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## Hialeah Middle School

6027 E 7TH AVE, Hialeah, FL 33013
http://hialeahmiddle.dadeschools.net/

## Demographics

## Principal: Lucy Trillas

Start Date for this Principal: 7/20/2022

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2021-22 Title I School | Yes |
| 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100\% |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-22: B }(57 \%) \\ & 2018-19: B(56 \%) \\ & 2017-18: B(55 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* |  |
| SI Region | Southeast |
| Regional Executive Director | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year |  |
| Support Tier |  |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| ${ }^{\text {* }}$ As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here. |  |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS\&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS\&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS\&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below $41 \%$. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS\&l:

1. have a school grade of $D$ or $F$
2. have a graduation rate of $67 \%$ or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41\%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.
The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate $67 \%$ or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.
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## Hialeah Middle School

6027 E 7TH AVE, Hialeah, FL 33013
http://hialeahmiddle.dadeschools.net/

## School Demographics

## School Type and Grades Served

 (per MSID File)Middle School

> 6-8

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)

K-12 General Education

## 2021-22 Title I School

Yes

Charter School

No

2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)

100\%

School Grades History

| Year | 2021-22 | $2020-21$ | $2019-20$ | $2018-19$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | B |  | B | B |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.
Students at Hialeah Middle School will be afforded a safe learning environment that fosters educational excellence, promotes a climate of mutual respect, celebrates multicultural diversity, recognizes individual and team achievements, and enables everyone to feel emotionally and socially sheltered.

Provide the school's vision statement.
The faculty, staff, parents and community of Hialeah Middle School believe that all children can learn and be productive members of society. Together with intellectual rigor and high academic standards, Hialeah Middle School is committed to producing critical and compassionate thinkers, lifelong learners and informed participants in local and world affairs.

## School Leadership Team

## Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

## Name $\begin{gathered}\text { Position } \\ \text { Title }\end{gathered} \quad$ Job Duties and Responsibilities

Padron, Assistant
Cynthia Principal

Provides guidance and support for administrative team,instructional team and staff in all aspects of school curriculum, safety, security and maintenance.

Social Science Department Chairperson and Social Science teacher - provides

Lobato, Teacher,
Rolando K-12

Couselo, Teacher, Jeannete K-12
guidance, support and
training for Social Science teachers and assists in thedevelopment of the School Improvement Plan.

Science Department Chairperson and Science teacher -provides guidance, support and training for Science teachers and assists in the development of the School Improvement Plan.

Language Arts Department Chairperson and Activities Director teacher -

Ware, Teacher, Chenessa K-12
provides guidance, support and
training for ELA \& Reading teachers and assists in the development of the School Improvement Plan.

Trillas, Lucy

Principal
Provides guidance and support for administrative team, instructional team and staff in all aspects of school curriculum, safety, security and maintenance.

## Mathematics Department Chairperson and Activities Director teacher - provides <br> Medina, Teacher, <br> Marina K-12 <br> guidance, support and <br> training for Mathematics teachers and assists in the development of the School Improvement Plan.

Dukes, Math Provide mathematics department with instructional/professional guidance on Belinda Coach the implementation of B.E.S.T Standards.

Carrera, School Alexandra Counselor

Promote Social-Emotional growth among staff and students. Provide individual and school counseling as well as connect students and families with community resources. Provide ongoing academic counseling and monitoring for students.

## Demographic Information

## Principal start date

Wednesday 7/20/2022, Lucy Trillas
Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of
Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school
50
Total number of students enrolled at the school 850

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year. 6

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 7

Demographic Data

## Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 283 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 854 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 87 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 109 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 125 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

