

2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 13 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 17 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0  |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 0  |

Volusia - 4235 - Ormond Beach Middle School - 2022-23 SIP

# **Ormond Beach Middle School**

151 DOMICILIO AVE, Ormond Beach, FL 32174

http://myvolusiaschools.org/school/ormondbeachmiddle/pages/default.aspx

Demographics

# Principal: Heather lannarelli M

Start Date for this Principal: 7/21/2022

| <b>2019-20 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)  | Active   |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File)  | Middle School<br>6-8   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education   |
| 2021-22 Title I School  | No   |
| 2021-22 Economically<br>Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3)   | 87%  |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an<br>asterisk) | Students With Disabilities*<br>English Language Learners<br>Asian Students<br>Black/African American Students<br>Hispanic Students<br>Multiracial Students<br>White Students<br>Economically Disadvantaged<br>Students |
| School Grades History   | 2021-22: B (55%)<br>2018-19: B (56%)<br>2017-18: B (58%)   |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf   | formation*   |
| SI Region   | Southeast  |
| Regional Executive Director   | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield   |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A  |
| Year  |  |
| Support Tier  |  |
| ESSA Status   | ATSI   |
| As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F   | or more information, click here.   |

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

#### **SIP** Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP<br>School Information<br>Needs Assessment<br>Planning for Improvement<br>Title I Requirements<br>Budget to Support Goals | 4  |
|---|----|
| hool Information<br>eds Assessment<br>anning for Improvement<br>le I Requirements   | 7  |
| Needs Assessment  | 13 |
| Planning for Improvement  | 17 |
| Title I Requirements  | 0  |
| Budget to Support Goals   | 0  |

Volusia - 4235 - Ormond Beach Middle School - 2022-23 SIP

# **Ormond Beach Middle School**

151 DOMICILIO AVE, Ormond Beach, FL 32174

http://myvolusiaschools.org/school/ormondbeachmiddle/pages/default.aspx

# **School Demographics**

| School Type and Gr<br>(per MSID F |                     | 2021-22 Title I Schoo | I Disadvant         | Economically<br>taged (FRL) Rate<br>ted on Survey 3) |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Middle Sch<br>6-8                 | lool                | No                    |                     | 87%  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Servic<br>(per MSID F     | ••                  | Charter School        | (Reporte            | Minority Rate<br>ed as Non-white<br>Survey 2)        |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 General E                    | ducation            | No                    |                     | 28%  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Grades Histo               | ory                 |                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year<br>Grade                     | <b>2021-22</b><br>B | 2020-21               | <b>2019-20</b><br>B | <b>2018-19</b><br>B                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Board Appro                | val                 |                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

To close the opportunity gap through challenging and engaging thinking to prepare all students for college and career readiness in our global society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

"The Legacy of Excellence Continues..."

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name                   | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Iannarelli,<br>Heather | Principal              | Principal lannarelli provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making by encouraging the use of School City to differentiate instruction in the classroom. She ensures that educators are implementing the Florida Standards and BEST Standards, which are accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of our webpage. For students who do not respond positively to classroom interventions, she ensures that students receive remediation through the MTSS process. She also encourages struggling students to be referred to our Problem Solving Team (PST) as needed. She ensures that adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty and staff. As principal, she supports the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academics and behavioral) with a focus on differentiation, student engagement, and teacher clarity. Mrs. lannarelli communicates with all stakeholders through newsletters, School Messenger, relevant meetings, OBMS TV, and social media in order to address educating ALL students, meeting student needs, and sharing pertinent information. |
| Ciulla,<br>Melissa     | Assistant<br>Principal | Mrs. Ciulla is the OBMS 8th grade administrator. She<br>ensures that our 8th grade general education teachers<br>provide quality instruction to our students and participate<br>in data collection and discussions. She is responsible for<br>creating our master schedule, reviewing our performance<br>data to schedule our students, and collaborating with<br>teachers and counselors to ensure that all students are<br>properly placed in courses. Additionally, she assist in our testing<br>coordinator, overseeing all aspects for the administration<br>of standardized tests   |
| Fatta, Tara            | School<br>Counselor    | Tara Fatta is one of Ormond Beach Middle School's Counselors. She<br>assists and advises students about academic and personal decisions, she<br>provides private counseling to students, assesses the ability and potential<br>in students, and coordinates with fellow professionals on student matters.<br>She helps develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/<br>programs; she identifies and analyzes existing literature on<br>scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention<br>approaches; she identifies systematic patterns of students' needs while<br>working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence based<br>intervention   |
| Haynes,<br>Lloyd       | Assistant<br>Principal | Dr. Haynes is the OBMS 7th grade administrator. He ensures that our 7th grade general education teachers provide quality instruction to our students and participate in data collection and discussions. Additionally, he is in charge of our safety and security procedures. He helps develop safety policies and procedures, organizes a security team, holds security meetings, solves safety issues, coordinates safety audits, and tracks corrective actions and incident data.  |

