

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

# Stellar Leadership Academy



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>0</b>

# Stellar Leadership Academy

7900 NW 27TH AVE # F20, Miami, FL 33147

www.lifeskillscenters.com

## Demographics

Principal: Angel Chaisson

Start Date for this Principal: 8/14/2011

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
<b>2021-22 Title I School</b>	Yes
<b>2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	52%
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
<b>School Grades History</b>	2021-22: No Grade 2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Southeast
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	
<b>ESSA Status</b>	CSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>0</b>

# Stellar Leadership Academy

7900 NW 27TH AVE # F20, Miami, FL 33147

www.lifeskillscenters.com

## School Demographics

<p><b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">High School 9-12</p>	<p><b>2021-22 Title I School</b></p> <p>Yes</p>	<p><b>2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)</p> <p>52%</p>
<p><b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Alternative Education</p>	<p><b>Charter School</b></p> <p>Yes</p>	<p><b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)</p> <p>100%</p>

## School Grades History

<p><b>Year</b></p>	<p><b>2012-13</b></p>	<p><b>2011-12</b></p>
<p><b>Grade</b></p>		

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### **Provide the school's mission statement.**

Stellar Leadership Academy provides a quality, empowering and personalized educational choice options for at risk and under-served students in grades 9-12.

#### **Provide the school's vision statement.**

To educate, train and inspire our students in a learning environment that models the values of integrity, teamwork, perseverance and personal responsibility, and where respect is the foundation of productive relationships, such that each of our graduates possesses the knowledge and character to meet the challenges of learning, working and living in the 21st Century.

### School Leadership Team

#### **Membership**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Chaisson, Angel	Principal	The principal provides a common vision for the use of data- based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RTI, conducts assessment of RTI skills of school staff ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation. The principal ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation and communicates with parents regarding school-based RTI plans and activities. The principal approves and allocate the resources as well as ensure that all SIP planning is completed and properly executed.
Kemp, Angela	Other	The Director of Curriculum and Compliance (DCC) and Intervention Specialist coordinates and facilitates the SST process and SST Intervention Plan. The DCC and Intervention Specialist will provide support to the principal to ensure that the instructional personnel successfully implements all Rtl goals as it relates to student achievement, interventions, professional development, and all other school based decisions. The Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Coordinator, participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.
McKay-Chung, Roxanne	Teacher, ESE	The Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teacher, participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/ materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.
Young, Ashley	Dean	The Student Services Dean, will schedule and facilitate regular RTI and Literacy Team Meetings. He ensures that all members attend the meetings and follows up with progress monitoring of the action steps. He monitors the implementation of the schools Rtl model which is used as a three-tiered approach to interventions in the areas of academics and behavior. He reviews data to determine appropriate interventions. In addition, he ensures that parents are provided sufficient information regarding the reading level of their child and makes recommendations for before / after school intervention sessions.
Davis, Ramone	School Counselor	Implementing the career and technical education program, to enhance both academic and career and technical skills, which is consistent with state and district guidelines and in alignment with the school's mission and vision.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Ramontal, Mosiah	Dean	The Dean, will schedule and facilitate regular RTI, Data / Academic status updates and Literacy Team Meetings. He ensures that all members attend the meetings and follows up with progress monitoring of the action steps. He monitors the implementation of the schools RtI model which is used as a three-tiered approach to interventions in the areas of academics and behavior. He reviews data to determine appropriate interventions. In addition, he ensures that parents are provided sufficient information regarding the reading level of their child and makes recommendations for before / after school intervention sessions for grades 11 and 12.

### Demographic Information

**Principal start date**

Sunday 8/14/2011, Angel Chaisson

**Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

**Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school**

10

**Total number of students enrolled at the school**

297

**Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.**

2

**Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.**

2

**Demographic Data**

### Early Warning Systems

**Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**



Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	69	98	72	296
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	33	34	20	106
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	44	52	33	159
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	36	53	37	137
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	20	50	39	134

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/2/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	62	92	84	267
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	43	41	21	125
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	31	55	0	107

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total		
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12	
Number of students enrolled		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	62	92	84	267
Attendance below 90 percent		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	43	41	21	125
One or more suspensions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Course failure in ELA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	31	55	0	107

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**

**School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement		54%	51%					59%	56%
ELA Learning Gains								54%	51%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile								48%	42%
Math Achievement		42%	38%					54%	51%
Math Learning Gains								52%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile								51%	45%
Science Achievement		41%	40%					68%	68%
Social Studies Achievement		56%	48%					76%	73%

**Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**  
**NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.**

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	5%	68%	-63%	67%	-62%

CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	5%	71%	-66%	70%	-65%

ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%

  

GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	54%	-54%	57%	-57%

**Subgroup Data Review**

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD										29	
ELL										5	
BLK	9									21	
HSP										14	20
FRL	5			6			18	8		19	8

  

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD										17	
ELL										5	
BLK	15	17								14	20
HSP										5	
FRL	17	19								10	17