Date this data was collected or last updated
Wednesday 8/31/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 36 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 56 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 236 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 758 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 29 | 20 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 20 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 41 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 027 | 36 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0104 | 91 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 36 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | 2022 |  |  | 2021 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | $49 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |  |  | $49 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| ELA Learning Gains | $54 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $55 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $41 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $45 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Math Achievement | $46 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |  |  | $46 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Math Learning Gains | $63 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $61 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $48 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Science Achievement | $42 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  |  |  | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Social Studies Achievement | $81 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |  |  | $70 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments
NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 48\% | 58\% | -10\% | 54\% | -6\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 43\% | 56\% | -13\% | 52\% | -9\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -48\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | -15\% | 56\% | -11\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -43\% |  |  |  |  |


| MATH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 45\% | 58\% | -13\% | 55\% | -10\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 36\% | 53\% | -17\% | 54\% | -18\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -45\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 20\% | 40\% | -20\% | 46\% | -26\% |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -36\% |  |  |  |  |


| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- <br> District <br> Comparison | State | School- <br> State <br> Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 08 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BIOLOGY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 83\% | 68\% | 15\% | 67\% | 16\% |
| CIVICS EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 67\% | 73\% | -6\% | 71\% | -4\% |
| HISTORY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 76\% | 63\% | 13\% | 61\% | 15\% |
| GEOMETRY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School <br> Minus <br> District | State | School Minus State |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 100\% | 54\% | 46\% | 57\% | 43\% |

Subgroup Data Review

| 2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2020-21$ |
| SWD | 27 | 46 | 40 | 35 | 59 | 58 | 26 | 55 | 55 |  |  |
| ELL | 37 | 49 | 41 | 35 | 58 | 61 | 25 | 77 | 70 |  |  |
| BLK | 49 | 57 | 45 | 54 | 60 | 54 | 33 | 54 | 50 |  |  |
| HSP | 49 | 54 | 41 | 46 | 63 | 61 | 42 | 83 | 80 |  |  |
| WHT | 60 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 48 | 54 | 41 | 45 | 62 | 60 | 41 | 81 | 79 |  |  |
| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2019-20$ |
| SWD | 21 | 31 | 30 | 25 | 36 | 41 | 33 | 24 | 55 |  |  |
| ELL | 36 | 40 | 34 | 24 | 23 | 27 | 24 | 40 | 49 |  |  |
| BLK | 18 | 27 | 29 | 20 | 32 | 53 | 18 | 43 | 33 |  |  |
| HSP | 46 | 42 | 33 | 32 | 24 | 32 | 41 | 48 | 73 |  |  |


| 2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math <br> Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS <br> Accel. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grad } \\ \text { Rate } \\ 2019-20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2019-20 \end{array}$ |
| WHT | 46 | 58 |  | 46 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 42 | 41 | 33 | 31 | 26 | 35 | 38 | 47 | 68 |  |  |
| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS <br> Accel. | Grad <br> Rate <br> 2017-18 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2017-18$ |
| SWD | 34 | 46 | 36 | 35 | 55 | 49 | 47 | 51 |  |  |  |
| ELL | 37 | 52 | 47 | 39 | 49 | 45 | 31 | 60 | 88 |  |  |
| BLK | 41 | 41 | 40 | 28 | 38 | 41 | 37 | 52 |  |  |  |
| HSP | 50 | 56 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 49 | 48 | 72 | 90 |  |  |
| WHT | 59 | 52 |  | 50 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 47 | 53 | 45 | 45 | 53 | 48 | 45 | 70 | 88 |  |  |

## ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index | N/A |
| :--- | :---: |
| ESSA Category (TS\&I or CS\&I) | 57 |
| OVERALL Federal Index - All Students | NO |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41\% All Students | 0 |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 55 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 571 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 10 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | $99 \%$ |
| Percent Tested |  |
|  | Students With Disabilities |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | NO |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | 0 |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
|  | English Language Learners |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | NO |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | 0 |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Native American Students

| Federal Index - Native American Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Asian Students |  |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | Black/African American Students |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 51 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
|  |  |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 57 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |

## Multiracial Students

| Federal Index - Multiracial Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |

## Pacific Islander Students

| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |


| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |

## White Students

| Federal Index - White Students | 45 |
| :--- | :---: |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 57 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

## Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

## What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

2022 Data Findings: There was an increase in performance data in ELA of 6 percentage points from 43\% in 2021 to 49\% in 2022. There was an increase in performance data in ELA learning gains percentage points from $41 \%$ to $54 \%$ in 2022, which was a increase of 13 percentage points. ELA L25 was $34 \%$ in 2021 and was $41 \%$ in 2022, which was an increase of $7 \%$ percentage points. Mathematics achievement was $31 \%$ in 2021 and is $46 \%$ in 2022, which increased by $15 \%$ percentage points. Mathematics learning gains was $25 \%$ in 2021 and is $63 \%$ in 2022, which was an increase of $38 \%$ percentage points in 2022. Mathematics low quartile was $34 \%$ in 2021 and was $61 \%$ in 2022, which was an increase of $27 \%$ percentage points. There was an increase in performance data in Social Studies achievement from $48 \%$ in 2021 to $81 \%$ in 2022. This is the highest performance level achieved in the past 3 years.

