

# 2022-23 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

### **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics                     | 3  |
|---|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP | 4  |
| School Information                      | 5  |
| Needs Assessment                        | 8  |
| Planning for Improvement                | 11 |
| R.A.I.S.E                               | 0  |
| Positive Culture & Environment          | 16 |

### **Daytona Juvenile Residential Facility**

1386 INDIAN LAKE RD, Daytona Beach, FL 32124

http://myvolusiaschools.org/alternative-education/pages/department-of-juvenile-justice-sites.aspx

#### **Demographics**

#### **Principal: Patricia Corr**

Start Date for this Principal: 9/29/2022

| <b>2021-22 Status</b> (per MSID File)  | Active                |
|--|-----------------------|
| School Function<br>(per accountability file)   |                       |
| School Type and Grades Served<br>(per MSID File)   | High School<br>6-12   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)  | Alternative Education |
| 2021-22 Title I School   | No                    |
| 2021-22 Economically<br>Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate<br>(as reported on Survey 3)  | 0%                    |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) |                       |
| School Improvement Rating History  |                       |
| DJJ Accountability Rating  | 2023-24: No Rating    |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

#### SIP Authority

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

- 1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
- 2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

- Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%
- Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%
- Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Alternative Education will assist in developing graduation assurance through a structured alternative program, during an unintentional break in the traditional school environment, by providing academic instruction through challenging and differentiated curriculum within a safe and positive learning environment.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our greatest contribution is to be sure that there is a teacher in every classroom who cares that every student, every day, learns, grows, and feels like a human being; they don't care until they know we care.

### Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.

This High Risk Secured Intensive Residential Commitment program is contracted by the State of Florida, Department of Juvenile Justice. The Mission is to provide a structured environment in a residential setting for a maximum of 30 male clients, ages 13-21, who have been adjudicated in court and committed by the State of Florida. The program is designed to provide rehabilitation for committed youth through performance contracting, behavior modification programming and therapeutic counseling. Education is provided by Volusia County Schools Certified Teachers in the areas of Mathematics, Science, English/Language Arts, Social Studies and CTE. The average length of stay is from 12 to 18 months.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name                  | Position Title                | Job Duties and Responsibilities                          |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Plummer, Michael      | Teacher, Career/<br>Technical | Technology Support/MS Teams contact                      |
| Cioffi, Joseph        | Teacher, K-12                 | DJRF Contact, Math/PE Teacher                            |
| Pelletier,<br>Rebecca | School Counselor              | DAC and SIP Contact                                      |
| Roos, Candace         | School Counselor              | DJRF Guidance Counselor, Graduation Tracking             |
| Kirvan, Colleen       | Assistant Principal           |  |
| Cruz, Sheila          | Teacher, K-12                 | SMA contact, Eng/Soc Teacher                             |
| Nass, Keri Lynn       | Teacher, K-12                 | support and establish data for DJRF. PBIS implementation |
| Vaughn, Alexis        | Teacher, K-12                 | Elementary contact for DJRF                              |
| Williams,<br>LaKeshia | Teacher, K-12                 | support and establish initiatives for DJRF               |

Is education provided through contract for educational services?

No

#### If yes, name of the contracted education provider.

N/A

**Demographic Information** 

#### Principal start date

Thursday 9/29/2022, Patricia Corr

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

```
7
```

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.

```
5
```

Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?

5

Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?

