in Rti and attendance.
Cobb, LaQuette	Instructional Coach	As a member of the school leadership team, I support the implementation of the Science curriculum at Bellview Middle School. I plan, model, and seek resources to assist with instructional enrichment in the classroom and provide continuous instructional support to staff.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Inman, Dawn	Instructional Coach	As a member of the school leadership team: I support the implementation of the ELA and Reading curriculum at Bellview Middle School. I plan, model, and seek resources to assist with instructional enrichment in the classroom and provide continuous instructional support to staff.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 7/12/2022, Paul Lovely

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

0

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

10

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

77

Total number of students enrolled at the school

1,022

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

21

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

21

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	352	347	320	0	0	0	0	1019
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	146	108	109	0	0	0	0	363
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	137	127	0	0	0	0	337
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	46	46	0	0	0	0	128
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	28	51	0	0	0	0	117
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	144	160	172	0	0	0	0	476
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	179	191	163	0	0	0	0	533
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	87	119	0	0	0	0	296

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	144	150	0	0	0	0	430

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	29	18	0	0	0	0	52
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	30	23	0	0	0	0	65

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/16/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	362	388	310	0	0	0	0	1060
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	151	131	84	0	0	0	0	366
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	96	66	0	0	0	0	207
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	39	60	0	0	0	0	140
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	41	59	0	0	0	0	161
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	176	151	0	0	0	0	455
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	176	222	158	0	0	0	0	556
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	68	62	0	0	0	0	203

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	27	9	0	0	0	0	48
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	62	9	0	0	0	0	96

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	362	388	310	0	0	0	0	1060
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	151	131	84	0	0	0	0	366
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	96	66	0	0	0	0	207
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	39	60	0	0	0	0	140
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	41	59	0	0	0	0	161
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	176	151	0	0	0	0	455
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	176	222	158	0	0	0	0	556
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level														Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	68	62	0	0	0	0	203	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	27	9	0	0	0	0	48
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	62	9	0	0	0	0	96

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	20%	42%	50%				31%	48%	54%
ELA Learning Gains	32%						50%	52%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	31%						48%	45%	47%
Math Achievement	20%	33%	36%				26%	46%	58%
Math Learning Gains	39%						42%	47%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	46%						50%	43%	51%
Science Achievement	25%	43%	53%				29%	43%	51%
Social Studies Achievement	29%	50%	58%				40%	58%	72%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	27%	42%	-15%	54%	-27%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	30%	43%	-13%	52%	-22%
Cohort Comparison		-27%				
08	2022					
	2019	33%	50%	-17%	56%	-23%
Cohort Comparison		-30%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	20%	36%	-16%	55%	-35%
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	32%	50%	-18%	54%	-22%
Cohort Comparison		-20%				
08	2022					
	2019	13%	21%	-8%	46%	-33%
Cohort Comparison		-32%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2022					
	2019	27%	42%	-15%	48%	-21%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	39%	54%	-15%	71%	-32%
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	56%	52%	4%	61%	-5%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	9	22	30	9	26	35	11	14	92		
ELL	7	26	21	16	36	36	13	13	82		
ASN	45	50		64	59		40		73		
BLK	12	28	35	14	38	49	14	22	97		
HSP	23	34	22	19	30	41	32	23	84		
MUL	25	32	30	20	39	50	25	29	92		
WHT	31	37	28	30	42	38	44	45	74		
FRL	19	31	31	19	39	48	25	27	84		
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	11	15	15	10	19	30	7	17	100		
ELL	16	31	25	14	32	33		24			
ASN	75	57		69	57						
BLK	17	23	21	11	19	28	13	20	85		
HSP	27	29	22	16	22	38	12	24	86		
MUL	25	38		22	30		26	24	94		
WHT	34	34	28	24	25	36	31	50	89		
FRL	22	25	20	15	21	31	13	27	88		
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	16	43	45	16	37	43	17	18	100		
ELL	17	42	32	19	49	57	17				
ASN	71	76		76	61		64		100		
BLK	22	44	46	17	37	48	19	28	89		
HSP	35	55	38	25	47	54	27	54	79		
MUL	35	48	64	33	48	50	35	54	83		
WHT	46	61	52	40	49	50	51	51	83		
FRL	29	50	50	24	40	48	27	36	87		