## What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

2022 Data Findings: Based on progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, the components that demonstrated the greatest need for improvement are Science, Middle School Acceleration, and English Language Arts in the L25.
Although there was a 3 percentage points increase in overall Science achievement from 39\% in 2021 to $42 \%$ in 2022, students are still below proficiency level in 8th grade science content. Current 8th grade science proficiency for 2022 is $21 \%$ compared to $23 \%$ in 2021. In 2022 our Middle School Acceleration was $79 \%$, in $202171 \%$, and in 2019 it was $89 \%$. When comparing data trends of the last three years, a decrease in Middle School Acceleration is noted from 2019 ( 89 points) to 2021 (79 points). This is due to decreases in Biology achievement and lack of CTE testing in 2021.
In 2022 English Language Arts learning gains for the L25 was $41 \%$ which indicated an decrease of 4 percentage points when compared to 2019 at ( $45 \%$ ). This is indicative that learning losses continue to affect our most fragile population of students.

## What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

2022 Data Findings: The contributing factors to this need for improvement are student engagement and student attendance. Actions that need to be taken to address this need for improvement are as follows: Continuous academic monitoring of disengaged learners via Early Warning Systems Indicators and implementation of MTSS/Rtl to address gaps in learning and provide supplemental instruction/remediation in the areas of reading, mathematics, and science. Monitoring of student attendance is
necessary to target students with excessive absences by providing incentives to increase/improve attendance, parent contact/home visits and opportunities for students to feel a sense of belonging.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

2022 Data Findings:: When comparing progress monitoring and state assessment data from 2019-2022, the data component that showed the most improvement are FSA Mathematics learning gains and FSA Mathematics learning gains for students in the lowest quartile. FSA Mathematics learning
gains was $52 \%$ in 2019 and increased to $63 \%$ in 2022; an increase of $11 \%$ percentage points. FSA Mathematics learning gains for the lowest quartile was $48 \%$ in 2019 and increased to $61 \%$ in 2022; an increase of $13 \%$ percentage points.
Algebra 1 proficiency in 2019 was $76 \%$ and increased to $92 \%$ in 2022; an increase of $14 \%$ percentage points.

## What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

2022 Data Findings: The factors that contributed to this improvement were new actions taken by our school in the preparation of physical science students for the 8th grade NGSSS Science exam and the addition of advanced and gifted content area sections to the master schedule for incoming 6th grade students. In addition, before/after/Saturday school intervention as well as camps prior to the administration of the assessment, impacted student achievement in Mathematics, Algebra, Geometry and Civics.

## What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

For the 2022-2023 school-year, the implementation of the BEST Standards through core content areas, high-yield instructional strategies such as: higher order instruction, CER and effective questioning/response techniques as well as remediation and enrichment programs will be necessary to accelerate learning. In addition the need for increased hands-on and project-based learning in science should yield additional growth and improvement in science and Biology proficiency.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

In order to support teachers and leaders, the following professional development opportunities will be provided: Bi-weekly departmental and monthly whole faculty learning and support on the B.E.S.T. Standards, technology integration, effective questioning/response techniques and higher order instruction; Opening of Schools professional development on Social-Emotional Learning, RtI, Assertive Discipline and administrative walkthroughs to support instructional delivery and student engagement. These activities will take place at the beginning of the school year and monitored throughout the school-year as best practice are implemented in the classroom.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Additional services that will be provided throughout the 2022-2023 school-year are pull-out and push-in intervention in the areas of Reading, Mathematics. In addition, we will seek out assistance from district support personnel (CSS) to model hands-on lessons in Science that are student-centered.
Success Academy tutoring for all students to provide remediation and enrichment in all academic areas with specific focus on previously disengaged students and students in subgroups.