| Volusia - 4235 - Ormond Beach Middle School - 2022-23 SIP | Volusia - 4235 | <ul> <li>Ormond Beach</li> </ul> | Middle School | - 2022-23 SIP |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|

| Name                   | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Parris,<br>Nancy       | Teacher,<br>K-12       |   |
| Pirkey,<br>Kristen     | Teacher,<br>K-12       | Kristen Pirkey is Ormond Beach Middle School's Math PLC Chair. She<br>helps develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs;<br>she identifies and analyze existing literature on scientifically based<br>curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; she<br>identifies systematic patterns of students' needs while working with district<br>personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies;<br>she assists with whole school screening programs that provide early<br>intervening services for children to be considered "at-risk"; she assists in<br>the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and<br>data analysis; she participates in the design and delivery of professional<br>development; she provides support for assessment and implementation<br>monitoring; she disseminates information to her department.         |
| Brashbaum,<br>Adrienne | Teacher,<br>K-12       | Adrienne Rashbaum is Ormond Beach Middle School's ELA PLC Chair.<br>She helps develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/<br>programs; she identifies and analyzes existing literature on<br>scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention<br>approaches; she identifies systematic patterns of students' needs while<br>working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based<br>intervention strategies; she assists with whole school screening programs<br>that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at-<br>risk"; she assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring,<br>data collection, and data analysis; she participates in the design and<br>delivery of professional development; she provides support for assessment<br>and implementation monitoring; she disseminates information to her<br>department. |
| KELLEHER,<br>SUSAN     | Assistant<br>Principal | Mrs. Kelleher is the OBMS Student Services administrator. She drives the programs and services provided for Exceptional Student Education (ESE). She ensures that ESE teachers and case managers participate in student data collection and discussions. Through classroom visitations, she guarantees that teachers integrate research-based instructional activities and materials into their lessons. She makes certain that all teachers understand and implement the inclusion and collaborative practice models and that all teachers are complying with and providing the necessary accommodations as required by the IEP, BIP, and/or 504 Plan. She coordinates the gifted, 504, PST, and community-based mental health services for our school.  |
| Hendricks,<br>Hannah   | Dean                   | Hannah Hendricks is the OBMS Dean of Student<br>Relations. She is responsible for the 6th grade house and assist in<br>discipline of all<br>general education students, including gifted students. She<br>also provides bus loop supervision, hallway supervision,<br>and field trip supervision. She serves as one of our Digital  |

| Name             | Position<br>Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|------------------|------------------------|---|
|                  |                        | Learning Teacher Leaders, assists with running PST, PBIS and assisting teachers with positive behavior interventions in the classroom. She also is the testing coordinator and SAC Chair. where she is responsible for preparing for and running all SAC meeting  |
| Ryan,<br>Heather | Instructional<br>Coach | Heather Ryan is Ormond Beach Middle School's Instructional Coach. She<br>works as a colleague with<br>classroom teachers to support student learning. She is focused on<br>individual and group professional development that will expand and refine<br>the understanding about research-based effective instruction; She helps<br>develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs; she<br>identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/<br>behavior assessment and intervention approaches; she identifies<br>systematic patterns of students' needs while working with district personnel<br>to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; she assists<br>with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening<br>services for children to be considered "at-risk"; she assists in the design<br>and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data<br>analysis; she participates in the design and delivery of professional<br>development; and she provides support for assessment and<br>implementation monitoring. |

### **Demographic Information**

### **Principal start date**

Thursday 7/21/2022, Heather Iannarelli M

```
Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.
```