  

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD										8	
ELL		14								23	
BLK		13								8	
HSP		29						7		24	
FRL		19			20			3		13	23

**ESSA Data Review**

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	7

<b>ESSA Federal Index</b>	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	43
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	50%
<b>Subgroup Data</b>	
<b>Students With Disabilities</b>	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	29
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2
<b>English Language Learners</b>	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	5
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	2
<b>Native American Students</b>	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Asian Students</b>	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Black/African American Students</b>	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	10
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	3
<b>Hispanic Students</b>	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	17
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	3

Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	11
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	3

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

#### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

The data components that are showing the lowest performance are ELA/ Reading and Geometry; in that order, based upon student performance data. Contributing factors to these areas' low performance is staffing. With the loss of instructors in several of these areas as well as staff to implement focused intervention

plans, performance in these areas have declined, specifically in ELA/Reading. Additionally, attendance has always been an area of concern, since the onset of the pandemic this area declined tremendously.

#### What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

The component showing the greatest decline from 2021 to 2022, based on student performance data, is ELA/Reading and Writing. There was a significant increase in Algebra 1 scores reported in 2021-22 and slightly maintained in 2021. Therefore, both ELA and math are both areas of concern and focus for targeted interventions.

#### What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Based upon student performance data, the contributing factors to these areas' low performance is staffing. With the loss of instructors in several of these areas as well as staff to implement focused intervention plans, performance in these areas have declined, specifically in ELA/Reading. Additionally, attendance has always been an area of concern, since the onset of the pandemic this area declined tremendously

**What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?**

Overall, off-cohort graduation has improved tremendously over the last couple of years.

Another area of improvement has been student performance in Biology. It is through the targeted interventions implemented within the instruction in science classes that have impacted this area positively.

**What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

Stellar has implemented a detailed tracking plan of credits and graduation requirements. This plan incorporates frequent student advisement and monitoring of course completion to promote student engagement and graduation gains.

**What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?**

The teachers will use contextualized teaching and learning (CTL), competency-based learning, and accelerated developmental education strategies in order to accelerate the learning this school year. Additional professional development will be available to the teachers to assist in this area along with an intervention specialist who will focus solely on closing the gap in learning and accelerating the learning.

**Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.**

The professional development opportunities this school year will focus on data assessment, closing the learning gap, RTI and how to effectively implement contextualized teaching and learning (CTL), competency-based learning, and accelerated developmental education strategies in order to accelerate the learning this school year.

**Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.**

The school will implement additional services such as an after school tutorial program, more frequent push in and pull out intervention sessions and continue to provide RTI support.

**Areas of Focus**

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Professional Learning Communities**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**  
**Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.**

The best way to raise student achievement is through professional learning. Students need effective teaching if they are to develop the higher order thinking skills and they will need to overcome barriers to mastering state standards. The need for effective professional development for schools and teachers is critical. Research has shown that what distinguishes high performing, high poverty schools from lower performing schools is effective collaborative professional development for teachers. This area has been identified as an area of focus due to the change in instructional personnel

**Measurable Outcome:**  
**State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.**

Professional learning sessions will be implemented monthly focusing on research-based instructional strategies to support teacher growth as well as instructional delivery. The strategies which are the focus for professional learning will be grounded in data analysis, differentiated instruction as well as higher order thinking/depth of knowledge. Teacher evaluation scores will improve based upon student performance increasing, based upon student learning gains, due to the incorporation of professional learning.

**Monitoring:**  
**Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.**

Professional learning sessions will be implemented monthly focusing on research-based instructional strategies.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Angel Chaisson (937051@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**  
**Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.**

1. Ongoing instruction for a significant duration of time.
2. Support for teachers during the implementation stage.
3. Active learning opportunities for teachers

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**  
**Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.**

1. Continual professional development gives teachers time to learn and implement new strategies. According to the report, studies have concluded that teachers may need as many as 50 hours of instruction, practice, and coaching before a new teaching strategy is mastered and implemented in class.
2. Research supports teachers take an average of 20 separate instances of practice to master a new skill, and this number may increase if the skill is exceptionally complex. Providing support addresses the challenges associated with changing a classroom practice.
3. Active learning activities help teachers decipher concepts, theories, and research-based practices in teaching, modeling the new practice has been shown to help teachers understand and apply a concept and remain open to adopting it.



### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Identification and focus on one or two instructional priorities — effective instructional practices in increments so that teachers have ample time to learn, refine, or improve instruction through implementation.
2. Organization of all available resources and personnel to help teachers implement these instructional priorities. Identifying the proper follow-up assistance so teachers are supported in this effort to implement newly learned instructional strategies.
3. Monitor and facilitate efforts to support the implementation of instructional priorities through training sessions, coaching, principal observation, staff and grade-level meetings, and evaluation systems.