[^0]\#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to B.E.S.T. Standards
Based on the data review, our school will implement the B.E.S.T. Standards through core content areas, high-yield instructional strategies such as: higher order instruction, CER and Effective Questioning/Response Techniques as well as remediation and enrichment

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. programs will be necessary to accelerate learning. We selected B.E.S.T. Standards as our
focus, based on our 2022 data findings that demonstrated English Language Arts proficiency at $49 \%$ in 2022.
We will continue to reinforce classroom instruction through remediation for our students in the L25.

## Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

## Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

## Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

If we successfully implement B.E.S.T. standards through core instruction, then our L25
students in grades 6-8 will increase by a minimum of 5 percentage points as evidenced by the 2023 State Assessments.
The Leadership Team will conduct observations of planned instruction, Differentiated Instruction and conduct departmental professional development meetings.
Administration
will follow-up with regular walkthroughs to ensure current data is being used to adjust instruction based on student needs and ensure quality instruction is taking place. Data Analysis of IREADY Diagnostic and Growth Monitoring data will be reviewed quarterly to ensure students are making progress on remediated standards. Extended learning opportunities will be offered as well as enrichment programs.
Chenessa Ware (cware1@dadeschools.net)
Within the targeted element of B.E.S.T. Standards, our school will focus on the evidenced based strategy of Effective Questioning/Response Techniques. Focus on Effective Questioning/Response Techniques are an integral part of classroom instruction, which is used to develop higher order thinking skills, promote critical thinking, and/or gauge whether students understand what is being taught.

## Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Effective Questioning/Response Techniques embedded in instructional plans and implemented accordingly will promote critical thinking skills, improve instructional delivery, engagement and increase proficiency for the L25 subgroup in grades 6-8.

## Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.
8/22-10/14- Teachers will develop lesson plans that are inclusive of B.E.S.T. Standards. As a result, of implementing B.E.S.T. Standards through core content areas, student achievement will increase.
Teachers will develop classroom systems that promote small group instruction such as the infusion of technology for students to work on individualized assignments.
Person Responsible
Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Administrators will conduct weekly walkthroughs and observations, providing timely feedback to instructional professionals to improve implementation of B.E.S.T. Standards, Higher Order instruction and Effective Questioning and Response Techniques. As a result of timely feedback, teachers will be able to adjust instructional best practices, and modify instruction to meet the individual needs of students.

## Person Responsible

Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Continuous Departmental Professional Development to provide teachers opportunities to collaborate, share best practices of Effective Questioning/Response Techniques, CER, and Higher Order instruction. As a result, teachers will assess students through Higher Order Questioning/Response Techniques to gauge student learning of lesson taught.
Person Responsible
Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Provide continuous Professional Development led by Teacher Leaders on effective implementation of Differentiated Instruction that is aligned to B.E.S.T Standards and school goals based on data. As a result, teachers will develop classroom systems that promote small group instruction such as the infusion of technology for students to work on individualized assignments.
Person Responsible Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)
10/31-12/16 - Continuous Departmental Professional Development to provide teachers opportunities to collaborate, share best practices of Effective Questioning/Response Techniques, CER, and Higher Order instruction. As a result, teachers will assess students through Higher Order Questioning/Response Techniques to gauge student learning of lesson taught.
Person Responsible
Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)
10/31-12/16 - Administrators will conduct weekly walkthroughs and observations, providing timely feedback
to instructional professionals to improve implementation of B.E.S.T. Standards, Higher Order instruction and Effective Questioning and Response Techniques. As a result of timely feedback, teachers will be able to adjust instructional best practices, and modify instruction to meet the individual needs of students.

## Person Responsible

Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)
\#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

## Area of Focus Description and

 Rationale:Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

## Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

## Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

## Person responsible for

 monitoring outcome:Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

## Rationale for Evidence-based

 Strategy:Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Based on the data review, our school will implement Student Engagement strategies. We
selected this area of focus based on our 2022 data findings that demonstrated 8th grade Science proficiency decreased from $23 \%$ in 2021 to $21 \%$ in 2022. There is a need for improvement in student engagement
specifically in Science. Through the implementation of CRE Strategies, essential labs, and hands-on learning; students science achievement will increase .

