St. Johns County School District

R. B. Hunt Elementary School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| | _ |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

R. B. Hunt Elementary School

125 MAGNOLIA DR, St Augustine, FL 32080

http://www-rbh.stjohns.k12.fl.us/

Demographics

Principal: Amanda Garman

Start Date for this Principal: 8/16/2022

| | <u></u> |
|---|--|
| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2021-22 Title I School | No |
| 2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 28% |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities Asian Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2021-22: A (68%) 2018-19: A (75%) 2017-18: A (71%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | rmation* |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | <u>Cassandra Brusca</u> |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | ATSI |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo | or more information, <u>click here</u> . |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Last Modified: 4/23/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 20

R. B. Hunt Elementary School

125 MAGNOLIA DR, St Augustine, FL 32080

http://www-rbh.stjohns.k12.fl.us/

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2021-22 Title I Schoo | l Disadvan | Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Elementary S PK-5 | School | No | | 28% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 14% |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2021-22 | 2020-21 | 2019-20 | 2018-19 |
| Grade | Α | | А | Α |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We at R. B. Hunt Elementary School believe that all children can learn. We will provide children with a supportive, caring environment that develops self-esteem, self-motivation, and a sense of responsibility. We strive to provide every opportunity for maximum student achievement and to recognize and stimulate special talents in all students. The educational environment and the teaching strategies are designed to meet the goals and objectives of a strong curriculum. Our major goal is to prepare students to become responsible citizens and to take their place as productive members of the community. We believe that education is a cooperative effort among home, school, and community.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Learning and Working Together

As an R. B. Hunt elementary School Student, it is my responsibility to:

- * Respect myself and the rights and property of others.
- * Attend school every day and be on time to all classes.
- *Come to school prepared with all materials and assignments.
- *Obey the directions of all R. B. Hunt Staff members.

As an R. B. Hunt Elementary School Staff Member, it is my responsibility to:

- * Provide a quality instructional program for each student.
- *Provide an orderly classroom and safe school environment.
- *Develop programs and activities which will respond to the social, emotional, personal, and physical developmental needs of each student.
- * Assist parents in helping their children develop self-discipline, self-respect and self-confidence.

As the parent of an R. B. Hunt School student, it is my responsibility to:

- * Send my child to school each day on time, well-rested, and properly dressed.
- *Communicate regularly with my child's teacher regarding my child's social and academic needs and growth.
- * Check my child's work and homework daily.
- *Provided my child with support for learning which includes homework, help, a set time and place for homework and project work, and the supplies needed to complete assignments.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Garman, Amanda | Principal | Responsible for all aspects of the school. |
| Larson, Alice | Assistant Principal | Serves as school LEA, works with the principal to provide a quality school environment. |
| Eyestone, Heather | Instructional Coach | Works with teachers to assist them in providing for each child needs. |
| Kledzik, Raymond | Other | Works to provide a safe and clean learning environment for students and staff. |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 8/16/2022, Amanda Garman

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

4

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

36

Total number of students enrolled at the school

580

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

4

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|----|----|-----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 84 | 104 | 86 | 95 | 119 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 568 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 10 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| One or more suspensions | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 7/18/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|--|-------------|----|-----|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 81 | 100 | 120 | 82 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | evel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|------|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----|-----|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 81 | 100 | 120 | 82 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Component | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | 2019 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 78% | 74% | 56% | | | | 85% | 75% | 57% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 60% | | | | | | 71% | 67% | 58% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 40% | | | | | | 56% | 59% | 53% | |
| Math Achievement | 83% | 50% | 50% | | | | 88% | 77% | 63% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 79% | | | | | | 74% | 69% | 62% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 63% | | | | | | 72% | 59% | 51% | |
| Science Achievement | 72% | 77% | 59% | | | | 79% | 72% | 53% | |

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 92% | 78% | 14% | 58% | 34% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 81% | 77% | 4% | 58% | 23% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -92% | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |

| | ELA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 83% | 76% | 7% | 56% | 27% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | nparison | -81% | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | MATH | l | | |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 01 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | | | | | |
| 02 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 03 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 85% | 82% | 3% | 62% | 23% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0% | | | | |
| 04 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 89% | 82% | 7% | 64% | 25% |
| Cohort Com | nparison | -85% | | | | |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 87% | 80% | 7% | 60% | 27% |
| Cohort Con | nparison | -89% | | | | |

| | | | SCIEN | CE | | |
|------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2022 | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 79% | 73% | 6% | 53% | 26% |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |

Subgroup Data Review

| | | 2022 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 |
| SWD | 36 | 28 | 27 | 55 | 51 | 45 | 33 | | | | |
| HSP | 79 | 64 | | 84 | 82 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 80 | 60 | 44 | 85 | 80 | 66 | 72 | | | | |
| FRL | 62 | 46 | 36 | 78 | 78 | 65 | 60 | | | | |
| | | 2021 | SCHOO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| SWD | 55 | 50 | | 53 | 36 | | 57 | | | | |

| | | 2021 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 |
| HSP | 67 | | | 67 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 82 | 64 | 71 | 78 | 57 | 72 | 79 | | | | |
| FRL | 66 | 60 | | 66 | 67 | | 73 | | | | |
| | | 2019 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 62 | 54 | 43 | 63 | 78 | 69 | 71 | | | | |
| HSP | 95 | 82 | | 89 | 73 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 86 | 71 | 54 | 88 | 75 | 71 | 80 | | | | |
| FRL | 74 | 54 | 43 | 76 | 61 | 59 | 82 | | | | |

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | ATSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 68 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 1 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 475 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 7 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 39 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |

| Asian Students Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Michael Index - Biack/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students | Native American Students | |
|--|--|-----|
| Asian Students Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Anumber of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Bederal Index - Hispanic Students Bederal Index - Hispanic Students Bultiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Bultiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Multiracial Students Bederal Index - Pacific Islander Students Bederal Index - Pacific Islander Students Bederal Index - Pacific Islander Students Bederal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 32% On White Students Bederal Index - Below 41% in the Current Year? No White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No White Students Subgroup Below 32% Bederal Index - Below 41% in the Current Year? No White Students Subgroup Below 32% Bederal Index - Below 41% in the Current Year? No Commission Below 41% in the Current Year? No Comm | Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Slack/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Asian Students | |
| Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Brederal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Slack/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students Federal Index - White Students Federal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - White Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - White Students N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgroup Subgr | Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% No White Students Federal Index - White Students No Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% O Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Black/African American Students | |
| Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Indipanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Mumber of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? No Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students Federal Index - White Students To Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% To Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Federal Index - Black/African American Students | |
| Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Tradispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 32% O Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% O Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% O Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students NO | Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Alumber of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% O White Students Federal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% O Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Hispanic Students | |
| Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students To Ny | Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 77 |
| Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Description of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Reconomically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - White Students Federal Index - White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% No Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Multiracial Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% No Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NOWhite Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Nowhite Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Nowhite Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N | Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? N/A Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students NO White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students NO | Pacific Islander Students | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students NO Economically Disadvantaged Students NO NO | Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students NO NO | Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Federal Index - White Students 70 White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% 0 Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students 61 Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO | Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| No Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Conomically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Conomically Disadvantaged Students Conomically Disadvantaged Students Conomically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO | White Students | |
| Rumber of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students | Federal Index - White Students | 70 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO | White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO | Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? NO | Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| | Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 61 |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% 0 | Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| | Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Since 2019 our students have lost ground academically. Historically, our 3rd graders perform well, then there is a decline in 4th grade and 5th grades. Our SWD scores and growth has declined significantly.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Our students with disabilities score significantly below all other subgroups. Since 2019 they have dropped over 20% in all areas, achievement, learning gains, lowest 25th percent gains in both reading and math, but more significantly in reading. Seventy-Five percent of students with disabilities in 3rd grade were a level 3 or above, 25% were a level 2. But in 4th and 5th grade 63% students with disabilities scores went down and 21 stayed the same with no growth in ELA. Only 16% made gains.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Many of our students did not receive the rigor of instruction the past few years as our school negotiated our way through covid. We had a different set of expectations and students who were in brick and mortar missed a significant amount of school due to quarantining. Another contributing factor is that our ESE services were provided often online, which presented a challenge for students and teachers. We are looking closely at our I.E.P. goals and services to align with student needs. We need to find a way to motivate 4th and 5th grade students to give their best effort on state testing.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math is making its way back up to our pre-covid levels. 79% of our students made learning gains in math last year. Our SWD in 3rd grade 79% were proficient and in 4th and 5th grade 44% made learning gains, 44% stayed the same and 28% went down.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Professional learning Teams focused on providing differentiation in math and ELA. Good Tier i instruction and interventions for Tier 2 and 3 in math. Departmentalization in 5th grade.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