3

**Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

9

### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

68

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 997

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year. 27

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 27

## **Demographic Data**

#### Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator  |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |     |     |     |   |    |    |    |       |  |
|--|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator  | Κ | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 362 | 340 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1006  |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84  | 75  | 93  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 252   |  |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81  | 121 | 78  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 280   |  |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22  | 21  | 9   | 9 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 61    |  |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 59    |  |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60  | 92  | 93  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 245   |  |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80  | 95  | 89  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 264   |  |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25  | 41  | 47  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 113   |  |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |    |     |    |   |    |    |    |       |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| Indicator                            | κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 104 | 95 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 281   |  |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                           | κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 3     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 5     |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/4/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indiantar  |   |   |   |   |   |   | Grad | le Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|-------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator  | Κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7     | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313  | 368   | 355 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1036  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63   | 74    | 81  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 218   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23   | 53    | 51  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 127   |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6    | 21    | 23  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 50    |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13   | 7     | 11  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 31    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46   | 73    | 81  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 200   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60   | 96    | 82  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 238   |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43   | 68    | 65  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 176   |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | C | Grad | e Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39   | 68   | 66  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 173   |

# The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indiantar                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | ve | I |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 1    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 1   | 2    | 1  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 4     |

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| la dia star  |   |   |   |   |   |   | Grad | le Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|-------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator  | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7     | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313  | 368   | 355 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1036  |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63   | 74    | 81  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 218   |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23   | 53    | 51  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 127   |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6    | 21    | 23  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 50    |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13   | 7     | 11  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 31    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46   | 73    | 81  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 200   |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60   | 96    | 82  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 238   |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43   | 68    | 65  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 176   |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indiaator                            |   |   |   |   |   | ( | Grad | e Le | vel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39   | 68   | 66  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 173   |

# The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | evel |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                           | Κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8    | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 1    | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 1   | 2    | 1    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 4     |

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Component      |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       |        | 2019     |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             | 54%    | 45%      | 50%   |        |          |       | 57%    | 51%      | 54%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 44%    |          |       |        |          |       | 51%    | 51%      | 54%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 32%    |          |       |        |          |       | 35%    | 42%      | 47%   |
| Math Achievement            | 61%    | 31%      | 36%   |        |          |       | 60%    | 54%      | 58%   |
| Math Learning Gains         | 53%    |          |       |        |          |       | 51%    | 51%      | 57%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 40%    |          |       |        |          |       | 35%    | 42%      | 51%   |
| Science Achievement         | 63%    | 46%      | 53%   |        |          |       | 61%    | 58%      | 51%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 74%    | 49%      | 58%   |        |          |       | 81%    | 71%      | 72%   |

# Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|           |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     | 53%    | 50%      | 3%                                | 54%   | -1%                            |
| Cohort Co | mparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     | 58%    | 47%      | 11%                               | 52%   | 6%                             |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -53%   |          |                                   | •     |                                |
| 08        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     | 54%    | 50%      | 4%                                | 56%   | -2%                            |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -58%   |          |                                   | · · · |                                |

|            |          |        | MATH     |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 51%    | 48%      | 3%                                | 55%   | -4%                            |
| Cohort Cor | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 58%    | 47%      | 11%                               | 54%   | 4%                             |
| Cohort Cor | nparison | -51%   |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     | 35%    | 29%      | 6%                                | 46%   | -11%                           |
| Cohort Cor | nparison | -58%   |          |                                   | · ·   |                                |

|           |          |        | SCIENC   | E                                 |       |                                |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison |        |          |                                   | •     |                                |
| 07        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     | 60%    | 57%      | 3%                                | 48%   | 12%                            |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   | •     |                                |

|      |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| ·    |        | CIVIC    | SEOC                        |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 79%    | 68%      | 11%                         | 71%   | 8%                       |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      | •     |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |

|      |        | ALGE     | BRA EOC                     |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 98%    | 54%      | 44%                         | 61%   | 37%                      |
|      |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                     |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2022 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2019 | 100%   | 55%      | 45%                         | 57%   | 43%                      |