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	36
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	6
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	32
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	361
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	96%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	28
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	28
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	1
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	55
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	34
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	38
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	41
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	34
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The data for the school mostly increased from 2021 to 2022. ELA proficiency dropped 4% points and Civics proficiency stayed consistent. Proficiency has not been above 25% in 3 years for both ELA and math. This is significantly impacting all areas including science and Civics because students reading levels are very low. There were 10% of 6th-graders and 15% of 8th graders retained. There was an average of 50% of teachers/staff vacancies during the 2021-2022 school year. The positions were filled with either by substitutes or other teachers filling in which is a contributing factor to our data. Absences were high for students at an average absenteeism rate of 41%. More specifically, the student absenteeism was the following by grade level: 31% of 6th graders, 34% of 7th graders, 34% of 8th graders.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The data for the school dropped from 2020-2021 in ELA proficiency, by 4%. This drop was across grade levels. This is significantly impacting all areas including science and Civics because the student's reading level is low. Math learning gains (17%), math lower quartile (13%), and science proficiency (6%) increased. Civic proficiency stayed the same. ESSA subgroups are lower than 41% except for two subgroups, this is our greatest need for improvement. (EconDis 36%, ELL 28%, SWD 27%, Non-SWD 39%, Asian 55%, Black 34%, Hispanic 34%, Multiracial 38%, and White 41%) When we raise our ESSA group to 41%, it will also raise our other areas of need.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factors for data drop include a focus on remediation instead of Tier 1 instruction and the lack of teachers/staff. There were many vacancies and subs across the school. Many teachers utilized resources that were not aligned with the standards and were remedial in nature. Therefore, students did not have access to high-quality instruction or resources that mirrored the level of the standard. Science most improved from 19% to 25%. A Science Coach was added to support teachers, there was an introduction to science stations (labs), family night, the use of common planning, and PD from the Science Coach.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

There is a new curriculum in Math and Science, with scripted lessons. There will be extended common planning for 1 hour for 2-3 days a week with District and School-Based support. There will be classroom walk-throughs to support weekly measures and there will be feedback provided 3 times a week. The Weekly measures that will be reported are; attendance, standards-based instructions, classroom walk-throughs, authentic engagement, and aggressive monitoring and feedback

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The lower quartile had the most growth in math. There were early interventions, with targeted small group instruction. A team identified lower quartile students in November and began after-school tutoring in January. There were also FOCUS Lessons taught during Compass that was done through Closed TV (step-by-step) and students were working through the lesson at the same time.

There is a new curriculum and testing for math. There is common planning for 1 hour for 2-3 days a week with District and School-based support. There will be classroom walkthroughs to support weekly measures and there will be feedback provided 3 times a week. The Weekly measures that will be reported are; attendance, standards-based instructions, classroom walk-throughs, authentic engagement, and aggressive monitoring and feedback. ESE, ELL, and Gen ED Collaborative Planning Time

To continue to increase academic performance amongst students with disabilities (SWD), ELL inclusion special education teachers share a collaborative common planning time with inclusion general education teachers.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Instructional practices will be shifting this year at Bellview Middle. We will have common planning to include the teachers, coach, administration, and district, curriculum team. The focus of the planning will be on Tier 1 and differentiated Tier 1 instruction identifying the standard, learning targets, resources, student engagement strategies, and student discussion techniques. Support for small group environments; Students will be working in intentional collaborative groups based on student data

performance measures and to provide timely interventions to address student deficiencies. The leadership will be having regular data chats with teachers and teachers will be having data chats with students. The leadership team along with the district and state team, when on campus, will walk weekly to ensure the implementation of PD, planning, Tier 1 instruction, and other school initiatives.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

The professional development will include PD on the new ELA and math curriculum, classroom management, student engagement strategies, evidence-based strategies for the core content areas, and teacher and student data chats. Planning with the district curriculum team and school coaches will focus on understanding the benchmarks, resource alignment, evidence-based strategies integration, misconceptions, and student productive struggle. Differentiated Learning Opportunities Provide differentiated professional development for both SPED and Gen Ed Math teachers that focus on buildings students' foundational skills and addressing grade-level standards.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The processes that are put in place this year with a collaboration between district and school-based support will build the capacity of teachers and leaders. Bellview is also building relationships among the teachers so that all feel supported and willing to ask for help when needed. Building relationships will also help with the retention of staff which will allow more continuity. Doing this will enable Bellview MS to become a C or higher in 2023 and remain there without falling back. These processes were inconsistent in previous years and therefore caused the school to not be as successful. The leadership team will closely monitoring Response to Intervention and identification of Tier II and Tier III students by utilizing a formative assessment tool to identify the bottom 15% of students in need of intervention, students are then benchmarked, after which students are scheduled for intervention services for RTI2 in all K-12 schools. Implementation monitoring is ongoing, and student progress is benchmarked every three weeks. Interventions provided for students are adjusted according to student mastery.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	<p>Bellview has not had proficiency above 41% in 3 years. With a focus on standard-aligned instruction, the school will be able to increase its proficiency rate to raise subgroups performance on assessments to 41% or higher. We will increase and sustain student proficiency through an acceleration model in English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies with high-quality, differentiated, standards-based instruction infused with authentic-cognitive engagement and literacy strategies that are monitored with fidelity.</p>
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	<p>the goal for ELA and math is to increase proficiency from 20% (ELA) and 20% (math) proficiency in 2022 to 30% or higher in 2023. school-wide and for all ESSA subgroups including SWD, African American/Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and ELL students. Science proficiency will go from 25% in 2022 SSA to 35% or higher in 2023 school-wide and for all ESSA subgroups including SWD, African American/Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and ELL students. Civics proficiency will increase from 29% proficiency in 2022 to 39% or higher in 2023 school-wide and for all ESSA subgroups including SWD, African American/Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and ELL students. Also, 100% of teachers will provide standards-based instruction.</p>
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	<p>The leadership team will conduct weekly walkthroughs to monitor the implementation of planning, professional development, and remediation. Instruction will be monitored daily in a using classroom walk-throughs tool to identify instructional trends. The leadership team will meet weekly to review instructional trends and develop instructional action steps. Students' performance will be monitored using daily formative assessments (e.g., exit slips), summative assessments at the end of each unit (common assessment), and progress monitoring assessments in the Fall, Winter, and Spring. The leadership team will also review school-wide data twice a month. The team will meet with the teachers to discuss the data and determine future instructional practices and identify needs for remediation or reteaching opportunities.</p>
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	<p>Paul Lovely (plovely@ecsdfi.us)</p>
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being	<p>Teachers will participate in the professional development (PD) on providing high-quality, differentiated, standards-based instruction (SBI). In addition, the staff at Bellview will establish a school-wide initiative for a universal approach to common planning.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students are given multiple opportunities to encounter and use academic vocabulary in natural contexts through listening, reading, speaking, and writing. 2. Provide direct and explicit comprehension strategy instruction in ELA, Science, and Civics.

