**Duval County Public Schools** 

# **Greenland Pines Elementary School**



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Greenland Pines Elementary School**

5050 GREENLAND RD, Jacksonville, FL 32258

http://www.duvalschools.org/greenlandpines

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Michele Hinkley** 

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	58%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: A (62%) 2018-19: A (64%) 2017-18: B (56%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, click here.

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
	_
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Greenland Pines Elementary School**

5050 GREENLAND RD, Jacksonville, FL 32258

http://www.duvalschools.org/greenlandpines

# **School Demographics**

School Type and Gr (per MSID		2021-22 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Property Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	No		58%
<b>Primary Servi</b> (per MSID	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		42%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year Grade	<b>2021-22</b> A	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> A	<b>2018-19</b> A

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Greenland Pines Elementary School's mission is to provide educational excellence at our school, in every classroom, for every student, every day.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Greenland Pines Elementary School's vision is a community working together to inspire and prepare all students for success in college or a career and in life.

# School Leadership Team

# Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Hinkley, Michelle	Principal	Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensure that the teachers are implementing the curriculum with fidelity, manages school schedules to use support staff effectively, ensures that the shared decision making process is used effectively, communicates with parents in order to gain a partnership between school and home.
Felts, Amber	Assistant Principal	Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the teachers are implementing the curriculum with fidelity, manages school schedules to use support staff effectively, ensures that the shared decision making process is used effectively, communicates with parents in order to gain a partnership between school and home.
Altom, Katherine	Instructional Coach	Provides K-5 math plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier I, Tier II and Tier III intervention. Provides assistance to teachers through modeling and implementation of CORE Math lessons, Blended Learning, and math centers.
King, Amanda	Teacher, ESE	CSS Site Coach: Works with self-contained CSS classroom teachers to ensure fidelity of quality program indicators as well as IEP compliance. Models and observes lessons in classrooms, assists with behavior interventions and works as the liaison between all related service providers for our CSS students and teachers
Borden, Natalie	Teacher, ESE	Liaison for implementation of MTSS at the school level which includes feedback to the Leadership Team, presentations to the faculty, work with school-based coaches, and work with small collaborative groups of teachers, and provide direct intervention services and support to students identified as needing Tier II or Tier III intervention services. Leads MRT meetings at the school level. Assists to oversee and ensure compliance with the IEP process, FBA process and other ESE related services at the school level.
O'Quinn, Christi	Assistant Principal	Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the teachers are implementing the curriculum with fidelity, manages school schedules to use support staff effectively, ensures that the shared decision making process is used effectively, communicates with parents in order to gain a partnership between school and home.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Evans- Clair, Viktoria	School Counselor	Provides school based school emotional and behavioral intervention and support to students. Works with families to access resources needed for their child(ren) to be successful. Assists with addressing student needs in school to ensure success. Conducts classroom guidance lessons for all students K-5.

# **Demographic Information**

### Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2020, Michele Hinkley

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

51

Total number of students enrolled at the school

691

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

# **Early Warning Systems**

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	111	79	100	98	86	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	568
Attendance below 90 percent	1	18	27	19	16	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98
One or more suspensions	0	4	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Course failure in ELA	2	2	5	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Course failure in Math	1	3	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	5	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	5	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	3	14	26	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	2	0	2	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/22/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator				(	Grac	de L	ev	əl						Total
maicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	114	108	99	115	95	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	621
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

# The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator				(	Grac	le L	ev	el						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	114	108	99	115	95	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	621
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

# The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

# **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Company		2022			2021		2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	67%	50%	56%				65%	50%	57%	
ELA Learning Gains	61%						67%	56%	58%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	45%						56%	50%	53%	
Math Achievement	70%	48%	50%				72%	62%	63%	
Math Learning Gains	69%						67%	63%	62%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	60%						43%	52%	51%	
Science Achievement	61%	59%	59%				75%	48%	53%	

# **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	66%	51%	15%	58%	8%
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	58%	52%	6%	58%	0%
Cohort Con	nparison	-66%				
05	2022					
	2019	74%	50%	24%	56%	18%
Cohort Con	nparison	-58%			•	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
03	2022					
	2019	73%	61%	12%	62%	11%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	71%	64%	7%	64%	7%
Cohort Co	mparison	-73%			<u>'</u>	
05	2022					
	2019	76%	57%	19%	60%	16%
Cohort Co	mparison	-71%			<u> </u>	