**Person Responsible**

Angela Kemp (akemp@stellarleadershipacademy.org)

**#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance**

**Area of Focus Description and**

**Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.**

Students with multiple absences have been a constant barrier to the school providing the targeted instruction this population needs. The overall attendance rate has been below a 80%, due to the pandemic, student truancy; family health or financial concerns, poor school climate, transportation problems, and differing community attitudes towards education are among the conditions that are often associated with the students' frequent absence from school.

**Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.**

Students with chronic absenteeism will decrease significantly over the next five years. Moreover, student attendance rate will increase at a rate of 5% annually and will be projected to be above 90% within 5 years.

**Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.**

This area of focus will be monitored through attendance tracker, truancy data and reports and the attendance review committee intervention meetings.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Ashley Young (ayoung@stellarleadershipacademy.org)

**Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.**

Focus on engaging students in learning and instruction to meet any serious and longstanding attendance goals through the creation of a positive school environment and improve the home-school relationship.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.**

In order for students to learn and achieve their fullest potential, it is critical that they are in school and engaged in the learning process. Research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. In addition, there is evidence that chronic absenteeism from school is a primary cause of low academic achievement and a powerful predictor of a student's risk of dropping out of school. To support the school's efforts to combat chronic absenteeism the schools has outlined proactive ideas and strategies to engage educators, families and the community in an effort to improve student attendance. Engaging families and creating a positive school climate are two key strategies for improving attendance.

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Explain the importance of attendance to the entire school community. Communicate the school's progress toward attendance and celebrate successes throughout the year. Track daily attendance and student engagement in one central, secure location with a tool that helps to quickly see how these data points impact student behavior.
2. Form an attendance review team to regularly monitor attendance data and communicate with parents about issues as they arise. Designate absences as an indicator in the early warning system. Use data to identify which students are at risk.
3. Evaluate and address your students' engagement in learning — sustainable and significant attendance growth depends on it. Provide teachers and school leaders with multiple levels of support to help students stay more engaged and act positively. Help students achieve positive social and emotional character development, while reinforcing the behaviors that make up your ideal school culture. Use goal-based incentives and rewards.

**Person Responsible**      Shawanda Wright (swright@stellarleadershipacademy.org)

**#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**  
**Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.**

Improvement of writing skills across the core subject areas and curriculum. Focus on understanding of information and deeper elaboration of key concepts; promotion of writing as a technique to learn content.

**Measurable Outcome:**  
**State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.**

Students will demonstrate overall improved performance in ELA/ Reading, Students will increase proficiency by 10%.

**Monitoring:**  
**Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.**

The progress will be monitored through the in-class assessment and the B.E.S.T. assessments throughout the school year.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Angela Kemp (akemp@stellarleadershipacademy.org)

**Evidence-based Strategy:**  
**Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.**

Students will be receiving small group instruction and strategies in their weakest reporting category. Students will receive additional practice in identifying key terms and academic vocabulary.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:**  
**Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.**

Students will be encouraged to take ownership of their learning through implementation of instructional strategies. Explicit instruction on vocabulary and its connection to writing, will be infused within lesson plans.

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

1. Connect the Writing Skills to subject area content, by incorporating explicit grammar and writing instruction and requiring adherence in all writing across the curriculum activities.
2. Hold students accountable for use of proper writing skills in daily writing activities in all subject areas
3. Incorporate instruction on writing skills, concepts, strategies, and techniques across the curriculum
4. Use the Reading/Writing Connection and Literary Analysis to Teach Writing
5. Use the Subject Content / Writing Connection to Teach Writing
6. Foster Student Ownership of Writing: Through use of rubrics, checklists, and practice resource

**Person Responsible** Mosiah Ramontal (mramontal@stellarleadershipacademy.org)

**RAISE**

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

**Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA**

n/a

**Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA**

n/a

**Measurable Outcomes:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

**Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)**

n/a

**Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)**

n/a

**Monitoring:**

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

n/a

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

**Evidence-based Practices/Programs:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. Â§7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

n/a

**Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:**

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

n/a

**Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

**Action Step**

**Person Responsible for Monitoring**

n/a

## **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

### **Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.**

Stellar Leadership Academy believes that building positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students are a critical aspect of school improvement, success and sustainability.

The collaborative efforts of these individuals through the foundation of positive relationships offers building support for on-going success, develops advocates for improved academic and behavioral program outcomes, fosters the promoting of understanding the mission of the school, creates sharing the vision and passion for student success, and personally taking stake in the performance of the school, faculty and staff, and its students.

Together, the school's external stakeholders offer a myriad of ways in which to be a positive force for helping achieve improved outcomes for all students and sustain them over time.

### **Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.**

Stellar Leadership Academy believes that building positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students are a critical aspect of school improvement, success and sustainability.

The collaborative efforts of these individuals through the foundation of positive relationships offers building support for on-going success, develops advocates for improved academic and behavioral program outcomes, fosters the promoting of understanding the mission of the school, creates sharing the vision and passion for student success, and personally taking stake in the performance of the school, faculty and staff, and its students.