We are working with district provided ESE coaches to help us to better identify and serve our students with disabilities. The ESE coach is helping us utilize the best resources, write appropriate goals and services to meet the needs of these students.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Our school operates as a "Professional Learning Community". This collaboration is the basis for all professional development. We focus on student data and how we can meet their individual needs as a grade level team. Grade levels work as Professional Learning Teams in collaboration to help the entire student population to succeed. They work together to share students and ask the questions, what is it we expect them to learn?, how do we know when they have learned it? how are we going to respond when they don't know it? and what are we going to do if they already have it? Our Professional Development will be focused on effective P.L.T's and differentiation for students.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Continued work on collaboration, using district provided resources. We have seen improvement in lower grades in phonics and phonemic awareness through the use of Wilson Fundations. We are hoping this will help prevent learning gaps in reading as students get older. Our students now in 4th and 5th grade did not receive that instruction and many have poor decoding skills. Alignment of teams with essential standards, grading and use of district curriculum maps.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Collaborative Planning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our school will continue to focus on meeting the individual needs of all students. This past year our students with disabilities made little growth and our learning gains in 4th and 5th grade were not acceptable. Grade level Professional Learning Teams will plan together to provide all students with the same expectations to meet the B.E.S.T. standards. We will use the 4 guiding questions in our P.L.T.'s to collaborate to meet needs of all students.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Students in ELA lowest 25% will make a 10% increase in learning gains to reach measurable outcome 70%. Students with Disabilities will make learning gains above 41%. Math learning gains will increase to 55% for lowest twenty-five percent and 65% for students with disabilities.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

This will be monitored through FAST results, Common Formative & Summative Assessments, District provided Common Summative Assessments, STAR and DAR.

Person responsible for monitoring

outcome:

Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Identify the essential standards that students need to learn. Collaborative planning for how we know when they have learned it, how to systmatically respond when they don't know it and how to respond for those students who already have the concepts and need to go deeper or accelerate.

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Team planning and collaboration will help teams to provide for the variey of all students. Grade level PLT's need to look at areas in Tier I instruction that need to improve and formulate a plan. The MTSS Team needs to look at data and provide recommendations for grade level PLT's areas to strengthen.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Attend the national PLC conference in Orlando.

Person Responsible Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Create and meet regularly with the "Guiding Coalalition" to check review data and monitor progress.

Person Responsible Heather Eyestone (heather.eyestone@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Create and monitor expectations of grade level PLT's meeting weekly to discuss student data, update groups for differentiation.

Person Responsible Alice Larson (alice.larson@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Provide time for PLT's to meet and Identify the Essential Standards from the new "B.E.S.T." standards and

organize grade level differentiation based on the guiding PLT questions.

Person Responsible Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#2. Transformational Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Our Instructional Leadership team needs to facilitate grade level teams to be open and own their data. They need to look objectively at data and answer the guiding questions of a P.L.T. and most importantly create a plan. Leadership team will provide relavent professional development and time to collaborate.

Measurable Outcome:
State the specific
measurable outcome the
school plans to achieve.
This should be a data
based, objective outcome.

Students in ELA lowest 25% will make a 10% increase in learning gains to 70%. Students with Disabilities will make learning gains above 41%. Math learning gains will increase to 55% for lowest twenty-five percent and 65% for students with disabilities.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Leadership team will continue to attend P.L.T. meetings, we will continue to focus on collabrative planning and looking at essential standards from the new B.E.S.T. standards. We will look at data on grade level teams as well as the school as a whole with the "Guiding Coalition".

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Collabrative Planning through the P.L.T. process.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for

Students will be able to receive what they need when they need it by teams planning instruction together to differentiate instruction. Teams will work on a continous cycle of the 4 critical questions.