# Subgroup Data Review

|           |             | 2022      | SCHOO             | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2020-21 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2020-21 |
| SWD       | 10          | 24        | 23                | 16           | 34         | 30                 | 11          | 40         |              |                         |                           |
| ELL       | 48          | 43        |                   | 57           | 70         |                    |             | 64         |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 85          | 71        |                   | 90           | 81         |                    | 100         |            | 100          |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 28          | 41        | 37                | 26           | 40         | 26                 | 27          | 47         |              |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 50          | 44        | 17                | 55           | 53         | 50                 | 61          | 60         | 82           |                         |                           |
| MUL       | 43          | 29        | 29                | 50           | 49         | 33                 | 46          | 71         | 70           |                         |                           |
| WHT       | 59          | 45        | 32                | 67           | 54         | 46                 | 68          | 79         | 72           |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 42          | 37        | 27                | 49           | 50         | 39                 | 56          | 64         | 63           |                         |                           |
|           |             | 2021      | SCHOO             | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| SWD       | 20          | 31        | 27                | 12           | 21         | 22                 | 23          | 27         |              |                         |                           |
| ELL       | 46          | 57        | 45                | 33           | 26         | 20                 |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 88          | 71        |                   | 88           | 38         |                    |             | 100        | 100          |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 24          | 30        | 19                | 21           | 27         | 19                 | 15          | 46         |              |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 55          | 53        | 57                | 46           | 36         | 17                 | 50          | 86         | 82           |                         |                           |
| MUL       | 43          | 38        | 30                | 43           | 38         | 27                 | 25          | 58         |              |                         |                           |
| WHT       | 60          | 52        | 39                | 63           | 49         | 28                 | 66          | 79         | 74           |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 44          | 44        | 33                | 45           | 38         | 24                 | 47          | 69         | 67           |                         |                           |
|           |             | 2019      | SCHOO             | OL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD       | 16          | 36        | 33                | 22           | 37         | 30                 | 21          | 63         | 50           |                         |                           |
| ELL       | 28          | 62        | 45                | 32           | 45         | 36                 |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 80          | 63        |                   | 84           | 76         |                    | 82          |            | 92           |                         |                           |
| BLK       | 23          | 31        | 33                | 21           | 29         | 25                 | 29          | 61         | 64           |                         |                           |
| HSP       | 53          | 53        | 39                | 47           | 43         | 26                 | 64          | 82         | 62           |                         |                           |
| MUL       | 49          | 46        | 30                | 55           | 53         | 30                 | 80          | 69         |              |                         |                           |
| WHT       | 62          | 55        | 36                | 67           | 55         | 40                 | 64          | 84         | 78           |                         |                           |
| FRL       | 47          | 47        | 36                | 48           | 46         | 33                 | 53          | 73         | 68           |                         |                           |

Volusia - 4235 - Ormond Beach Middle School - 2022-23 SIP

# ESSA Data Review

| This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.                     |      |
|---|------|
| ESSA Federal Index  |      |
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  | ATSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 55   |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | NO   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 2    |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |      |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 494  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 9    |
| Percent Tested  | 97%  |
| Subgroup Data   |      |
| Students With Disabilities  |      |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                      | 24   |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | YES  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%       | 1    |
| English Language Learners   |      |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                       | 56   |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | NO   |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%        | 0    |
| Native American Students  |      |
| Federal Index - Native American Students  |      |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                | N/A  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%         | 0    |
| Asian Students  |      |
| Federal Index - Asian Students  | 88   |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                          | NO   |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                   | 0    |
| Black/African American Students   |      |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                 | 34   |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?         | YES  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  | 0    |

Volusia - 4235 - Ormond Beach Middle School - 2022-23 SIP

| Hispanic Students  |     |
|--|-----|
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  | 52  |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                          | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%                   | 0   |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   | 47  |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                       | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%                | 0   |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                  | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%           | 0   |
| White Students   |     |
| Federal Index - White Students   | 58  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                      | 0   |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 47  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0   |

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

# What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

According to FSA and progress monitoring assessments ELA Achievement decreased 2% in 2022 and has continued to decline for the past three years. LG decreased in ELA in addition to our subgroups for SWD and AA, LG increased math.

Decrease in Science Achievement Level in addition to our subgroups for SWD and AA. SWD & AA comprised of large portion of discipline incidents including OSS.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

LQ in ELA in addition to our subgroups for SWD and AA. 7th grade cohort showed the least growth in ELA

Science Achievement Level in addition to our subgroups for SWD and AA.

