

## 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Osceola Virtual Instruction Program 1200 VERMONT AVE St Cloud, FL 34769 407-870-4847 www.osceola.k12.fl.us

# School Demographics School Type Title I Free and Reduced Lunch Rate Combination School No [Data Not Available] Alternative/ESE Center Charter School Minority Rate No No [Data Not Available] School Grades History School Grades History

#### **SIP Authority and Template**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

## Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Differentiated Accountability	5
Part I: Current School Status	6
Part II: Expected Improvements	12
Goals Summary	19
Goals Detail	19
Action Plan for Improvement	19
Part III: Coordination and Integration	20
Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals	21
Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals	22

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

#### Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

#### Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

#### **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals**

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

#### Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

#### Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

#### **DA Regions**

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

#### **DA Categories**

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
  - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
  - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
  - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
  - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
  - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

#### **DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses**

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

#### 2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Reg	jion	RED
Not in DA	N	N/A N/A	
Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

#### **Current School Status**

#### School Information

#### **School-Level Information**

#### School

Osceola Virtual Instruction Program

#### Principal

Zundra Aubrey

#### School Advisory Council chair

#### Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
Ms. Sandra Drummer	School Counselor
Mrs. Joann Kandrac	Learning Resource Specialist
Mrs. Shallondra Lomax	School Records Clerk

#### **District-Level Information**

District			
Osceola			
Superintendent			

Mrs. Melba Luciano

Date of school board approval of SIP Pending

#### School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Membership of the SAC

School staff- Principal, School Counselor, Learning Resource Specialist, Records Clerk, Parent

Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

#### Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

School Advisory Counselor Meetings, School Improvement Planning

#### Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

No funds are received for SAC

**Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC** Not In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

#### Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Administrators

# of administrators		
1		
# receiving effective rating or h	nigher	
(not entered because basis is $< 2$	-	
Administrator Information:		
Zunden Aubreu		
Zundra Aubrey	Veere ee Administratory 10	Veere et Current Scheelu 1
Principal	Years as Administrator: 10	Years at Current School: 1
Credentials	Doctorate Degree- Organization Educational Leadership, Bache Communications, Florida Princi English 5-9, Educational Leade	pals Certification, Certified in
Performance Record	Highly Effective and Effective	
Instructional Coaches		
# of instructional coaches		
1		
<b># receiving effective rating or h</b> (not entered because basis is < ?	-	
Instructional Coach Informatio	n:	
Joann Kandrac		
Part-time / District-based	Years as Coach: 5	Years at Current School: 5
Areas	Other	
	Maatara Dagraa Daghalara Da	
Credentials	Masters Degree, Bachelors Deg	gree
Performance Record	Effective	
Classroom Teachers		
<b># of classroom teachers</b> 29		
# receiving effective rating or h	nigher	
100, 345%		
# Highly Qualified Teachers		
345%		

#### # certified in-field

100, 345%

#### # ESOL endorsed

5, 17%

#### # reading endorsed

7, 24%

#### # with advanced degrees

, 0%

### # National Board Certified

2,7%

#### # first-year teachers

0, 0%

#### # with 1-5 years of experience 0, 0%

**# with 6-14 years of experience** 27, 93%

## # with 15 or more years of experience 2.7%

#### **Education Paraprofessionals**

# of paraprofessionals

#### # Highly Qualified

#### **Other Instructional Personnel**

#### # of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

2

#### # receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

#### **Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies**

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

Teachers are contracted through the Virtual Instruction Provider

#### **Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

Mentoring Program will be developed through the Virtual Instruction Provider

#### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

Students identified as in need of intervention and support follow the MTSS process in which schoolbased and district leadership provide interventions, meet to discuss intervention and strategies and make determinations about virtual school instruction.

## Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

Administrators meets with other school personnel and district leadership to discuss needs, resources and allocations needed, and conduct MTSS and SIP meetings. Learning Resources Specialist and School Counselor conducts MTSS meeting and monitors the implementation of interventions, resources to support learning. Teacher and Curriculum Provider refer students who are in need of interventions and provide documentation of progress or continued deficiencies in learning. Provide support for continued interventions and escalations to Teir III

## Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

Weekly and monthly escalation meetings are conducted to determination progress or next steps to ensure student success. Interventions are tracked, charted and documented and are part of a decision making as it relates to students moving back to Tier I or up to Tier II

## Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

Learning Management Systems, FAIR, STAR data (newly implemented), teacher assessments, state standardized assessments

## Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

Teacher professional development on MTSS in the Virtual School Setting, Professional Development, Development of Interventions and best practices currently being used in the virtual setting.

#### Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

**Strategy:** Extended Day for All Students **Minutes added to school year:** 0

```
Strategy Purpose(s)
```

...

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Zundra Aubrey	Principal
Joann Kandrac	LRS
Sandra Drummer	Counselor

#### How the school-based LLT functions

No current program developed

#### Major initiatives of the LLT

Focus on a systemic plan for improving reading

#### **Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction**

#### How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

Students who score a Level 1 in Reading are assigned Intensive Reading

#### **College and Career Readiness**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

Career course is offered in 7th and 8th grade. Students are able to take CTE courses in a supplemental course.

## How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

Students participate in Career Planning and the school counselor completes interventions with students on the career planning and resources that will be beneficial in aiding students in fields of interest.

#### Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

Student are encouraged to take the PERT test to determine readiness for college.

#### **Expected Improvements**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Area 1: Reading

## Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	84%	72%	No	86%
American Indian				
Asian				
Black/African American				
Hispanic	100%	71%	No	100%
White	82%	73%	No	84%
English language learners				
Students with disabilities				
Economically disadvantaged				

#### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	11	28%	65%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		12%

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### **Learning Gains**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	15	38%	85%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	-	ed for privacy sons]	79%

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students)	-	ed for privacy sons]	
Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	-	ed for privacy sons]	
Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	•	ed for privacy sons]	

#### **Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	-	ed for privacy sons]	70%

#### Area 2: Writing

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	12	30%	80%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%

#### Area 3: Mathematics

**High School Mathematics** 

## Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

64%			2014 Target %
04%	58%	No	68%
70%	62%	No	73%
63%	56%	No	67%
		63% 56%	63% 56% No

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### Learning Gains

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)	15	38%	65%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC)	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		75%

#### **Postsecondary Readiness**

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	-	ed for privacy sons]	70%

#### Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		10%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		60%

#### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		10%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		100%

#### Area 4: Science

#### **Elementary School Science**

#### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual # 2013 Actual 9	% 2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	70%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	65%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)		

#### FIORIDA Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### Middle School Science

#### Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	•	ed for privacy sons]	65%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		70%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### **High School Science**

#### Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6			
Students scoring at or above Level 7			

#### Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		10%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		10%

#### Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)

#### All Levels

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	0		5
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	0	0%	5%

#### **High Schools**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more <i>accelerated</i> STEM-related courses	0	0%	5%
Completion rate (%) for students enrolled in accelerated STEM-related courses		0%	5%
Students taking one or more advanced placement exams for STEM-related courses	0	0%	5%
CTE-STEM program concentrators	0		10
Students taking CTE-STEM industry certification exams	0	0%	5%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE- STEM industry certification exams		0%	5%

#### Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	0	0%	10%
Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses	0	0%	5%
Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in <i>accelerated</i> courses		0%	0%
Students taking CTE industry certification exams	0	0%	5%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams		0%	5%
CTE program concentrators	0	0%	5%
CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications	0	0%	5%

#### Area 8: Early Warning Systems

#### **High School Indicators**

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time	0	0%	
Students in ninth grade with one or more absences within the first 20 days	0	0%	
Students in ninth grade who fail two or more courses in any subject	0	0%	
Students with grade point average less than 2.0	0	0%	
Students who fail to progress on-time to tenth grade	0	0%	
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	0	0%	
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	0	0%	

#### Graduation

	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.	0	0%	0%
Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	0	0%	0%
Academically at-risk students graduating in 4 years, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.	0	0%	0%
Students graduating in 5 years, using criteria defined at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)	0	0%	0%

#### Area 9: Parent Involvement

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Parental involvement targets for the school

Engage parents in connection activities to create networks for virtual and home learners

#### **Specific Parental Involvement Targets**

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %

Area 10: Additional Targets

Additional targets for the school

**Specific Additional Targets** 

Target

2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014 Target %

#### **Goals Summary**

#### **Goals Detail**

#### **Action Plan for Improvement**

#### Problem Solving Key

G = Goal

**B** = Barrier

**S** = Strategy

#### **Coordination and Integration**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

## How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

No current funds are being given

#### **Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals**

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals