

### **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Broward - 2621 - Tamarac Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

### **Tamarac Elementary School**

7601 N UNIVERSITY DR, Tamarac, FL 33321

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

### **Principal: Richard Garrick**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

Active
Elementary School PK-5
K-12 General Education
Yes
100%
lents With Disabilities* lish Language Learners k/African American Students banic Students iracial Students te Students nomically Disadvantaged lents
2021-22: B (58%) 2018-19: C (53%) 2017-18: C (47%)
ion*
Southeast
LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
N/A
N/A

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Broward - 2621 - Tamarac Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP												
Tan	narac Elementary Sc	hool										
7601 N UNIVERSITY DR, Tamarac, FL 33321												
[ no web address on file ]												
School Demographics												
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)									
Elementary School PK-5	v de											
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)									
K-12 General Education	No		88%									
School Grades History												
Year         2021-22           Grade         B	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> С	<b>2018-19</b> C									
School Board Approval												

**School Board Approval** 

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Tamarac Elementary School is to make a significant difference in the lives of young children by providing positive learning experiences and opportunities that promote 21st century skills and prepare for a future where our children will be college and career ready.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Tamarac Elementary will provide engaging learning environments where students' individual needs are met through quality direct instruction, meaningful practice, and peer collaboration. In partnership with parents, teachers, and the community, we will continue our commitment of providing a supportive and nurturing learning environment to all students.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Garrick, Richard	Principal	The principal is the instructional leader of the school who provides a safe learning environment and oversees school operations. The principal ensures student social-emotional and academic needs are met.
Dorman, Kristen	Assistant Principal	The assistant principal provides support to faculty and staff, communicates with stakeholders, oversees the response to intervention process, and assists the principal in managing safety, security, and school operations.
Bodden, Tanya	Other	The ESE specialist is responsible for coordinating all required ESE meetings. She assists general education teachers of students with disabilities to implement the IEP and monitor progress of IEP goals.
German, Jeraldyne	Reading Coach	The literacy coach coaches teachers with implementing best practices in reading instruction. She assists teachers in analyzing progress monitoring data to inform literacy instruction. She participates in Response to Intervention meetings to assist in identifying appropriate interventions for students.
Maini, Sheri	Math Coach	The math coach is the school's math instructional leader. She coaches teachers and provides appropriate resources and curriculum materials that are supposed by best practices.
Glass, Jessica	Curriculum Resource Teacher	The resource teacher will provide interventions to struggling students and support the teachers in delivering differentiated instruction and analyzing data for data chats.
Small- Williams, Benita	Curriculum Resource Teacher	The resource teacher will provide interventions to struggling students and support the teachers in delivering differentiated instruction and analyzing data for data chats.
Hilaire, Dione	School Counselor	The guidance counselor serves as the SEL liaison for the school, ensuring that the social-emotional needs of the students are met through whole group, small group, or individual lessons and/or counseling,
Feis, Rebecca	Other	The Autism Coach provides academic and behavioral support to the teachers and students in the Autism Special Program.

### Demographic Information

### Principal start date

Monday 7/1/2019, Richard Garrick

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 40

**Total number of students enrolled at the school** 628

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year. 13

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 13

**Demographic Data** 

### Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gra	ide L	eve	el						Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	103	93	93	105	92	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	599
Attendance below 90 percent	40	37	30	32	35	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
One or more suspensions	0	2	2	2	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	33	20	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	34	23	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	11	8	5	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indiaator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	1	11	5	32	31	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indiantar						Gra	Ide	Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	1	8	4	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/2/2022

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					G	ade	Lev	/el						Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	81	82	80	93	84	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	522
Attendance below 90 percent	4	10	6	10	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	23	38	30	18	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	2	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Broward - 262	1 - Tamarac Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP	

Indicator					G	rade	Lev	vel						Total
mulcator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	81	82	80	93	84	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	522
Attendance below 90 percent	4	10	6	10	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	23	38	30	18	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	2	2	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Component		2022			2021		2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	52%	58%	56%				51%	59%	57%	
ELA Learning Gains	68%						59%	60%	58%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	56%						51%	54%	53%	
Math Achievement	54%	54%	50%				54%	65%	63%	
Math Learning Gains	80%						62%	66%	62%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	68%						46%	53%	51%	
Science Achievement	30%	59%	59%				46%	46%	53%	

### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	Cohort Comparison					
03	2022					
	2019	44%	60%	-16%	58%	-14%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
04	2022					
	2019	44%	62%	-18%	58%	-14%
Cohort Co	mparison	-44%			•	
05	2022					
	2019	55%	59%	-4%	56%	-1%
Cohort Co	mparison	-44%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	40%	65%	-25%	62%	-22%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
04	2022					
	2019	41%	67%	-26%	64%	-23%
Cohort Co	mparison	-40%			•	
05	2022					
	2019	71%	64%	7%	60%	11%
Cohort Co	mparison	-41%	I			

SCIENCE								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
05	2022							
	2019	44%	49%	-5%	53%	-9%		
Cohort Com	nparison							

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	37	48	44	40	62	40	24				
ELL	50	59	47	58	80		14				
BLK	46	70	64	43	77	75	21				
HSP	60	63	43	64	81	57	18				
MUL	50			55							
WHT	48	76		50	83		73				
FRL	50	66	54	49	77	67	25				
		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	24	47	40	17	16	10	11				
ELL	43	62		26	36		10				
BLK	42	46	50	19	26	33	14				
HSP	42	63		26	31		23				
MUL	60			40							
WHT	56	65		38	19		31				
FRL	40	49	47	20	22	28	17				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	21	33	25	37	55	52	19				
ELL	51	65	50	58	71	61	54				
BLK	42	53	55	46	55	30	30				
HSP	58	60	33	58	65	56	60				
WHT	56	62	50	59	69		47				
FRL	48	57	54	51	61	44	40				

### ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	59
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	65
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	473
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%

Broward - 2621 - Tamarac Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	41
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	53
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	60
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	56
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	53
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	

Broward - 2621 - Tamarac Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	66
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	57
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

ELA achievement has improved from 2019 to 2022 from 51% to 52%. ELA learning gains improved from 59% to 68%. ELA lowest 25th percentile learning gains improved from 51% to 56%. Math achievement remained the same at 54% from 2019 to 2022, however improved from 25% to 54% from 2021 to 2022. Math learning gains improved from 62% to 80%. Math lowest 25th percentile learning gains improved from 46% to 68%. Science achievement decreased from 46% to 30%.

In ELA and math achievement, the lowest performing subgroup is students with disabilities. In science achievement, the lowest subgroup is English Language Learners. Among racial demographics, the black students performed lowest in ELA and math achievement and Hispanic students performed lowest in science achievement.

### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Science achievement indicates the greatest need for improvement.

### What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Science achievement historically falls on the responsibility of the fifth grade teachers. All teachers need to be held accountable for instructing science to all students from K-5.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math learning gains showed the largest improvement from 2021 to 2022.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

After return to 100% face-to-face instruction, students had the opportunity to engage in hands-on math math practice with manipulatives. Students also participated in Acaletics to improve problem solving and math fluency.

### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Acaletics will continue to be implemented this school year.

# Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will be provided professional development opportunities of best practices in all subjects areas through Professional Learning Communities and staff professional development on employee planning days.

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

School-wide progress monitoring will be implemented for all students in all subjects to ensure student performance is continuing to improve and reach our school goals. Progress monitoring data will be utilized to determine which students will receive intervention through RTI and which students will be eligible to participate in ELOs (Extended Learning Opportunities).

### Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	Based on FSA 2022 data, Tamarac Elementary 52% of the students demonstrated proficiency in English Language Arts compared to the district average of 55%, which indicates a need for improvement in instructional practices and standards-based planning.
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	By the end of May 2023, the percentage of students demonstrating proficiency in ELA will increase from 52% to at least 57% as demonstrated on the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST).
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	This area of focus will be monitored via fall, winter and spring Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST). In addition, Benchmark Advance Unit Assessments will also be utilized to progress monitor specific standards between testing windows.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Richard Garrick (richard.garrick@browardschools.com)
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence- based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	The implementation of explicit and systematic standards-based instruction to increase student achievement in Reading.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.	Based on Marzano Focus Teacher Evaluation Model, planning to close the achievement gap using data is highly effective. Analysis of the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST), indicates that many students are lacking in Phonemic Awareness and Phonics. Therefore, systematic standards-based instruction is necessary to fill these gaps for early intervention.
Action Steps to Implement List the action steps that will person responsible for mon	Il be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the
	ness program will be implemented in grades K and 1 as a Tier I supplement.
Person Responsible	Jeraldyne German (jeraldyne.german@browardschools.com)
Reading Horizons will be us phonemic awareness and p	sed as a Tier 3 intervention with K-5 students who have deficits in the area of phonics.

**Person Responsible** Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

Fundations will be used as a Tier 2 intervention with K-2 students who have deficits in the area of phonemic awareness and phonics.

**Person Responsible** Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

LLI will be used as a Tier 2 and 3 intervention with K-5 students who have deficits in multiple areas of reading.

### **Person Responsible** Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

ESSER teachers will implement research-based programs with identified students scoring below proficiency as measured by the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking in English Language Arts.

### Person Responsible Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

ESSER teachers will implement research-based programs with identified students not predicted to demonstrate proficiency on the 3rd grade Florida Assessment of Student Thinking PM 3 in English Language Arts in May 2023 based on the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking in English Language Arts PM 2.

### **Person Responsible** Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

Benchmark Advance Intervention Resource will be used as a Tier 2 and 3 intervention with K-5 students who have deficits in multiple areas of reading

**Person Responsible** Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math		
Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	Based on FSA 2022 data, Tamarac Elementary 54% of the students demonstrated proficiency in mathematics compared to the district average of 59%, which indicates a need for improvement in instructional practices and standards-based planning.	
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	By the end of May 2023, the percentage of students demonstrating a Level 3 - 5 in Math will increase from 54% to at least 60% as demonstrated by the F.A.S.T.	
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	This Area of Focus will be monitored via fall, winter and spring F.A.S.T. In addition, students in grades Kindergarten through Fifth Grade will be progress monitored at the end of each Topic utilizing Savvas enVision's Topic Assessments. Cummulative Topic Assessments will be used as additional progress monitoring.	
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Richard Garrick (richard.garrick@browardschools.com)	
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	The implementation of explicit and systematic standards-based instruction using the modified gradual release model to increase student achievement in mathematics.	
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.	Based on Marzano and Hattie, high levels of student engagement and instruction following the concrete – representational- abstract model, have a high effect size on student achievement. According to the F.A.S.T, % of the current Kindergarten through Fifth Graders scored a Level 1, indicating these students are highly likely to need substantial support for the math course. Therefore, systematic standards-based instruction is necessary to fill these gaps for early intervention.	
Action Steps to Implement		

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Kindergarten through Second Grade teachers will implement Calendar Math on a daily basis to develop number sense, as well as geometry vocabulary, measurement and data skills.

# Person Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com) Responsible Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

Third through fifth grade students will participate in Acaletics Math every morning to extend skills to problem solving.

### Person

Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

Responsible

Manipulatives training will be provided to K-5 teachers so they can effectively implement the C-R-A model for math.

### Person Responsible

Sheri Maini (sheri.maini@browardschools.com)

All grade levels will administer and monitor progress via Savvas enVision Topic Assessments and Cumulative Assessments.

### Person Responsible Kristen Dorman (kristen.dorman@browardschools.com)

All grade levels will provide students with a minimum of 45 minutes per week in Successmaker via Savvas. Teachers will monitor usage time, number of exercises completed, and percent correct, as well as discuss progress and goals with students and their families.

### Person Sheri Maini (sheri.maini@browardschools.com)

Teachers and students will participate in the school-wide fluency plan which will include fact fluency folders, academic games and center activities, including REFLEX.