Discipline and OSS for SWD and AA revealed disproportionate discipline resulting in LQ student missing instructional time in the classroom

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Contributing Factors: Student Engagement Lack of researched based instructional strategies in the classroom that aligned to the standards

New Actions: Collaborative planning Teaming strategies Total Participation Techniques: researched based instructional strategies PBIS SEL PLC's focused standards aligned instruction

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math Achievement increase by 3% Math LQ increase by 25% Math LG increased by 9% Science increased by 4%

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Contributing factors: Effective data-driven PLC process Consistency in math department/common planning Small group instruction Improving teacher/student relationships

New Actions: Purchased & implemented IXL for all math classrooms Tutoring/remediation during Panther Time Mentor program Teacher Clarity training

# What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Strategies needed to accelerate learning include ensuring that PLCs are high-functioning (common assessments, assessment analyses, and action planning), data chats with students, Implement AVID strategies such as student progress monitoring and goal setting across instruction and behavior. Implement positive behavior strategies through PBIS

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Professional development book study: TPT: Total Participation Techniques Student/Teacher Data Chats PBIS MTSS Training DOJ Training

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

To ensure the sustainability we will employ the Implementation of PBIS to include building relationships between teachers and students, data reviews, middle school teaming, discipline reviews, district data walks and incorporate SEL/team building strategies.

# Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

| Area of Focus<br>Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a<br>rationale that<br>explains how it<br>was identified as<br>a critical need<br>from the data<br>reviewed. | This Area of Focus aligns to VCS Strategic Goal 1Engage all students in high levels of learning EVERY day. After conducting our Needs Assessment and Analysis, it was discovered that our students scoring proficiency in ELA have been historically declining. Student achievement 2017-2018 was 60% and gradually dropped over the last four to 54% in 2021-2022. This always falls below district and state averages for this data component. Further analysis revealed that most of the students in our Lowest Quartile were also in one or more of our two targeted ESSA Subgroups; SWD and BLK that performed below 41% |
|--|---|
| Measurable<br>Outcome:<br>State the specific<br>measurable<br>outcome the<br>school plans to<br>achieve. This<br>should be a data<br>based, objective<br>outcome.      | We will increase the percentage of students demonstrating proficiency or higher 54% to 58% in ELA. By the end of the 2022-2023 school year, 80% of teachers will have standards posted, success criteria posted, and students will be able to explain what they are learning and how it relates to other lessons. Admin and instructional coach will participate in quarterly (minimum)learning walks to monitor instructional practices and provide feedback and coaching.   |
| Monitoring:<br>Describe how<br>this Area of<br>Focus will be<br>monitored for the<br>desired outcome.  | This Area of Focus will be monitored through frequent classroom observations using<br>a walkthroughs tool with specific ELA look-fors, data chats to through PLC's and<br>instructional leadership meetings, cross curriculum data and teaming chats to<br>determine instructional adjustments needed to impact student growth. We will also<br>use teachers data chats during team meetings to targets students for remediation<br>and monitoring of academic achievement during discipline or absent time.<br>Growth Measure Unit Assessments<br>Level Set<br>F.A.S.T (Florida assessment student thinking) 3x a year       |
| Person<br>responsible for<br>monitoring<br>outcome:  | Heather Ryan (hryan1@volusia.k12.fl.us)   |
| Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Describe the<br>evidence-based<br>strategy being<br>implemented for<br>this Area of<br>Focus.   | Our evidence-based strategy is implementing Total participation technique strategies<br>which will be introduced during PLCs. We will monitor it through frequent<br>walkthroughs by school-based administrations, coaches, and the district support<br>team. Grade level teams and individual teachers will receive feedback by<br>administration, coaches and peers, to guide them in planning and instructing.   |
| Rationale for<br>Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Explain the<br>rationale for<br>selecting this<br>specific strategy.   | Collective efficacy has a 1.57 effect size according to John Hattie. Using Total participation techniques (TPT) will increase the collective belief of teachers in their ability to positively affect students. TPT are teaching techniques that allow for all students to demonstrate, at the same time, active participation and cognitive engagement in the topic being studied.<br>Several studies cite student engagement as a key ingredient in helping students stay in  |

| Describe the       |   |
|--------------------|---|
| resources/criteria | school and be successful (ASCD, 2010; Bridgeland et al., 2006; Lehr et al., 2004; |
| used for           | Ream &  |
| selecting this     | Rumberger, 2008; Voke, 2002).   |
| strategy.          | -   |

## Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Conduct PLCs focused on total participation techniques, discuss ideas for instruction, review student data, determine students who need additional instruction or intervention to be successful.

Person Responsible Heather Ryan (hryan1@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Provide Tier 2 or Tier 3 reading instruction for students who did not reach proficiency on the FSA.

#### Person Responsible Heather Ryan (hryan1@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Ensure that all ELA teachers have a common planning time to meet to discuss instructional strategies and student data

Person Responsible Melissa Ciulla (mjciulla@volusia.k12.fl.us)

# **#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities**

| Area of Focus<br>Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a rationale<br>that explains how<br>it was identified<br>as a critical need<br>from the data<br>reviewed. | The area of focus aligns to Strategic Plan Goal 1. Engage all students in high levels<br>of<br>learning everyday. As a result of our Needs Assessment and Analysis it revealed<br>that our<br>students with disabilities ELA proficiency dropped from 19% to to 8%.  |
|---|--|
| Measurable<br>Outcome:<br>State the specific<br>measurable<br>outcome the<br>school plans to<br>achieve. This<br>should be a data<br>based, objective<br>outcome.   | Our goal is to increase learning gains of students with disabilities in all subject areas by 5%. ELA 10% to 15%, Math 16 to 21%, Science 11% to 16% and Social Studies 40% to 45%.   |
| Monitoring:<br>Describe how this<br>Area of Focus will<br>be monitored for<br>the desired<br>outcome.   | This area of focus will be monitored through fidelity checks of the interventions that were selected to ensure the fidelity and integrity of implementation to increase LG of SWD & BLK subgroups. Two times per month PLCs will engage in data analysis of LQ and ESSA subgroup students to determine the effect of the intervention. Instruction, curriculum and environment will all be assessed (ICEL) during each PLC. The instrument for data collection will be Achieve 3000 Lexile scores and FAST progress monitoring data.   |
| Person<br>responsible for<br>monitoring<br>outcome:   | SUSAN KELLEHER (slkelleh@volusia.k12.fl.us)  |
| Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Describe the<br>evidence-based<br>strategy being<br>implemented for<br>this Area of<br>Focus.  | The evidence-based strategy being implemented is a robust, district-wide Multi-<br>tiered System of Supports.<br>Tier 1 & Tier 2 Reading will implement Achieve 3000/REWARDS which is program<br>that provides differentiated instructional content that targets individual students' area<br>of need. It will be monitored through fidelity checks during small group rotations and<br>student self monitoring and accountability of Achieve 3000 Lexile scores<br>ELA will utilize resources from the district's curriculum map and pacing guide to<br>differentiate instruction and provide Tier 1 foundational instructional practices<br>aligned to the English Language Arts B.E.S.T standards |
| Rationale for<br>Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Explain the<br>rationale for<br>selecting this<br>specific strategy.<br>Describe the<br>resources/criteria          | MTSS is grounded in careful analysis of data collected through Progress Monitoring<br>and Data-Based Decision Making. The power of a tiered system of supports rests in<br>the fact that it is based on prevention. MTSS is not a "wait to fail" model for students<br>who are in need of additional supports. The potential benefits of a Multi-Tiered<br>System of Supports were outlined in John Hattie's work and can yield an effect size<br>of 1.29,<br>when implemented with fidelity. Source: Burns, Appleton, & Stehouwer, 2005;<br>Dexter, Hughes, & Farmer, 2008; Simmons, Coyne, Kwok, McDonagh, Harn, &<br>Kame'enui, 2008; Hattie, 2015  |

**used for selecting** Schools will be provided with essential training in MTSS and its strategies to support student learning

## **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review student FSA data to determine the need for Tier 2 & Tier 3 reading intervention from the previous year and set up intervention groups based on those students. Plan for movement of students either in or out of those intervention groups based on by-weekly data review and determine how to meet the needs of students in Tier 2 and Tier 3 who are not responding to the interventions based on the Decision Rules and ICEL (Instruction, Curriculum, Environment, Learner) strategy.

Person Responsible Heather Ryan (hryan1@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Professional Learning through ERPLs on MTSS systems and structures. Decision Rules Guidance

ICEL - Instruction, Curriculum, Environment, Learner

Person

Responsible SUSAN KELLEHER (slkelleh@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Implementation of PL of MTSS strategies following the District ERPLs through PLC's Decision Rules guidance and ICEL Strategy; Tier 1 – 100% of students should receive Tier 1 and at least 80% of students should be meeting proficiency to indicate good quality core instruction. Tier 2 – 15% of students receive targeted level of prevention; Tier 3 – 3-5% of students receive intensive level of prevention

