Duval County Public Schools

Oak Hill Academy



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
- ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0
Duduel to Support Goals	U

Oak Hill Academy

6910 DAUGHTRY BLVD S, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/oakhill

Demographics

Principal: Stephanie Smith

Start Date for this Principal: 8/3/2016

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2021-22 Title I School	Yes
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	92%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities Black/African American Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: No Grade 2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Cassandra Brusca</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Γitle I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Last Modified: 5/2/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 22

Oak Hill Academy

6910 DAUGHTRY BLVD S, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/oakhill

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served		2021-22 Economically
	2021-22 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(per MSID File)		(as reported on Survey 3)

Combination School
PK-8

92%

Primary Service Type
(per MSID File)

Charter School

Yes

Special Education No 72%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Oak Hill Academy is to continually motivate and encourage all students to achieve their goals using highly engaging curricula and technology while incorporating the use of research-based instructional strategies and interventions.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Oak Hill Academy is to provide students with autism spectrum disorders or related disabilities a unique educational environment that is dedicated to providing individualized, intensive and effective instruction that will allow students to maximize progress in the areas of academics, communication, social skills, and behavior.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Smith, Stephanie	Principal	Teams members include the Principal; Guidance Counselor; BCBA; CSS Coach. Members of the school's leadership teams work in conjunction with the classroom teachers and support staff to be sure that students are working towards expected goals. Members of these teams are responsible for creating and monitoring behaviors and classroom environments to best meet student needs. Methods for assessing needs include: focus walks geared towards specific instructional components; mentoring teachers and staff; providing training and/or in class support; designing plans of action and next steps to support progress towards school improvement goals.
Floyd-Hatcher, Michele	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal is responsible for creating plans and monitoring behaviors and classroom environments to ensure we are meeting student needs. Methods for assessing needs include: focus walks geared towards specific instructional components; mentoring teachers and staff; providing training and/or in class support; designing plans of action and next steps to support progress towards school improvement goals.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 8/3/2016, Stephanie Smith

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 36

Total number of students enrolled at the school

275

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

la diactor	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	10	21	14	20	14	25	13	15	12	0	0	0	0	144
Attendance below 90 percent	0	13	17	12	2	10	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	61
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Saturday 7/30/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		

Course failure in ELA

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment

Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment

Number of sutdents with a substantial reading deficiency

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

		Indicator	Grade Level	Total
--	--	-----------	-------------	-------

Students with two or more indicators

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level	lotal
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	10	21	14	20	14	25	13	15	12	0	0	0	0	144
Attendance below 90 percent	0	13	17	12	2	10	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	61
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of sutdents with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Campanant		2022			2021		2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement		47%	55%					54%	61%	
ELA Learning Gains								56%	59%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile								53%	54%	
Math Achievement		40%	42%					57%	62%	
Math Learning Gains								57%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile								52%	52%	
Science Achievement		45%	54%					50%	56%	
Social Studies Achievement		50%	59%					76%	78%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022			-		
	2019					
Cohort Com	nparison				•	
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH	I		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison				•	
05	2022					

			MATH	l		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
08	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			<u>'</u>	

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		CIVIC	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
		ALGEI	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHOO	OL GRAD	F COME	ONENT	S BY SI	IBGRO	IIPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	68	77	93	67	77	88	56	73			
BLK	70	81	90	63	84	92	47				
WHT	66	68		69	64		58				
FRL	59	72		62	76	80	54				
		2021	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	38	57	79	45	57	69	33	38			
BLK	27	39		33	43		21				
WHT	48	80		52	67						
FRL	37	45		41	48		31				
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	16	24	40	17	22	18					
BLK	14	30		11	11						
WHT	12	18		18	36						
FRL	9	29		9	25						

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A

ESSA Federal Index	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	75
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	599
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	75
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	75
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A

Hispanic Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	65
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	67
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Lowest performing component is Math and ELA Grades 3-5. Difficulty creating tasks that will engage students for long periods of time that are also aligned to grade-level state standards. Students have deficits in prerequisite learning skills such as attending and responding.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Lowest performing component is Math and ELA Grades 3-5

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Professional learning focused on language skills and responding strategies. Continue with common planning strategies and identify supplemental mat materials.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

FSAA data from 20-21 SY, Middle school students demonstrated high levels of growth and proficiency in ELA.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Focus on common planning and introduction of new curriculum.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Monthly half day trainings will be provided to teachers. Early release days will be utilized to provide professional learning opportunities to teachers focused on improving understanding of instructional strategies.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Common planning facilitation Monthly WOW Wednesday with specific learning focuses Early dismissal trainings

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Support team coach assignments will be organized to support teacher teams. Monthly half day professional learning series will be provided to all teachers.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

•

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Classrooms at Oak Hill Academy show deficits in collective planning and implementation of standards-based instruction aligned to school-designed pacing guide. A review of the 5Essentials, FSAA data, STAR Data, Quality Program Indicators and Classroom Walk-through Rubric information showed that students across all classrooms are demonstrating only partial understanding of standards and classroom staff are not utilizing resources provided with fidelity.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy. Increase the number of students who demonstrate learning gains in Reading and Math in grades 3-8 taking the Florida State Alternate Assessment by 10% as well as improvements in school-based individual assessment data. Classroom Walk-Through and QPI data should also reflect improvement in alignment and implementation.