0

#### Number of teachers with ESE certification?

3

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

#### **Demographic Data**

#### Early Warning Systems

#### 2022-23

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| la dia stan  |   |   |   |   | ( | Gra | ade | e Le | eve | əl |    |    |    | Tatal |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator  | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5   | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 2   | 1  | 3  | 1  | 0  | 7     |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 1   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 4     |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 1   | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 3     |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 1   | 0  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 3     |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 2   | 1  | 2  | 1  | 0  | 6     |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 2   | 0  | 2  | 1  | 0  | 5     |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 1   | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 2     |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | I |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 1   | 1 | 2  | 1  | 0  | 5     |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | ve | I |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1     |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/4/2022

#### 2021-22 - Updated

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator  |   |   |   |   |   | Gra | ade | e Lo | eve | əl |    |    |    | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|-----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator  | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5   | 6   | 7    | 8   | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Number of students enrolled                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 3     |
| Attendance below 90 percent                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| One or more suspensions                                  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Course failure in ELA                                    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1     |
| Course failure in Math                                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 3     |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | l |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                            | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8    | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0    | 1 | 1  | 1  | 0  | 3     |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indiantan                           |   |   |   |   |   | Gr | ade | e Le | ve | l |    |    |    | Tatal |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7    | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component      |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       |        | 2019     |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             |        | 46%      | 51%   |        |          |       |        | 52%      | 56%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       |        |          |       |        | 49%      | 51%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       |        |          |       |        | 37%      | 42%   |
| Math Achievement            |        | 33%      | 38%   |        |          |       |        | 48%      | 51%   |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       |        |          |       |        | 49%      | 48%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       |        |          |       |        | 38%      | 45%   |
| Science Achievement         |        | 30%      | 40%   |        |          |       |        | 76%      | 68%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  |        | 40%      | 48%   |        |          |       |        | 69%      | 73%   |

#### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|            |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08         | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |

|           |          |        | MATH     | l                                 |       |                                |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade     | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08        | 2022     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|           | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0%     |          |                                   | · ·   |                                |

|            | SCIENCE           |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year              | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2022              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2022              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08         | 2022              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2019              |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | Cohort Comparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |

| BIOLOGY EOC |        |          |                             |       |                          |  |
|-------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|
| Year        | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |  |
| 2022        |        |          |                             |       |                          |  |
| 2019        |        |          |                             |       |                          |  |

|             |        | CIVIC                               | S EOC                       |                          |                          |  |
|-------------|--------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Year School |        | District                            | School<br>Minus<br>District | State                    | School<br>Minus<br>State |  |
| 2022        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
| 2019        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
|             |        | HISTO                               | RY EOC                      | •                        |                          |  |
| Year        | School | nool District School State District |                             | School<br>Minus<br>State |                          |  |
| 2022        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
| 2019        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
|             |        | ALGEE                               | RA EOC                      | · · ·                    |                          |  |
| Year        | School | District                            | School<br>Minus<br>District | State State State        |                          |  |
| 2022        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
| 2019        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
|             |        | GEOME                               | TRY EOC                     |                          |                          |  |
| Year        | School | District                            | School<br>Minus<br>District | State Minu               |                          |  |
| 2022        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |
| 2019        |        |                                     |                             |                          |                          |  |

#### Subgroup Data Review

|   | 2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
|---|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2020-21 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2020-21 |
| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |   |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 |
| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |   |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups                                 | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |

#### ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index                           |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)                 |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students         |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target |  |  |  |

#### **ESSA Federal Index**

Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency

Total Points Earned for the Federal Index

Total Components for the Federal Index

Percent Tested

**Subgroup Data** 

#### Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

### Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place related to the Areas of Focus?

Progress monitoring through weekly Professional Learning Community meetings and review of Edgenuity reports. Our Progress Monitoring includes Edgenuity % complete, grade average, days since last activity, etc. This data was reviewed and discussed weekly for each individual youth enrolled. The Instructional team would come up with suggestions for improved educational practice for youth who are not progressing as expected. Progress monitoring was shared with stake holders, including DJRF staff etc. Report card grades were evaluated for accuracy and whenever possible, measures were taken to address credit retrieval.

### Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Math Proficiency. New renaissance program and star testing assessments. Other educational programs, Edgenuity, course completions reward system. Professional development for instructors and staff, reading interventions, social - emotional training, PLC and progress monitoring tools.