### Person Responsible

Sheri Maini (sheri.maini@browardschools.com)

ESE students receiving services will be instructed via a research-based program including Touch Math, Moving with Math, and Do the Math. Teachers and support staff will implement the Math Diagnostic and Intervention System (MDIS) from Savvas Realize and/or the programs listed above to meet the needs of students performing below grade level.

 Person
 Tanya Bodden (tanya.bodden@browardschools.com)

### RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

### Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

K-2 instructional practice will include a targeted focus on phonics and phonemic awareness.

### Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Grades 3- 5 instructional practice shows a need for foundational reading skills including phonics and phonemic awareness. In addition to supporting foundational skills in intermediate, there is also a need for intervention with fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.

### Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

### Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

By May 2023, the percentage of students demonstrating proficiency in ELA will increase from 43% to 48% as demonstrated on the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST).

### Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

By May 2023, the percent of students demonstrating proficiency in ELA will increase from 52% to 57% as demonstrated on the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST).

#### Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

Progress monitoring will include a Fall FAST (baseline), Winter FAST (mid-year), and a Spring FAST (summative) in the content of ELA. Along with FAST, Benchmark Advance Unit Assessments will be administered and utilized to progress monitor specific standards between the seasonal testing windows.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:** Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Garrick, Richard, richard.garrick@browardschools.com

### **Evidence-based Practices/Programs:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

The evidence-based practice/program being implemented to achieve the measurable outcome in grades K-2 are Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI), Reading Horizon, Benchmark Advance Intervention, and Fundations. The programs mentioned will provide Tier 2 intervention with K-2 students who have deficits in the area of phonemic awareness and phonics.

In grades 3-5 the evidence based-practices/programs being implemented to achieve measurable outcome are Fundations, Benchmark Advance Intervention, and Reading Horizons. These selected programs will target the areas of phonemic awareness and phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and/or comprehension

Reading endorsed teachers will provide thirty minutes of daily instruction and use the programs assessment tool to monitor the progress of the students receiving intervention.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

The evidence based practices programs provide reading instruction and strategies to meet the needs of students at the school level and determining appropriate instructional adjustments. The programs align with the components of reading (oral language, phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension) and/or the standards strands of reading and communication) that will be assessed on FAST PM3.

### Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step	Person Responsible for Monitoring
Under literacy leadership, reading endorsed teachers will be assigned and tasked to implement interventions that are of research-based programs with identified students with a reading deficit. The same students are also not predicted to demonstrate proficiency on the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking PM 3 in English Language Arts in May, 2023.	Garrick, Richard, richard.garrick@browardschools.com
Literacy Coaching will support teachers in modalities that include discussion, modeling, and/ or helping to implement differentiated instructional practices in the classrooms, according to students' needs. Coaching will also include meeting with teachers to analyze and develop actionable steps to support reading instruction based on the needs of the students.	German, Jeraldyne, jeraldyne.german@browardschools.com
Professional Learning communities will use data take from the FAST PM 1 assessment to identify students who have a deficit in the different areas of reading. With the data collected, the collaborating team will meet to create a specific goal tailored to a grade-level. As a professional team, weekly meeting will include the discussion of best practices and progress	German, Jeraldyne, jeraldyne.german@browardschools.com

### **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Tamarac Elementary builds and sustains partnerships by providing high quality instruction for our students and increasing collaboration with our parents and community through parent links, flyers, emails, Class

monitoring.

Dojo, and parent-teacher conferences.

Recruiting staff, parents, community members and businesses to participate on our School Advisory Council is a priority to increase broad stakeholder roles and address equity.

Tamarac Elementary has reengaged our Sunshine Committee to promote a positive school culture and environment amongst all staff members.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Richard Garrick, Principal and Kristen Dorman, Assistant Principal - Responsible for sending communication through Parent Link

Faculty - Responsible for Class Dojo and posting announcements in Canvas (when applicable)

BethAnn Boegler, Sunshine Committee Chair - Responsible for coordinating events for Sunshine Committee

PTA President - Responsible for recruiting SAC members and PTA membership

Jessica Glass, SAC Chair - Responsible for recruiting SAC members

Jessica Glass, Equity Liaison - Responsible for implementation of Equity Plan