Regularly scheduled professional learning will be provided to staff. Trainers will conduct check-ins and staff will complete surveys to provide feedback for future planning.

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Implementation of facilitated common planning sessions will be held weekly to ensure that lessons and activities are planned to align with standards and the pacing guide. The common planning sessions will include all classroom staff to ensure that goals and objectives of each activity are understood by those responsible for the instruction. Focus will be on increasing communication through the use TD Snap software and the AT tablets.

Teachers and classroom staff need to collaborate on classroom instruction to ensure all standards are being addressed. This will lead to improved learning gains for all students.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Provide teachers in-depth training in curriculum and provide follow-up coaching and modeling as needed.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Purchase and utilize instructional materials with Title 1 funds that will enhance standards based instruction and improve student outcomes on FSAA.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Through the addition of a Parent Liaison position, we will provide better home to school alignment that ensure adequate parental support in the areas of academic, communication and social skills improvement to increase outcomes on the FSAA.

Person Responsible

rodney herring (herringr@duvalschools.org)

Through the addition of a school librarian position, we will use Title 1 funds to provide instruction in emergent literacy aligned to standards and STAR data.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Purchase and utilize make and take materials with Title 1 funds that will enhance standards based instruction

and improve student outcomes on FSAA. These materials include velcro, lamination and other classroom supplies from the store room.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Purchase Interactive carts and mini PC's with Title 1 funds that will enhance standards based instruction and improve student outcomes on FSAA. T

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Collective Responsibility

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

In schools with strong Collaborative Practices, teachers and staff share a strong sense of responsibility for student

development, school improvement and professional growth. Based on results of the 5Essentials Survey, staff indicated that they did not have enough

opportunity to collaborate, observe and receive feedback from colleagues.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The 5Essentials survey data should reflect and overall improvement of at least 5 points in the Collaborative Practices data for the upcoming survey window...

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the

Regularly scheduled professional learning will be provided to staff. Trainers

will conduct check-ins and staff will complete surveys to provide feedback

future planning.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

As recommended by UChicago, a schoolwide schedule has been

developed

to allow for weekly common planning

and

collaborative sessions to occur. Schedule will also allow teachers the opportunity to observe peer instruction and provide each other feedback on instruction.

When teachers observe each others' practice, and work together to review assessment data and develop instructional strategies, they are more likely to

indicate that they have participated in collaborative practices.

desired outcome.

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Complete Back to School PD as provided by UChicago

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith

(smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Attend common planning sessions and meet frequently with instructional coaches to provide facilitation goals.

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith

(smiths1@duvalschools.org)

Adjust schedule as needed to allow for opportunities to collaborate and observe peer instruction

Person Responsible

Stephanie Smith (smiths1@duvalschools.org)

RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Implementation of Ealy Emergent Literacy strategies in all classrooms Utilize STAR Early Literacy Assessment to provide baseline

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Implementation of Ealy Emergent Literacy strategies in all classrooms Utilize STAR Early Literacy Assessment to provide baseline

Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50
 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

Awaiting data release

Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

Awaiting data release

Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

Awaiting data release

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Smith, Stephanie, smiths1@duvalschools.org

Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Awaiting data release

Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

Awaiting data release

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

Awaiting data release

Smith, Stephanie, smiths1@duvalschools.org

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

At Oak Hill Academy a schedule of trainings that will be provided to all parents, meeting and training dates, as well as a calendar for other school events open for their participation. Meetings and trainings will be designed to provide parents with information on how we can best make academic programs functionally appropriate, instructionally rigorous and motivating for our students with intellectual Disabilities. Parents will also be encouraged to become involved with the School Advisory Council (SAC), school enrichment programs, trainings and in their child's classroom activities. Training information will be provided for parents on how they can help reinforce the instructional programs and their child's skill development at home as well as provide some of the tools to do that. At all meetings and activities, parents will be offered a response form on which to provide feedback.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

School leadership team will promote positive school culture by facilitating professional learning and providing support to teachers, staff and families.

Parents are always welcome to schedule conferences and request special meetings to clarify expectations for their child's instruction or other needs. These conferences may be held face-to-face, by phone or email. Information to parents will be disseminated via DOJO, phone,

informational flyers and memos, email and a monthly school newsletter and website. We will be able to monitor by accessing the school communication system reports and we will be including a statement

on the activity evaluations that ask parents how they received the information.