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	74%	49%	25%	53%	21%

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Cor	nparison					

# Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	43	50	40	42	65	61	40				
ELL	44	50		35	54						
ASN	74	64		79	64						
BLK	60	53		62	78		67				
HSP	53	50		48	63	45	10				
MUL	83	67		72	50						
WHT	70	64	57	76	69	65	66				
FRL	66	56	45	64	63	50	55				
		2021	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		•
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	26	27	10	29	33	38	36				
ELL	26			42							
ASN	74			78							
BLK	51	69		60	50		43				
HSP	41	25		38	46		46				
MUL	58			58							
WHT	62	67		71	76		83				
FRL	49	61		51	54		50				
		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	29	44	46	39	49	41	43				
ELL	50			58							
ASN	75	64		88	86		83				
BLK	49	50	36	53	53	45	38				
HSP	42	69		46	54						
MUL	57	47		71	60						
WHT	72	74	68	79	70	54	87				
FRL	54	67	57	57	56	40	58				

# **ESSA Data Review**

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
	N/A
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	62
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	400
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	433
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	49
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	46
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	70
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	64
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	<u> </u>
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	45

Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	68
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	67
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	57
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

### **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

For the 2021-2022 school year Greenland Pines Elementary saw an increase in proficiency in both ELA and math and a decrease in science proficiency. Our learning gains and LPQ gains increased from the 2020-2021 school year. Math learning gains and LPQ gains increased above levels from 2019 where ELA increased from 2020 but not above our 2019 scores. Our 4th grade cohort scored exceptionally well in math and our 3rd grade cohort did well in ELA.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based off the current data, our greatest need for improvement is science. For the past 2 years, science achievement has dropped. In 2019 GPE had 75% proficient in science dropping to 68% in 2021and 61% in 2022.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

During the 2019 and 2020 school years many of our students experienced virtual learning. During this time, the primary focus for students was ELA and math. Many of our students have gaps in their learning from this time. Our actions for improvement are to prioritize science instruction in all grade levels and increase hands on learning to explore important science content.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

From 2021, our ELA achievement increased 9 percent and our math LPQ increased 8 percent.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

In both of these areas we had targeted, intentional groups of students who received intensive interventions. In ELA our 3rd grade students reading below grade level took part in a daily corrective reading block for 45 minutes with a certificated teacher. In math, admin and our math coach identified LPQ students who were not making adequate progress based on our district and school progress monitoring assessments. These students were provided additional tutoring during the school day as well as after school tutoring.

# What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

We will continue the corrective reading program with our 3rd grade students who come in below grade level. In addition, we will continue school data chats and progress monitoring to identify students not making adequate progress throughout the year. We will offer both after school and in-school tutoring to help with closing learning gaps.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

All school staff will be trained on the new standards and new curriculum for math (K-5) and ELA (3-5) this year. In addition, school based support personnel (admin, interventionist, lead teachers) will provide coaching support and planning support throughout the year. New teachers will be provided additional support in the form of a cohort for bimonthly meetings and a mentor. Our school also has Teaching Tuesdays which are voluntary PD sessions run by teachers for teachers on topics of interest throughout the year.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

In addition to the focus on our intermediate students, we will have two paraprofessionals working directly with our K-2 students to receive interventions phonics and SEL as needed. We will continue with inschool and after school tutoring and data chats for all students to progress monitor student growth throughout the year.

#### **Areas of Focus**

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

•

# #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to B.E.S.T. Standards

**Area of Focus Description and** Rationale: Include a rationale was identified as a critical need from

the data reviewed.

Teachers will need time to learn, practice and align classroom instruction and student assessment to the new standards (BEST.) This year all of our teachers will have new curriculum in math and our 3rd-5th grade teachers will also have a new that explains how it reading curriculum in addition to new standards. Through this focus, teachers will work together with school and district leadership to receive training and collaborate on elements of effective teaching methods to achieve student success.

# Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable plans to achieve. This should be a data based. objective outcome.

100% of GPE K-5 teachers will engage in successful standards-based instruction, development and implementation of BEST Standards during administrative led outcome the school common planning ensuring student tasks are aligned to the full rigor and depth of the standard. Through this work, the student task alignment on the SWT dashboard should be at least 80%.

**Monitoring:** Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Professional development will be done with teachers focused on implementation of BEST standards into their instruction and student assessment. Weekly common planning will take place with all ELA and math teachers in K-5 to provide necessary PD, plan for aligned instruction, and learning tasks. Frequent classroom walkthroughs will be used to assess the alignment, quality and fidelity of implementation.

# Person responsible for monitoring

outcome:

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. We will use the Standards Walkthrough Tool in addition to informal class observations to measure classroom instruction, student task alignment and assessment alignment in core classes

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy: **Explain the** rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Use of the SWT will provide us with specific data points in order to assess which grade levels need additional support in implementation of the new standards.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Utilize resources and reports from standards walk-through tool dashboard. Calibrate with APs and continue to use and share data frequently to ensure staff understanding and readiness. APs and principal will meet weekly and engage in conversation focused on evidence from the SWT and classroom observations to continue calibration throughout the year and discuss next steps.

