Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Kinloch Park Elementary School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| | |
| School Information | 6 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| | |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| | |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0 |
| | |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Kinloch Park Elementary School

4275 NW 1ST ST, Miami, FL 33126

http://newkpe.dadeschools.net

Demographics

Principal: Kisa Humphrey D

Start Date for this Principal: 7/30/2015

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|---------------------------------|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2021-22 Title I School | Yes |
| 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | |
| | 2021-22: B (58%) |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: B (54%) |
| concer craace metery | 2017-18: B (55%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information | * |
| SI Region | Southeast |
| Regional Executive Director | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more in | nformation, <u>click here</u> . |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| School Information | 6 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| | |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| | |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| | |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | |

Kinloch Park Elementary School

4275 NW 1ST ST, Miami, FL 33126

http://newkpe.dadeschools.net

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2021-22 Title I Schoo | l Disadvan | Property Section Property Sec |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Elementary S PK-5 | School | Yes | | 100% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 99% |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2021-22 | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 |
| Grade | В | | В | В |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Build a community of literate readers. Develop lifelong effective writers. Produce real world problem solvers. Encourage learners in scientific inquiry. Expand students' knowledge base of history, culture, geography and government. Incorporate the fine arts to promote cultural appreciation. Utilize technology to facilitate knowledge acquisition.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Kinloch Park Elementary School strives to build committed relationships in which all stakeholders collaborate to ensure that each student receives an educational program that facilitates growth and development that is appropriate to their future as contributing members of a global society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Humphrey, Kisa | Principal | Provide strategic direction within the learning community to increase student achievement. |
| Guzman, Techie | Assistant Principal | Assist the principal with providing strategic direction within the learning community to increase student achievement. |
| Cooper, Himilse | Instructional Coach | Reinforce evidence-based practices implemented in the classrooms by providing personalized support with instructional staff. |
| Zabala, Jose | Instructional Coach | Reinforce evidence-based practices implemented in the classrooms by providing personalized support with instructional staff. |
| Ramos, Lissette | Teacher, K-12 | Provide a student-centered learning environment that will address the needs of all learners. Serve as the professional development liaison between the school site and the District office. |
| Liccioni, Xaimile | ELL Compliance Specialist | Reinforce evidence-based practices implemented in the classrooms by providing personalized support with instructional staff. Govern and facilitate compliance of the English Language Learner program. |
| Lopez, Caroline | School Counselor | Provide student services to learners in need. |
| | | |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 7/30/2015, Kisa Humphrey D

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

12

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 32

Total number of students enrolled at the school

487

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

1

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 71 | 75 | 79 | 88 | 81 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 18 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gra | de | Lev | el | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/31/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 32 | 59 | 69 | 83 | 85 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 6 | 19 | 16 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 16 | 36 | 38 | 28 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | (| 3ra | de l | Lev | el | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|----|----|---|-----|------|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 1 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | l | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|----|----|-----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 72 | 73 | 72 | 84 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 19 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 11 | 12 | 30 | 31 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 22 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | 2019 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 65% | 62% | 56% | | | | 67% | 62% | 57% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 64% | | | | | | 63% | 62% | 58% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 55% | | | | | | 47% | 58% | 53% | |
| Math Achievement | 56% | 58% | 50% | | | | 62% | 69% | 63% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 60% | | | | | | 50% | 66% | 62% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 55% | | | | | | 35% | 55% | 51% | |
| Science Achievement | 49% | 64% | 59% | | | | 56% | 55% | 53% | |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 69% | 60% | 9% | 58% | 11% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| _ | 2019 | 71% | 64% | 7% | 58% | 13% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -69% | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |

| | ELA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 48% | 60% | -12% | 56% | -8% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | nparison | -71% | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Co | mparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 71% | 67% | 4% | 62% | 9% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 62% | 69% | -7% | 64% | -2% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -71% | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 46% | 65% | -19% | 60% | -14% |
| Cohort Co | mparison | -62% | | | · ' | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 52% | 53% | -1% | 53% | -1% |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | • | |

Subgroup Data Review

| | | 2022 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 |
| SWD | 43 | 52 | 50 | 45 | 65 | 60 | 33 | | | | |
| ELL | 59 | 65 | 56 | 54 | 59 | 51 | 41 | | | | |
| HSP | 65 | 65 | 55 | 57 | 60 | 55 | 49 | | | | |
| FRL | 63 | 64 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 44 | | | | |
| | | 2021 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 26 | 17 | | 21 | 17 | | | | | | |

| | | 2021 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| ELL | 52 | 45 | 40 | 38 | 29 | 53 | 54 | | | | |
| HSP | 57 | 44 | 41 | 41 | 28 | 50 | 55 | | | | |
| FRL | 54 | 43 | 33 | 37 | 28 | 53 | 54 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 24 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 18 | 18 | | | | | |
| ELL | 63 | 63 | 47 | 58 | 47 | 29 | 51 | | | | |
| HSP | 67 | 63 | 47 | 62 | 50 | 35 | 56 | | | | |
| FRL | 65 | 61 | 49 | 60 | 48 | 30 | 54 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 60 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 79 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 483 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 8 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 52 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 58 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |

| Native American Students | |
|--|-----|
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 61 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 59 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

2022 Florida Standards Assessments (FSA) & Florida Statewide Science Assessment data findings: English Language Arts proficiency increased by 12 percentage points (53% to 65%). Students demonstrating one year of academic growth/learning gain increased by 21 percentage points (43% to 64%). Fifty-one percent of least proficient learners labeled as the "Lowest Quartile" demonstrated a learning gain, as opposed to 39% during the 2020-2021 school year.

Mathematics proficiency increased from 41% to 56%, an increase of 15 percentage points. Mathematics Learning Gains increased from 28% to 53%, an increase of 45 percentage points. Lowest Quartile in mathematics decreased from 50% to 48%, a decrease of 2 percentage points.

Science proficiency decreased from 53% to 49%, a decrease of 4 percentage points.

During the 2021-2022 school year, students accruing 5 absences or less decreased by 20 percentage points (47% to 27%) from the previous 2020-2021 school year.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Based on the 2022 administration of the FSA and i-Ready Progress Monitoring school-wide data, Math demonstrated a greater need for improvement. Although Science decreased, Math Proficiency and learning gains of students identified in the "Lowest Quartile" demonstrated a decrease from 50% to 48%.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

The contributing factors to this need for improvement were student truancy and interruption in learning due to COVID-19 quarantines. When comparing data from the 2020-2021 school year to the 2021-2022 school year, Power BI data reveals an increase of 7 percentage points (21% to 28%) in students accruing 16 or more absences. To reduce student truancy, the 2022-2023 Attendance Action Plan devised by the Leadership Team, will outline new actions. Newly hired personnel, Student Services specialist, will assist administration with the implementation and monitoring of the attendance action plan to ensure fidelity.

In addition, all teachers, instructional coaches and support staff will continue to focus on building knowledge through weekly collaboration. Dialogue in collaborative learning structures will focus on meeting the needs of individual learners. Students must be present to learn. Institute additional celebratory events to recognize students attaining perfect attendance monthly.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Based on the 2022 FSA scores and i-Ready Progress Monitoring school wide data, the students in grade 3-5 attaining one year of academic growth "Learning Gain" in the area of Mathematics made the most improvement, an increase of 25 percentage points (28% to 53%).

When comparing data from the 2020-2021 school year to the 2021-2022 school year, Power BI Early Warning Systems data reveals a decrease by 50% in retained students (18 to 9).