implemented for this Area of Focus.	<p>3. Provide opportunities for an extended discussion of text meaning and interpretation.</p> <p>4. Utilize writing for a variety of purposes including conveying information, justifying opinions and viewpoints, enhancing understanding of the text, and sharing personal ideas and experiences.</p> <p>5. Expose students to multiple problem-solving strategies (math).</p> <p>6. Teach students how to use visual representations (math).</p> <p>7. Connect and integrate abstract and concrete representations of concepts in science, math, and civics.</p>
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.	<p>Studies show that there needs be a strong focus on PD-to-practice transfer. After teachers participate in PD on high-quality, differentiated, SBI, the MMS universal approach to common planning will focus on the PD-to-practice transfer for SBI. Research increasingly documents the benefits of PD on SBI and effective use of common planning. Schools with effective common planning whom meet a minimum of three-to-four times a week that focuses on SBI and a collective approach to learning experience higher student achievement gains, as measured by state Math and reading test scores, compared with schools with less frequent or no CP (Flowers et al., 2000). “Common planning is a linchpin practice in transforming secondary schools” (Legters, Adams, & Williams, 2012, 1).</p> <p>According to Adolescent Literacy: Effective Classroom and Intervention Practices from What Works ClearingHouse, providing direct and explicit comprehension strategies, and opportunities for extended discussion shows positive impact on achievement. According to the Teaching Secondary Students to Write Effectively from What Works ClearingHouse, utilizing writing for a variety of purposes shows positive impact on achievement. According to 10 Key Vocabulary Strategies For All Students from The University of Texas at Austin/The Meadows Center giving multiple opportunities to encounter and use academic vocabulary shows a positive impact on achievement. According to Improving Mathematical Problem Solving in Grades 4 Through 8 found on What Works Clearinghouse found on What Works Clearinghouse, explicit mathematical Last Modified: 1/30/2022 https://www.floridacims.org Page 20 of 26 representation proved to have a positive effect size on achievement. According to Improving Mathematical Problem Solving in Grades 4 Through 8 found on What Works Clearinghouse, explicit word problem instruction proved to have a positive the effect size on achievement.</p>

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Train leadership team and teachers on standards-based instruction (SBI) to monitor authentic-cognitive engagement, and incorporate literacy strategies as well as the common definition (expectation) for coming to common planning prepared to ensure a consistent, universal approach. The leadership team will meet with teachers to discuss FSA and prior year data for the overall population and specific subgroups. The leadership team will analyze data metrics from district progress monitoring and meet with teachers twice a month and teachers will conduct data chats with students.

Person Responsible Paul Lovely (plovely@ecsdfi.us)

Engage in common planning twice a week: what day, how day (1), and how day (2). After each assessment, the second how day will be used for a data meeting. Professional development will be embedded in common planning to include the implementation of the new ELA curriculum, comprehension strategies, vocabulary, writing, student discourse, multiple problem-solving strategies, use of visual representations, mathematical language, abstract to concrete connections in science with lab integration,

usage of primary and secondary sources in civics, and co-teaching model for ESE teachers and data analysis to support MTSS.

Person Responsible Paul Lovely (plovely@ecsdfi.us)

In-depth coaching will be provided to teachers based on qualitative and quantitative data points. The coaching will be focused on content knowledge, evidence-based strategies, and instructional practices. The coaching will be monitored by the School Leadership Team and District Content Specialist to determine the ongoing coaching cycle.

Person Responsible Paul Lovely (plovely@ecsdfi.us)

The leadership team will monitor instruction and provide actionable feedback by conducting classroom walks on a weekly basis in the core content areas to monitor the implementation of common planning and strategies presented at professional development. The leadership team will provide feedback to teachers and determine coaching support based on the data metrics and class walks. The team will determine future instructional practices and identify needs for remediation or reteaching opportunities based on the qualitative and quantitative data.

Person Responsible Paul Lovely (plovely@ecsdfi.us)

#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Attendance and Behavior

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Economically Disadvantaged, SWD, ELL, and Black student subgroups will reduce the percentage receiving office discipline referrals to match the overall school average. Improving Student Achievement Identify at-risk students using the Early Warning signals, RTI2 behavior, and intervention plans. Intervention Teams Utilize cross-functional teams to review attendance, chronic absenteeism, and behavioral data to identify at-risk students. With the infusion of a social-emotional learning curriculum, we will continue to foster the culture of a professional learning community with a student-centered climate that supports academic, behavioral, and mental health for students, staff, and parents through culturally sensitive instruction, implementation of PBIS, and community engagement.

Measurable Outcome:
State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Throughout the year our attendance percentage will be at or above 92% daily. Intervention Programs for Students Implement intervention programs to encourage positive and safe behavior among students including the SEL curriculum. Targeted training to address student discipline, attendance, and chronic absenteeism. Modeling Appropriate Student Behaviors Using the PBIS and RTI strategies, during PLC and monthly faculty meetings the behavior teams will model appropriate student behaviors

Monitoring:
Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The behavior team (dean, behavior coaches, guidance counselors, ESE chair, and Ms. Gentry (Assistant principal over operations and facilities) will review discipline data (referrals) monthly. The behavior team will meet with teachers monthly to discuss this data and its impacts on student behavior and the quality of the action plan's implementation to determine the next steps for the coming month. Classroom walk-throughs will also be conducted and utilized while looking at this data.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

1. Have a multitiered system in place that supports the behavioral practices—from the schoolwide to the individualized levels.
2. Provide a continuum of strategies to improve behavior that is based on high-quality research. Tier I- Routines for schoolwide expectations, monitoring teacher implementation, and student use of expectations. Tier II- Monthly social skill groups with behavior coaches and students.
3. School staff supports are put in place through professional development to include data-driven professional development for behavior interventions, classroom management, and student behavior feedback.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:
Explain the

According to 10 Key Policies and Practices for Schoolwide and Classroom-Based Behavioral Supports from The University of Texas Meadows Center for Preventing Educational Risk, providing multitiered systems, implementation of student behavior strategies and behavior professional development will lead to increased positive

rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.

student behavior and decrease negative student behavior.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

The behavior team (dean, behavior coaches, guidance counselors, ESE chair, and Ms. Gentry (Assistant principal over operations and facilities) will review discipline data (referrals) monthly. The behavior team will meet with teachers monthly to discuss this data and its impacts on student behavior and the quality of the action plan's implementation to determine the next steps for the coming month. Classroom walk-throughs will also be conducted and utilized while looking at this data.

Person Responsible Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

The behavior team will analyze PMDR data, PBIP's, ESE IEP's, and other behavioral data. The team will meet with teachers at the beginning of the year to discuss student behavior and data directly tied to student disabilities pertaining to data. The team will then meet monthly with teachers to discuss data to inform the next steps.

Person Responsible Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

The coaches will identify individual teacher needs and coaching support for teachers who continue to struggle with behavior and classroom management. The coaches will utilize the coaching cycle- classroom observation, planning with the teacher for behavior strategies, modeling and/or co-teach, debrief. This cycle will continue as needed and be finalized once further classroom visits determine the coaching can stop.

Person Responsible Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

The school navigator will help support behaviors by removing barriers that impede positive behavior and attendance. The navigator will provide resources such as uniforms, food, support for parents with utility bills, mental health counseling, and coordination of travel for parents to get to medical appointments, school appointments, and family nights.

Person Responsible Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

The school will develop a school-wide positive behavior plan

Person Responsible Brandi Gentry (bgentry@ecsdfi.us)

#3. Transformational Leadership specifically relating to Specific Teacher Feedback/Walkthroughs

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	<p>School grade data and BSI walks indicated a need for explicit and intentional leadership support to implement feedback strategies that result in quality benchmark aligned instruction. The school will implement Get Better Faster (GBF) Observation and Feedback practices and action steps to improve benchmark aligned instruction.</p>
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	<p>GBF Observation and feedback strategies will improve teacher practices that produce increased student performance in achievement with a goal of 41% or higher achieving on grade level performance (level 3) on the FAST assessment.</p>
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	<p>The School Transformation Office (STO) will be supporting the school-based leadership team to monitor the implementation of the observation and feedback system through monthly Principal meetings, and monthly classroom walks. Feedback about implementation will be provided through STO on a monthly basis.</p>
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	<p>Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfl.us)</p>
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	<p>The leadership team will utilize a systematic observation and feedback structure. Through this system the leaders are able to provide immediate support for teachers to have a positive effect size on student academic achievement.</p>

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:
Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.
Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.