Person Heather Ryan (hryan1@volusia.k12.fl.us)

| #3. Positive Culture<br>Support  | and Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and  |
|--|--|
| Area of Focus<br>Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a rationale<br>that explains how<br>it was identified as<br>a critical need<br>from the data<br>reviewed.                                | The area of focus is aligned to the District Strategic Plan Goal 3: Provide a Safe,<br>healthy, and supportive environment. After conducting our Needs Assessment and<br>Analysis, it was discovered that our students had a total of 1882 discipline events<br>that resulted in 501 suspensions. This results in time out of the<br>classroom and lost instruction. Further analysis also revealed disproportionate<br>discipline of minority students and students with disabilities. Data shows 18% of our<br>population received 30% of referrals which consist of ESSA subgroups - SWD, AA<br>and Multi-racial. Many of our students receiving the discipline referrals are also in<br>our lower quartiles of Math and ELA. |
| Measurable<br>Outcome:<br>State the specific<br>measurable<br>outcome the<br>school plans to<br>achieve. This<br>should be a data<br>based, objective<br>outcome.                                  | Our goal is to decrease student referrals that result in OSS by 10%  |
| Monitoring:<br>Describe how this<br>Area of Focus will<br>be monitored for<br>the desired<br>outcome.  | This area of focus will be monitored through PBIS data chats based on referrals, PASS, suspension, disproportionate rates of discipline and academic achievement.  |
| Person<br>responsible for<br>monitoring<br>outcome:  | Hannah Hendricks (hmhendri@volusia.k12.fl.us)  |
| Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Describe the<br>evidence-based<br>strategy being<br>implemented for<br>this Area of<br>Focus.   | The evidence-based strategy will be Positive Behavior Intervention and Support.  |
| Rationale for<br>Evidence-based<br>Strategy:<br>Explain the<br>rationale for<br>selecting this<br>specific strategy.<br>Describe the<br>resources/criteria<br>used for selecting<br>this strategy. | Positive Behavior Intervention & Support offer the promise to transform teacher-<br>student relationships and achieve equity in school discipline. According to John<br>Hattie, behavioral intervention programs have an effect size of .62 and teacher-<br>student relationships have an affect size of .48. Those will be in conjunction with the<br>use of collective teacher efficacy, with an effect size of 1.57, to ensure consistency<br>amongst behavioral expectations. Therefore, this should also show a larger than<br>average impact on learning.  |

## **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Professional Learning through ERPLs on MTSS/PBIS systems and structures.

## Person

Responsible Heather lannarelli (hmiannar@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Create and train school based PBIS team (summer, 2022) to implement school wide behavior expectations and train faculty

#### Person Responsible Hannah Hendricks (hmhendri@volusia.k12.fl.us)

PBIS expectations will be posted in all classrooms and high frequency areas so students will be reminded daily of the expectations. PBIS School expectations lesson will be implemented in 1st period classes and on OBMS school news

#### Person

Responsible Hannah Hendricks (hmhendri@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Monthly monitoring of student discipline & observation data Fall- Complete PBIS Implementation Checklist Spring- Complete PBIS Implementation Checklist

End-of-Year-Complete Benchmarks of Quality and Tiered Fidelity Inventory

Person Responsible Hannah Hendricks (hmhendri@volusia.k12.fl.us)

# **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

OBMS is committed to Improving the Culture for Learning and Student Readiness by implementing the following programs.

- \* Implement school-wide SEL curriculum as a Tier 1 support for all students
- \* Reinforce a school-wide behavior management system through PBIS Expectations and Rewards to
- \* Implement Teaming model which includes cross curricular collaboration

Develop additional opportunities for ESSA subgroups

- Implement small group intervention through Tier 2 & 3 intensive reading
- Implement small group instruction and MTSS strategies for teachers through PLC's

Involve Families and Community

• Invite parents, business partners, PTSA, and community in all school events

• Broaden our partnership with area businesses and manufactures including Ormond Chamber of Commerce and Board of Realtors

• Expose students to the local careers and colleges in 7th & 6th grade AVID program

### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Our school website and Messenger system are utilized to provide communication to both our families and the community. All school administrators and leaders have access to these platforms to share news and events with our stakeholders. We host an Open House event and SAC meetings during the evenings to increase parent involvement in these organizations. Parents are also encouraged to participate in our PTSA.

We also have Twitter and Facebook pages to share school news with our parents and the community. Finally, our Dean of Student Relations an PBIS team are tasked with promoting a positive school culture by engaging in activities such as Welcome Back to School, Panther of the Month, Positive Referral Program, Do the Right Thing, and Faculty and Staff Recognitions.

Stakeholders include our SAC committee that meet monthly to review school needs and allocated funds for classroom requests that support school improvement.