Action Steps to Implement

selecting this strategy.

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#3. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Student and Teacher Behavior

to teach positive behavior.

Area of Focus Description and

Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Over the past few years we have seen a change in our student's behavior. Many of our teachers feel they do not have the training to deal with students with behavior issues.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

expectations and rewards. Teach students appropriate expected behaviors for different settings at school. We would like to decrease

We will monitor office referrals, Tier II and III behavior plans as well as

B.I.P.'s to make sure we are providing students with adequate support

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented

for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

We will have a "common language" and "school "motto" for office referrals by 10% this year over last year.

Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

We have became a P.B.I.S. school in 21-22 school year. We have teachers using "Capturing Kids Hearts" and our K-2 teachers were trained in "Conscious Discipline". We also will utilize "LiveSchool" to keep track of positive behavior points and as a parent communication tool.

P.B.I.S, Conscious Discipline and Capturing Kids Hearts are research based programs that have proven effective in promoting positive behaviors.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Training in P.B.I.S., Conscious Discipline and Capturing Kids Hearts.

Person Responsible

Heather Eyestone (heather.eyestone@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Implementing and monitoring S.H.I.N.E. 1. Safe Choices, 2. Have Respect 3.Include Others 4. Notice Feelings 5. Engaged in School. Make sure all children are taught expectations.

Person Responsible

Alice Larson (alice.larson@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Meet monly with the P.B.I.S. Leadership Team to monitor progress and make corrective actions as needed.

Person Responsible

Amanda Garman (amanda.garman@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

#4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Students with disabilites are performing below 41% in achievement on ELA portion of the FSA at 36% proficiency. Only 28% of SWD made learning gains. In 2019 our students with discibilities proformed at achievement levels 62% proficiencly in ELA and 63% porficiency in math. Post covid our SWD have lost ground. In 2022 they were only 36% proficient in ELA and 55% in math.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Students in ELA lowest 25% will make a 10% increase in learning gains to reach 70%. Students with Disabilities will make learning gains above 41%. Math learning gains will increase to 55% for lowest twenty-five percent and 65% for students with disabilities.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The MTSS team will monitor our SWD data at each core meeting. Grade level Professional Learning Teams will discuss SWD at their data meetings, and the Intervention and Supports team will discuss children with disabilities weekly.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Alice Larson (alice.larson@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Describe the evidencebased strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. Use of research based programs and stragegies with our SWD. Writing quality I.E.P.'s with appropriate goals. Use of an ESE coach to work with teachers and administration to best utilize resources.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for

selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

District provided this resource to assist our school in using research based strategies most effectively.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Meet regularly with the ESE coach to look at practices that are best to implement with SWD. Have coach participate in M.T.S.S. meetings as well as I.E.P.'s.

Person Responsible Alice Larson (alice.larson@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Work through the P.L.T. process with the Intervention and Supports Team to look at data and improve quality instruction for our SWD.

Person Responsible Alice Larson (alice.larson@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Provide inservice for teachers and support staff on best strategies to work with our SWD.

Person Responsible Heather Eyestone (heather.eyestone@stjohns.k12.fl.us)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our school works hard to be a welcoming environment for students and adults alike. We welcome input from all stakeholders. With the input of students, parents, community and staff, we have embraced The Positive Behaviors System of Supports model at R. B. Hunt. This collaborative has brought our values to the forefront of what we do. At R. B. Hunt We S.H.I.N.E. This means that we:

- 1. Have Safe Choices
- 2. Have Respect
- 3. Include Others
- 4. Notice Feelings
- 5. Engaged in School

We have come together to decided what we value, teach and model those behaviors for the children and reward positive behaviors.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Our stakeholders have supported our P.B.I.S. initiative. Our School Advisory Committee gave their input and support for this program and our P.T.A.O. has committed to supporting the program financially and with volunteer hours. Our community Elks Lodge is also supporting our school and P.B.I.S. The expectation at R. B. Hunt is for our staff to model the "Pillars of Character" at all times. We appreciate and embrace our school community and are willing to go the extra mile to keep students safe, be respectful, be inclusive, understanding and engaged in the process of growing our children to be good citizens and productive adults.