### What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

MATH Proficiency / ELA Proficiency. Students need a foundation in math and reading skills. Additionally students have attendance issues. Students do not have positive relationships with staff and adults in general. Students need assistance setting goals and self monitoring for completion of work.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Math proficiency is a requirement. Students lack foundation in math and reading skills; as well as attendance issues. Students require positive relationships with staff and adults now and in the future. Students in Alternative Ed. tend to show deficits in GPA, EOC's, FSA, and graduation rate.

#### What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

MATH/ELA Proficiency best practices in the classroom remediation of Math and Reading skills, Continue productive PLC's and monitoring meetings, develop positive teacher/student relationships, increase in course completions / graduation assurance through credit retrieval, successful transition back to zoned school, increase in graduation assurance rate.

# Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided to support teachers and leaders.

There will be thirteen ERPL/Faculty Meetings. Both school and district lead. These meetings will provide an ample amount of strategy and training to everyone. Giving opportunities to develop professional skills in teacher clarity, success criteria, profile of environments, curriculum establishment, and teaching supports.

Areas of Focus:

#### **#1. DJJ Components specifically relating to Math**

| Area of Focus Description and<br>Rationale:<br>Include a rationale that explains how it was<br>identified as a critical need from the data<br>reviewed.                               | According to Early Warning Signs data from last school year,<br>almost half of our youth are level 1 in state mandated<br>assessments for Math. Over 10% of our youth had a<br>substantial reading deficiency which impacts math curriculum<br>as well. Under 50% of our youth had course failure in ELA.<br>Over half of our youth have 2 or more indicators of<br>deficiencies.   |
|---|---|
| <b>Measurable Outcome:</b><br>State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.                                      | Students in our program for more than 20 days will be on track to complete course with 70% proficiency.   |
| <b>Monitoring:</b><br>Describe how this Area of Focus will be<br>monitored for the desired outcome.   | Quarterly progress monitoring will be assessed through<br>renaissance. Weekly progress monitoring, course<br>completions/quarterly grades, on target with target date in<br>Edgenuity.  |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome:  | Joseph Cioffi (jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us)  |
| <b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b><br>Describe the evidence-based strategy<br>being implemented for this Area of Focus.  | Differentiation through scaffolding   |
| <b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b><br>Explain the rationale for selecting this<br>specific strategy. Describe the resources/<br>criteria used for selecting this strategy. | Scaffolding has a .82 effect size (Hattie). The MATH<br>proficiency not<br>only showed low performance, but also nearly the greatest<br>decline<br>from the prior year, and the MATH component had Nearly the<br>greatest<br>gap when compared to the state average. This Data<br>component<br>showed the lowest performance according to last years EWS<br>indicators. Students in Alternative Ed. tend to Lag behind all<br>other<br>subgroups in GPA, EOC's, FSA, attendance and graduation<br>rate. |
| Action Stone to Implement:  |   |

#### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Assess individual student needs
- 2. Provide supplementary resources
- 3. Progress monitoring/Edgenuity
- 4. Teacher/Student ratio (small group; 1:1)
- 5. Provide emotional /behavioral supports
- 6. Guided notes
- 7. Gradual release
- 8. Break tasks down into small steps
- 9. Professional Development for Instructional staff in Social-Emotional Training, Blended Learning, and Effective PLC's. In order to foster better student teacher relationships
- 10. Emphasis on graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 11. Remediation of Foundational skills in Math and Reading

| Person Responsible Jos                      |   |
|---|---|
| the process for progress monitoring the gra | eekly progress monitoring, course completions/quarterly<br>ades,<br>target with target date in Edgenuity. |