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Student Engagement, our students will be better equipped to build relationships, feel a greater sense of belonging and improve on social and academic skills, which will ultimately contribute to overall improved student outcomes.
Targeted students will be monitored through unit assessments, Edgenuity lessons, formative and summative assessments (labs reports) and interactive notebooks. As a result of instructional monitoring, students will increase science proficiency.

Jeannete Couselo (jcouselo@dadeschools.net)
Within the Targeted Element of Instructional Practice, our school will focus on the evidence
based strategy of: small group instruction and hands-on learning through labs.

Small group instruction will ensure that disengaged students are being monitored and
motivated as well as meet students' individualized needs through differentiation.

## Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.
8/22-10/14 - Implementation of collaborative planning and professional development will be utilized on a weekly basis to develop hands-on lessons. As a result, student academic growth will be evident in interactive notebooks and lab reports.
Person Responsible Jeannete Couselo (jcouselo@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Data-driven instruction will be used to track student progress and drive instructional planning and date-driven conversation with students through OPM (ongoing progress monitoring). As a result, student academic growth will be evident in formative assessments.

## Person Responsible

Jeannete Couselo (jcouselo@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Continuous monitoring of the Bronco All-Stars mentoring program that will give students a voice and a choice, allowing them ample opportunity to take ownership and responsibility over academic and social behaviors. As a result of the mentorship program, students will develop positive trends academically, behaviorally, physically and emotionally.
Person Responsible
Rolando Lobato (rlobato@dadeschools.net)

8/22-10/14 -Monitor the implementation of Social Emotional Learning through Social Sciences to assist students in developing positive trends academically, behaviorally, physically and emotionally. As a result, teachers will develop classroom systems/techniques that infuse SEL practices that will create positive academic and behavioral trends.

## Person Responsible Rolando Lobato (rlobato@dadeschools.net)

10/31-12/16 - Science teacher participation in monthly ICAD professional development to increase knowledge of content instructional practice. As a result, student academic growth will be evident in interactive notebooks, lab reports and student collaborative work.

## Person Responsible <br> Jeannete Couselo (jcouselo@dadeschools.net)

10/31-12/16 - Data-driven instruction will be used to track student progress and drive instructional planning and date-driven conversation with students through OPM (ongoing progress monitoring). As a result, student academic growth will be evident in formative assessments.
Person Responsible
Jeannete Couselo (jcouselo@dadeschools.net)

## \#3. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:
Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

A data review of student attendance showed that $20 \%$ of students with 10 or more unexcused absences are not meeting expectations for learning gains as well as proficiency. From this cohort, 80\% of students in this category are also a part of our L25 population. As a result, our school will implement the Targeted Element of Student Attendance. It is our quest to tailor our attendance initiatives and improve family and community connections through our parent engagement program and ensure attendance for this delicate population is improved.

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Student Attendance, our Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

## Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. students
will receive quality instruction that will contribute to overall improved student outcomes.
With our continuous academic monitoring of disengaged learners via Early Warning Systems Indicators and implementation of MTSS/Rtl that will address gaps in learning and
provide supplemental instruction/remediation in all core areas then our attendance will
increase by 1 percentage point and we will increase in academic proficiency by at least
2 percentage points based on the 2023 F.A.S.T.
The Leadership Team and our Community Involvement Specialist will work to connect with
families who struggle with attendance and identify the main cause for absences and create
a plan of action to ensure students are in attendance daily. Bronco All Stars program will
mentor students with academic, and attendance struggles and encourage/motivate students to be in attendance. The Leadership Team will monitor individual students who
have consistent truancy and connect with them bi-weekly to reward and highlight attendance efforts. The Leadership Team will also plan fieldtrips and regular student incentives to promote consistent attendance. Teachers will also monitor their daily attendance and submit the data to Leadership Team and Counselors on a weekly basis
with emphasis on attendance trends. The Leadership Team will identify opportunities for
students who are absent due to illness or quarantines to connect virtually to class instruction or have access to lessons via Microsoft Teams. To ensure fidelity to our attendance initiatives, this data will be discussed with teachers/students during data chats and parental contact as necessary.
Person
responsible for
monitoring
outcome:

Evidence-based
Strategy:
Describe the

Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)

Within the targeted Element of Student Attendance, our school will focus on the evidence
based strategy of: Attendance Initiatives. Attendance initiatives will assist in bridging

## evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of

 Focus.
## Rationale for <br> Evidence-based

## Strategy:

Explain the rationale for
selecting this
specific strategy.
Describe the
resources/criteria
used for
selecting this
strategy.
the
gap amongst our students. Student absences will be monitored by our Leadership Team,
counselors and teachers on a weekly basis to prevent a continued pattern of excessive
absences and thus increase student engagement and academic proficiency.

## Attendance Initiatives will assist in decreasing the amount of excessive student

 absences.The initiatives will provide students with opportunities to participate in field trips, clubs,
sports, tutoring, small group peer mediation thus improving academically, behaviorally, physically and socially.

## Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.
8/22-10/14 - MTSS/Rtl team will conduct regular monthly meetings to implement multiple tiers of instruction, intervention, and support for students targeted through Early Warning Systems. As a result, targeted students will be continuously monitored and provided with opportunities to engage in positive attendance trends and increase student achievement.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)

8/22-10/14 - Leadership Team and Student Services will monitor and highlight students with improved attendance and academics through incentives, participation in field trips and recognition through Spot Success on a monthly basis. As a result students with improved attendance patterns, will demonstrate increased student achievement on the FSA 2022.

## Person <br> Responsible

Alexandra Carrera (338260@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Leadership team will monitor disengaged learners via Early Warning Systems Indicators and implementation of MTSS/Rtl to address gaps in learning and provide supplemental instruction/remediation in the areas of reading and mathematics. As a result, monitored students will demonstrate increased proficiency.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Alexandra Carrera (338260@dadeschools.net)

8/22-10/14-Student Services department will highlight and recognize students based on grade level attendance and most improved class attendance. As a result of highlighting and incentivizing grade levels for improved attendance, students will increase overall student achievement.

## Person

Responsible
Alexandra Carrera (338260@dadeschools.net)
10/31-12/16 - Engage Bronco All-Star mentors and participants to give students with attendance and academic concerns a voice and a choice, allowing them ample opportunity to take ownership and responsibility over academic and social behaviors. As a result of the mentorship program, students will develop positive trends in student attendance.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Rolando Lobato (rlobato@dadeschools.net)

10/31-12/16 - The Attendance Review Committee (ARC) team will monitor and engage students and their families with excessive absences using the iAttend action plan. As a result, students and their families will receive individualized assistance and support to increase student school attendance.

## Person <br> Responsible

Alexandra Carrera (338260@dadeschools.net)
\#4. Transformational Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team

## Area of Focus

Description and

## Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

## Measurable

## Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

## Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

## Evidence-based

 Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.
## Rationale for

Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

We decided to focus on Shared Leadership to address the critical needs within our school. The data reveals $42 \%$ of the staff believes the principal represents the school in a positive manner. To increase this percentage, we selected Shared Leadership because it will create teams of leaders that will share the principal's vision and mission in a positive manner with the staff.

If we successfully implement the Targeted Element of Instructional Leadership Team, our teachers will be provided the opportunity to contribute to school-wide decisions through monthly meetings. This will be evidenced through teachers participating in the logistical elements of meetings, presenting ideas to solve issues and needs that arise. The percentage of teachers in leadership roles will increase by at least 5\% during the 2022-2023 school year.

With the implementation of Leadership Development, an additional 10\% of the staff will agree with the statement that the principal represents the school in a positive manner by the mid year point of the school year.

Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)

Within the Targeted Element of the Instructional Leadership Team, we will focus on evidence-based strategy of: Involving Staff in important decisions. By creating a "Leaders in My Building" list and involving teachers in the decision making process, we hope to increase the feeling of shared leadership. Leaders in the building will provide a summary of support during monthly leadership meetings and share out to respective departments. This will ensure we are on the right track in achieving our intended outcome above.

We decided to focus on Shared Leadership to address the critical needs within our school. The data reveals that $64 \%$ of the staff believes the principal represents the school in a positive manner. To increase this percentage, we selected Shared Leadership because it will create teams of leaders that will share the principal's vision and mission in a positive manner with the staff.

## Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.
8/22-10/14 - We will create a "Leaders in My Building" list and involve teacher's in the decision making process. This will increase the feeling of shared leadership and ensure that our intended outcome of increasing leadership roles participation is met.

## Person Responsible <br> Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)

8/22-10/14 - By providing shared leadership opportunities, empowering teachers, and affording opportunities to be active participants in school initiatives, faculty and staff will have a positive impact on school culture and climate thus positively impacting student success and achievement.

## Person Responsible <br> Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)

8/22-10/14 - Administrators and Team leaders will work to identify staff members that are experts in areas that will serve as leads with new initiative developments. These teacher leaders will take the lead modeling lessons, demonstrating how to use data as a work in progress tool, and providing support to colleagues on effective implementation or delivery of standards. As a result, teachers will implement newly learned instructional practices, thus impacting student achievement.

## Person

Responsible Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)
8/22-10/14 - Leadership Team will recognize teacher accomplishments, during faculty meetings and award incentives for those recognized. As a result of recognizing and celebrating teacher accomplishments, a greater sense of belonging and an environment of shared leadership will be established.

## Person

## Responsible

Lucy Trillas (Itrillas@dadeschools.net)
10/31-12/16 - Continued mentoring of aspiring administrators to "fill the bench" with individuals that seek growth opportunities. As a result, aspiring administrators will contribute ideas and facilitate learning for instructional professionals and students throughout the building.

## Person

## Responsible

Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)
10/31-12/16 - Administrators and Department Chairs will identify staff members that are experts in areas that will serve as leads with new initiative developments. These teacher leaders will take the lead modeling lessons, demonstrating how to use data as a work in progress tool, and providing support to colleagues on effective implementation or delivery of standards. As a result, teachers will implement newly learned instructional practices, thus impacting student achievement.

[^1]
## Positive Culture \& Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles
and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high
expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.
Our strengths within school culture at Hialeah Middle School are in physical and emotional safety and support, care, and connections as well as inclusivity. Our school creates experiences throughout the year to engage with parents and families and ensures they have the necessary information to support their children. Students are supported through mentorship programs, extra-curricular clubs and sports. Staff are provided opportunities to take part in team building activities and are empowered through school-based committees. Opportunities for staff and students are provided to share ongoing feedback and suggestions to school leaders. Informal conferences with staff and students are utilized to garner information about their education/professional experiences. We also ensure information is provided to all stakeholders through our school website and social media platforms, such as Twitter, Schoology and Instagram as well as through our PTSA and EESAC committees. We continue to build our skillset to ensure our classrooms foster the highest level of engagement and learning.

## Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

The stakeholders involved in building a positive school culture and environment are the Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team, Support Staff and Counselors.

Principal and Assistant Principal - Model, encourage, support and enforce school protocols to ensure the safety and emotional wellbeing of students and staff and families as well as foster professional relationships between school staff that support effective collaboration as well as celebrate success of students and staff as well as ensuring all information is shared with stakeholders in a timely manner.

Leadership Team - Provide ongoing support for the development of a safe and supportive environment, encourage family and community participation, assist staff in connecting with resources, model expected behaviors, and encourage school pride, assist in providing and responding to feedback from stakeholders.

Instructional Staff - Engage the learning environment and promote physical and emotional safety through modeling and nurturing of attitudes that emphasize the benefits of learning, foster a high expectation through the use of growth mindset, celebrate success of students and create and environment where everyone feels, comfortable sharing thoughts and ideas as well as making specific efforts to connect and build relationships with students, parents and families.

Student Services - Provide ongoing support for the development of a safe and supportive environment, encourage family and community participation and engagement with the school, assist students in connecting with resources available to support their physical and emotional challenges, integrate socialemotional skills into academic instruction, host activities that foster the development of trusting and caring relationships.

Community Involvement Specialist - Encourage family and community participation and engagement with the school, maintain a pattern of supportive interactions with families, host activities that foster the development of trusting and caring relationships. host informational and engaging sessions for parents and families to address student academic and social-emotional needs.

Support Staff - Create meaningful adult connections by encouraging genuine interest in the life, goals, and struggles of students and encourage school pride.


[^0]:    Areas of Focus
    Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

[^1]:    Person Responsible

    Cynthia Padron (cpadron@dadeschools.net)