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The master schedule allotted weekly collaborative planning sessions amongst grade levels and departments. Administrators and coaches attend weekly collaboratively planning sessions, to identify needs; contribute to conversations that support academic initiatives, as well as, gather/align existing resources. During the 2022-2023 school year, we will strengthen the utilization of teacher leaders to share best practices and facilitate dialogue to drive effective instruction. Data analysis from student results of the 2020-2021 school year, enabled administration and instructional staff to identify least proficient learners in August 2021. The consistent implementation of skill-based interventions in Reading and Mathematics addressed academic deficiencies.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

The strategies we will use to accelerate learning are Horizontal and Vertical Collaborative Planning, Data Driven instruction, Interventions, MTSS/Rtl Referrals, Extended Learning Opportunities. Continue early identification of learners needs and strengthen the fidelity of skill-based interventions in Reading and Mathematics to address academic deficiencies.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Beginning August 2022, Leadership Team will facilitate professional development to guide instructional staff to analyze data through collaborative structures. Teachers and support staff will discuss findings, prioritize focus, create ab action plan to address academic and cultural centralized concerns, as well as, outline measures that will evaluate effectiveness of actions. During the 2022-2023 school year, instructional coaches and teacher leaders will serve as liaisons to turnkey vital and relevant information to the appropriate school site staff from knowledge gained from attending District professional development.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Weekly collaborative planning will occur Tuesdays and Thursdays. A member from the leadership team will participate and contribute during the planning sessions to ensure fidelity to the strategies being implemented school wide are aligned to the goals. Extended learning opportunities (before school tutoring, after school care academics, etc.) will ensure sustainability of improvement for the upcoming school year and beyond.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

-

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of **Focus Description** and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data

According to iReady Mathematics AP 3 Diagnostic Assessment, 45% of Kindergarten students performed on grade level, 43% of First grade students performed on grade level, 58% of Second grade students performed on grade level. According to the 2022 FSA proficiency data, 77% of the 3rd-grade students are proficient in Mathematics, 60% of the 4th-grade students are proficient in Mathematics, 35% of the 5th grade students are proficient in Mathematics. Based on cohort trend data, weekly collaborative planning has been proven to be effective due to an increase of students attaining mathematics proficiency from the previous 2020-2021 school year. The master schedule will continue to allot for weekly collaborative planning sessions to support academic achievement.

Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

reviewed.

outcome the With the implementation of weekly collaborative planning sessions, an additional 4% of school plans students in grades 3-5 will score at grade level or above in the area of mathematics by 2022-2023 on the FAST state assessment.

Monitoring: **Describe** how this Area of Focus will

be monitored for the

desired

outcome. Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

Describe the evidencebased strategy being

Administration and the leadership team members will monitor collaborative planning by attending meetings on a weekly basis. Administration will provide constructive feedback, when needed to share insight on the effectiveness of common planning through the evidence of student improvement that will be reflected on district assessments.

[no one identified]

Within the Targeted Element of Collaborative Planning, our school will focus on the evidence-based strategy of: Standards-Based Collaborative Planning. Collaborative Planning primary purpose is to bring teachers together to learn from one another and collaborate on projects that will lead to improvements in standards-aligned lesson quality, instructional effectiveness, and student achievement.

implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this

strategy.

Collaborative Planning improves collaboration among teachers and promotes learning, insights, and constructive feedback that occur during professional discussions among teachers. Standards-Based lessons, units, materials, and resources are improved when teachers work on them collaboratively.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, teacher leaders will attend District Mathematics professional development to build instructional efficacy and capacity. Administration will meet with the Leadership Team on August 31, 2022 to review collaborative planning times and delineate responsibilities and expectations of weekly meetings. Teacher leaders will present knowledge gained to peers during the collaborative planning sessions.

Person Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Administration will begin to attend weekly sessions the week of August 22, 2022 to ensure Collaborative Planning is occurring and grade level teachers are attending.

Person Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

The Leadership Team will meet on September 26, 2022 to discuss the progress on Standard-Based Collaborative Planning and provide feedback based on the effectiveness as evident through diagnostic and formative assessment student data in the areas of Mathematics.

Person

Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

During grade level/department collaborative planning sessions, administration and instructional staff will analyze student data from progress monitoring assessments to prioritize academic need. Between August 22, 2022 to October 14, 2022, professional dialogue during instructional planning sessions will focus on Math standards demonstrating the least amount of learner proficiency. Teachers will share best practices, discuss pacing, create instructional focus calendars in order to deliver student-centered instruction.

Person Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:
Include a rationale
that explains how
it was identified as
a critical need
from the data
reviewed.

During the 2021-2022 school year, students accruing 5 absences or less decreased by 20 percentage points (47% to 27%) from the previous 2020-2021 school year. When comparing data from the 2020-2021 school year to the 2021-2022 school year, Power BI data reveals an increase of 7 percentage points (21% to 28%) in students accruing 16 or more absences. Students must be present to learn. Institute additional celebratory events to recognize students attaining perfect attendance monthly.

Measurable
Outcome:
State the specific
measurable
outcome the
school plans to
achieve. This
should be a data
based, objective
outcome.

If we successfully implement Targeted Elements of Early Warning System, then students with 16 or more absences will decrease by 5 percentage points (28% to 23%) by June 2023.

Monitoring:
Describe how this
Area of Focus will
be monitored for
the desired
outcome.

Administration and the Attendance Review Committee (ARC) will be established and monitor students and intervene, as needed, when students have five unexcused absences.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Techie Guzman (tguzman@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Strategic Attendance Initiatives involve close monitoring and reporting of student absences, calls to parents, and more direct measures including home visits, counseling and referrals to outside agencies, as well as incentives for students with perfect attendance.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Response to Early Warning Systems (EWS) is a systematic plan initiated at the school site to identify students who exhibit problem behaviors or academic deficiencies. District and/or community services are tailored to support individual learners and their families to increase student achievement.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Administration will establish the Attendance Review Committee by August 22, 2022.

Person

Responsible Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Administration will identify individuals and outline expectations of the Attendance Review Committee by September 26th, 2022.

Person

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

The Attendance Review Committee will create an Attendance Action Plan to identify and intervene when students are at risk and share with all staff members on September 21, 2022.

Person

Responsible Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Administration will meet with the ARC Team on October 5th, 2022 to address any students exhibiting atrisk attendance patterns. After the 1st grading period (October 21, 2022), the Leadership Team will monitor and chart student attendance through various automated systems. M-DCPS social worker will conduct home visits for students accruing 11 or more unexcused absences. Students obtaining perfect attendance will be publicly recognized during awards assembly.

Person Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus

Description and Rationale: Include a rationale

how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

According to iReady Reading AP 3 Diagnostic Assessment, 52% of Kindergarten students performed on grade level, 50% of First grade students performed on grade level, 62% of Second grade students performed on grade level. According to the 2022 FSA proficiency data, 72% of the 3rd-grade students are proficient in ELA, 65% of the 4th-grade students are proficient in ELA, 59% of the 5th grade students are proficient in ELA. Based on the that explains data review our school will implement the Targeted Element of Standard-Aligned Instruction with a focus in Data Driven Instruction. This is a critical area identified in order to address the learning loss in the area of Learning Gains in the area of English Language Arts. Teachers will use Data Driven instruction to analyze and create actions to meet student's needs according to the BEST standards.

Measurable

Outcome:

State the specific

measurable

to achieve. This should

be a data based,

objective

outcome.

Monitoring: **Describe**

how this

Area of Focus will

be

monitored

for the desired outcome.

Person responsible

for

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Strategy: Describe the evidencebased

strategy being

outcome the If we successfully implement Data Driven Instruction, then our ELA Proficiency will school plans increase by 4% (65% to 69%) from the previous school year when analyzing student results from the 2023 FAST State Assessments.

> Administration and the Leadership Team members will monitor Data Driven Instruction in Language Arts and Reading by attending monthly data chats after the administration of the FAST Assessments and ongoing progress monitoring assessment prescribed by the District's core series.

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Data-Driven Instruction is a systematic academic based practice that utilizes quantitative and qualitative student data to drive instructional planning, delivery, interventions and/or enrichment activities. Consistent analysis of formative and summative assessment results, coupled with strategic educational actions are designed to address student's needs.

implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for

selecting this specific strategy. Describe the Data- Driven Instruction uses data to inform teachers on specific standards that need targeting throughout the year to address students' needs and in return show growth over time

resources/ criteria used for selecting this

strategy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

The Professional Learning Team (PLST) will provide a Professional Development on September 26th, 2022. The topic will address how to analyze FAST Assessment data to systematically create an Instructional Focus Calendar to target areas of deficiency.

Person

Responsible Risa

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Teachers will rank the areas of need based on FAST and Bi-Weekly Assessment data and create an Instructional Focus Calendar by October 5, 2022.

Person

Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, Instructional Focus Calendars will be used during the ELA instructional block to address components of ELA with the greatest needs until the administration of the PM2 administration of FAST.

Person

Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, instructional staff and administration will participate in individual data chats to disaggregate student results from FAST assessment data. Least proficient learners demonstrating need will receive additional instruction weekly through interventions and extended learning opportunities.

Person

Responsible

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

#4. Transformational Leadership specifically relating to Teacher Recruitment and Retention

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:
Include a rationale
that explains how
it was identified as
a critical need from
the data reviewed.

According to results based on the 2020-2021 the Staff School Climate Survey, 10% of professionals "Disagreed" with the statement "I feel staff morale is high at my school." According to the qualitative data based on the 2021-2022 the Staff School Climate Survey, 47% of professionals "Disagreed" with the statement "I feel staff morale is high at my school," an increase of 37% percentage points from the previous school year. This data indicates that there is a critical need to increase staff morale.

Measurable
Outcome:
State the specific
measurable
outcome the
school plans to
achieve. This
should be a data
based, objective
outcome.

If we successfully empower teachers and staff, 27% of professionals will "Disagree" with the statement "I feel staff morale is high at my school," Based on the 2022-2023 Staff School Climate Survey completed by June 2023.

Monitoring:
Describe how this
Area of Focus will
be monitored for
the desired
outcome.

On August 31, 2022, the leadership team will survey teachers to garner ideas on initiatives/strategies/systems they would like to have implemented in our school. Grade Levels will facilitate school wide extracurricular events which involves the participation of all stake holders. The social committee will plan activities outside of school so that staff can strengthen connections and rapport.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based

evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. Within the Area of Focus of Transformation Leadership, we will focus on Empowering Teachers and Staff to ensure that our teachers have a voice and can participate in the decision-making process.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Empower staff in our school by involving them in the decision-making process. Staff will lead/facilitate various school wide initiatives to provide leadership opportunities and create extra-curricular events for all stakeholders to convene and enjoy.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, Grade Levels submit proposals for school wide extracurricular activities to be approved by administration.

Person

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

On September 7, 2022, the social committee will meet to brainstorm ideas for staff gatherings during non contractual hours.

Person

Responsible Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, Leadership Team will review grade level & staff proposals. Administration will modify/approve activities and provide directives to implement.

Person

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Beginning August 22, 2022 through October 14, 2022, Leadership Team will report feedback from stakeholders. Feedback will drive planning for future school-wide events.

Person

Kisa Humphrey (kdhump@dadeschools.net)

Responsible

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our Strengths within School Culture are in Relationships, Physical & Emotional Safety and Support, Care, and Connections. Our school creates experiences throughout the year to engage with parents and families and ensures they have necessary information to support their children. Students are supported through mentorship programs and partnerships with community organizations. Staff are provided opportunities to take part in celebrations of success during informal gatherings. We provide opportunities for both staff and students to provide ongoing feedback and suggestions to school leaders and we schedule informal conferences with staff and students to garner information about their educational/professional experience at our school. We also ensure information is provided to all stakeholders through the facilitation of grade level specific parent meetings facilitated throughout the school year. We continue to build our skill-set in ensuring our classrooms are highly engaging and foster the highest level of engagement and learning.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

The stakeholders involved in building a positive school culture and environment are the Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches, Teacher Leaders and Counselor (our School Leadership Team). The Principal's role is to monitor and oversee all the school's initiatives and respond to concerns with morale by planning Team-building and morale boosting activities. The Principal will monitor the mentorship programs

and assist in ensuring all information is shared with stakeholders in a timely manner. Teacher leaders and instructional coaches assist in providing and responding to feedback from stakeholders. All stakeholders are responsible for making specific efforts to connect and build relationships with students, parents, and families.