Person

Responsible

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Frequent classroom walk-throughs by principal and AP using SWT to assess the alignment, quality and fidelity of implementation.

Person

Responsible Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Quarterly teacher data chats with administration will focus on student growth through the implementation of the BEST Standards, aligned student tasks and aligned assessments. We will reflect on implementation in the classroom through focused evidence from walk-through data as well as student growth as shown through informal and formal data sets.

Person

Responsible Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Engagement of all K-5 teachers in professional development based around BEST standards-based instruction, implementation of aligned curriculum and creation of aligned materials.

Person Responsible

Katherine Altom (altomk@duvalschools.org)

Leadership will engage in weekly common planning with all K-5 teachers in the areas of ELA and/or math to create materials aligned to current standards, create aligned student tasks and assessments and plan for instructional delivery in all classrooms.

Person

Responsible Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

# #2. Transformational Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

From the 5 Essentials survey, collective responsibility was the lowest measure in the collaborative teachers domain. Collective responsibility scored at 13 and increased 10 from the previous year.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

If instructional leadership increased in the building then the Collaborative Teachers domain (specifically the collaborative teachers measure) will increase on the 2023 survey.

#### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Classroom walkthroughs, common planning attendance and effectiveness, informal observation and school culture will be monitored to determine movement toward the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Schools with higher culture and climate ratings tend to work efficiently to solve problems that may arise in the school including how to best serve students and families and how to support each other professionally through modeling of excellent instruction.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

If the school is able to have a solid teacher to teacher trust and climate where teacher collaboration is the expectation, we will more consistently be able to support each other and students.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Develop a plan for team building activities.

# Person Responsible

Amber Felts (feltsa@duvalschools.org)

Provide opportunities for teachers to collaborate with one another in non-threatening environments. Implement Teaching Tuesday (led by teachers) to allow teachers opportunities to share ideas and resources that are having a positive impact on standards based instruction and student growth within their classrooms.

#### Person Responsible

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Provide opportunities for relationship building and professional discourse regularly within the school (common planning.)

# Person Responsible

Katherine Altom (altomk@duvalschools.org)

# #3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was

identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

In 2019, Greenland Pines science achievement was 75%. This decreased to 68% in 2021 and 61% in 2022. Since COVID, many of our students have experienced virtual learning. Due to this, science gaps have increased while the focus has been closing the gaps in ELA and math.

Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

On the NGSSS 5th grade science assessment, students will increase achievement to 68%.

# **Monitoring:** Describe how this Area of

Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Data chats will be held with all classroom teachers to include a focus on science data (including PMA assessments, benchmark assessments and classroom assessments.) Schedules will be made by the leadership team to reflect daily science instruction in all grade levels with a focus from admin on monitoring the fidelity of this.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

**Evidence-based Strategy:** Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Data chats will be held with all classroom teachers to include a focus on science data (including PMA assessments, benchmark assessments and classroom assessments.) Schedules will be made by the leadership team to reflect daily science instruction in all grade levels with a focus from admin on monitoring the fidelity of this.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Through progress monitoring admin will be able to determine progress and put interventions in place as needed throughout the year to ensure student growth in the area of science.

# **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Conduct quarterly data chats with science teachers to review students progress, monitor interventions and track student progress toward proficiency.

Person Responsible

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Provide regular feedback through classroom walkthroughs to teachers to ensure effective instructional delivery.

Person Responsible

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Utilize PENDA Learning for 5th grade science.

Person Responsible

Michelle Hinkley (simrellm@duvalschools.org)

Provide in-school and after-school tutoring for students who are not meeting proficiency and/or not making adequate progress in science.

Person Responsible

Christi O'Quinn (oquinnc@duvalschools.org)

# **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

# Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

It is the goal of the school to promote helpfulness, inclusiveness, positivity and responsibility. We will do this by partnering with PTA to provide opportunities for families to become involved in their child's education through certain events such as Family Movie Nights, Family Dances, Math Night, Literacy Night, Student Conference night etc.. These events will also involve other stakeholders such as business partners as well as our faith-based partners. Internally, administration works with our hospitality committee to promote a positive culture and climate for staff through monthly team-building and culture-building activities.

# Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

At Greenland Pines, we have numerous stakeholder groups that contribute to promoting a positive culture and environment including PTA, SAC, faith-based partners, business partners, students and faculty/staff. Our staff are engaged in a Sunshine Committee to help promote positive culture and togetherness on campus. Our PTA and SAC work closely with school teachers and administration to promote, host and support parent events. Many of our business and faith based partners support student initiatives in the school such as Student of the Month to help us to recognize and celebrate student success.