| Area of Focus Description and Rationale:<br>Include a rationale that explains how it was<br>identified as a critical need from the data<br>reviewed.                                 | According to Early Warning Signs data from last school<br>year, 2/3rds of our youth are level 1 in state mandated<br>assessments for ELA. Over 10% of our youth had a<br>substantial reading deficiency. A fourth of our youth had<br>course failure in ELA. Over half of our youth have 2 or<br>more indicators of deficiencies   |
|--|--|
| <b>Measurable Outcome:</b><br>State the specific measurable outcome the<br>school plans to achieve. This should be a data<br>based, objective outcome.                               | Students in our program for more than 20 days will be on track to complete course with 70% proficiency.  |
| <b>Monitoring:</b><br>Describe how this Area of Focus will be<br>monitored for the desired outcome.  | Quarterly progress monitoring will be assessed through<br>renaissance. Weekly progress monitoring, course<br>completions/quarterly grades, on target with target date<br>in Edgenuity.   |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome:   | Joseph Cioffi (jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us)   |
| Evidence-based Strategy:<br>Describe the evidence-based strategy being<br>implemented for this Area of Focus.  | Differentiation through scaffolding  |
| <b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b><br>Explain the rationale for selecting this specific<br>strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used<br>for selecting this strategy. | Scaffolding has a .82 effect size (Hattie). The ELA<br>proficiency not<br>only showed low performance, but also nearly the<br>greatest decline<br>from the prior year, and the ELA component had Nearly<br>the greatest<br>gap when compared to the state average. This Data<br>component<br>showed the lowest performance according to last years<br>EWS<br>indicators. Students in Alternative Ed. tend to Lag behind<br>all other<br>subgroups in GPA, EOC's, FSA, attendance and<br>graduation rate. |
| Action Steps to Implement:   |  |

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Assess individual student needs
- 2. Provide supplementary resources
- 3. Progress monitoring/Edgenuity
- 4. Teacher/Student ratio (small group; 1:1)
- 5. Provide emotional /behavioral supports
- 6. Guided notes
- 7. Gradual release
- 8. Break tasks down into small steps

9. Professional Development for Instructional staff in Social-Emotional Training, Blended Learning, and Effective PLC's. In order to foster better student - teacher relationships

- 10. Emphasis on graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 11. Remediation of Foundational skills in Math and Reading

#### **Person Responsible**

#### **Monitoring ESSA Impact:**

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index. Joseph Cioffi (jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Weekly progress monitoring, course completions/ quarterly grades, on target with target date in Edgenuity.

#### Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment is critical in supporting sustainable schoolwide improvement initiatives. When schools implement a shared focus on improving school culture and environment, students are more likely to engage academically. A positive school culture and environment can also increase staff satisfaction and retention.

Select a targeted element from the menu to develop a system or process to be implemented for schoolwide improvement related to positive culture and environment.

Community Engagement

Describe how data will be collected and analyzed to guide decision making related to the selected target.

At our site, we involve all stakeholders. However, there are very few instances where outside stakeholders can attend program events. Most of our interactions are with the program personnel. We are a team that works closely together with our building's staff to enable student success. Educators and staff personnel attend meetings and treatment team meetings with program for the betterment of students. Additionally, program personnel are all involved in school activities and meetings. To better involve outside stakeholders we will use Teams and Zoom programs that will allow for live video stream between program and stakeholders. Continued communication will be attained through email and phone calls. All data can be tracked and communicated through call history and email tracing.

# Describe how the target area, related data and resulting action steps will be communicated to stakeholders.

The target area, related data, and the resulting action steps to communicate with the community stakeholders will be achieved through phone, email, and live zoom/teams facetime calls.

#### Describe how implementation will be progress monitored.

The implementation of the target area, related data, and the resulting action steps to communicate with the community stakeholders will be progress monitored through survey analysis. Biannually Forms surveys will be sent out via email and text links when applicable.

#### Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

#### **Action Step**

#### Last Modified: 4/27/2024

- 1. Identify stakeholders
- 2. gather contact information both emails and phone numbers
- 3. establish communication for tracking.

4. Share wins, census, course completions, changes in program/education, individual student needs, progress monitoring through phone, email, and/or team/zoom calls.

5. Deliver biannual surveys through forms to gather feedback from all stakeholders.

Cioffi, Joseph, jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us

#### Person Responsible for Monitoring