This systematic approach to coaching teachers is a blend of directive and nondirective techniques. The focus is on small, specific, and focused moves and responses that have an immediate positive effect on student achievement. These are followed up by direct rehearsal and practice of the moves with the leader. The learning for the teachers is not rote or formulaic. It helps the teacher to anticipate and adjust to ensure learning is occurring. The objective is mindful behavior with management and rigor. Through the guidance of the BSI field team and the STO department, the school leadership team will be learning and implementing this system throughout the entire year receiving feedback from the STO and BSI teams.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Outline and monitor before-planning expectations (Identify understandings of the benchmark, review curriculum resources, solve assessment questions, review student learning data for prior learning)

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Attend structured planning with STO/District coaches and school-based coaches utilizing a planning protocol to align Tier 1 instruction to the explicit intent of the standards. (Review benchmarks, identify practice, sequence the instructional strategies, determine tasks and item progression, and practice and solve benchmark aligned tasks and questions)

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Schedule weekly classroom walks for identified teachers/ grade levels to monitor implementation of planning.

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Conduct weekly classroom walk (when needed utilize coach/specialist to calibrate walk) and identify an action step from Get Better Faster (GBF) for teacher based on GBF waterfall and schedule feedback meeting with teacher. (Utilize GBF waterfall, plans, and video lesson)

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Write feedback script (GBF script protocol- See it, Name it, Do it)- utilize coach/specialist to support script writing.

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Meet with identified teacher for feedback meeting (follow GBF feedback meeting protocol) to discuss, practice, and stamp learning for teacher action step and schedule follow up classroom walk.

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Conduct follow up classroom walks to identify implementation of action steps, provide feedback to teacher, and determine if action step will be continued or changed based on data.

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Document teacher action steps, classroom observations, feedback meeting scripts, and notes on teacher tracker for stakeholder alignment. (School-based admin, coaches/ specialist, district, BSI)

Person Responsible Hollie Wilkins (hwilkins@ecsdfi.us)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Bellview Middle school will target the following activities to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students:

1. Workshops will be held monthly to share updates about the culture of our school and provide opportunities for stakeholders to get involved, and allow time and space for meaningful conversations with our parents and other community members.
2. Provide families with social needs (food, clothing, housing, healthcare, etc.) referrals to the Navigator to access assistance.
3. Provide students with supportive mental health services through our guidance department and Children's Home Society (CHS) mental health counseling and Ms. Kendra.
4. Teachers will be supported by school content coaches, and district specialist in planning standards-based lessons to ensure student access to high-quality content.
5. Bellview will strengthen our PBIS incentive program for students utilizing school-wide expectations.
6. Bellview will implement and use restorative justice practices to assist students with conflict through be restorative circles and peer mediation.
7. Bellview behavior team will ensure that students are held accountable for actions using clear, consistent, and fair disciplinary procedures.
8. Bellview will utilize the Rtl process for identifying behavior concerns and addressing students with individualized behavior plans and interventions to assist and accommodate students in learning alternate behaviors.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

All steps in building a positive culture and environment will be overseen by the administrative team

1. The administrative team and school coaches will plan and participate in workshops and professional development on culture and environment.
2. CHS Navigator will provide services to students and families and report monthly services to the administration.

3. The school guidance counselors and mental health counselors will provide services to students and report monthly services to the administration.
4. Content area specialists from the district and school coaches will collaborate with teachers. The administration will have an assigned content area to support. (D. Fulton- Math, P. Lovely- ELA/Civics, B. Gentry- Science) Additional support in planning and instructional walk-throughs will be provided by District personnel.
5. PBIS system will be supported by PBIS Coach Floyd-Johnson.
6. Behavior Coach, Rtl Coordinator and school counselors will support by creating and monitoring behavior plans and interventions.