Martin County School District

Stuart Middle School



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Stuart Middle School

575 SE GEORGIA AVE, Stuart, FL 34994

martinschools.org/o/sms

Demographics

Principal: Christopher Jones

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	50%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: B (56%) 2018-19: A (65%) 2017-18: A (65%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) I	nformation*
SI Region	Southeast
SI Region Regional Executive Director	
	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	Southeast <u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>
Regional Executive Director Turnaround Option/Cycle	Southeast <u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Martin County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Oakaal lufawaatian	_
School Information	/
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Stuart Middle School

575 SE GEORGIA AVE, Stuart, FL 34994

martinschools.org/o/sms

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2021-22 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Middle Sch 6-8	nool	No		50%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		39%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	В		A	Α

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Martin County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Stuart Middle School provides an environment that inspires, engages, and challenges all students.

Provide the school's vision statement.

All students will be prepared for the rigorous demands of high school.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Jarrett, Ebony	Principal	
Desreuisseau, Lori	Assistant Principal	
Johnson, Juanita	Teacher, K-12	
Pool, Monica	Instructional Coach	
Mariano, Valerie	Other	
Dawedeit, Kelly	Teacher, K-12	
Wozny, Erica	Dean	
Moody, Charlie	Assistant Principal	
Davis-Chang, Stephanie	Teacher, K-12	
Hazlett, Heather	School Counselor	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Sunday 7/1/2018, Christopher Jones

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

40

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

53

Total number of students enrolled at the school

873

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator							Grad	le Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	315	282	306	0	0	0	0	903
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	89	104	0	0	0	0	295
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	35	35	0	0	0	0	106
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	31	49	0	0	0	0	87
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	27	28	0	0	0	0	64
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	72	66	0	0	0	0	194
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	70	60	0	0	0	0	203
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	91	85	0	0	0	0	245

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/23/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	292	290	0	0	0	0	853
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	41	47	0	0	0	0	122
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	34	22	0	0	0	0	94
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	40	31	0	0	0	0	85
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	57	27	0	0	0	0	107
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	57	27	0	0	0	0	146
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	69	68	0	0	0	0	203
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	6	

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	292	290	0	0	0	0	853
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	41	47	0	0	0	0	122
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	34	22	0	0	0	0	94
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	40	31	0	0	0	0	85
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	57	27	0	0	0	0	107
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	57	27	0	0	0	0	146
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	69	68	0	0	0	0	203
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level									Total			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	6

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2022			2021			2019		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	54%	53%	50%				68%	62%	54%	
ELA Learning Gains	47%						63%	58%	54%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	32%						54%	51%	47%	
Math Achievement	58%	32%	36%				72%	74%	58%	
Math Learning Gains	55%						61%	68%	57%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	45%						43%	55%	51%	
Science Achievement	56%	61%	53%				63%	64%	51%	
Social Studies Achievement	88%	59%	58%				96%	87%	72%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	District State	
06	2022					
	2019	66%	57%	9%	54%	12%
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					
07	2022					
	2019	63%	53%	10%	52%	11%
Cohort Con	nparison	-66%				
80	2022					
	2019	74%	62%	12%	56%	18%
Cohort Con	nparison	-63%				

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- ict District Comparison		School- State Comparison						
06	2022											
	2019	60%	64%	-4%	55%	5%						
Cohort Con	nparison											
07	2022											
	2019	64%	60%	4%	54%	10%						
Cohort Con	nparison	-60%										
80	2022											
	2019	72%	67%	5%	46%	26%						
Cohort Con	nparison	-64%										

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
80	2022					
	2019	59%	58%	1%	48%	11%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	74%	-74%	67%	-67%
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	96%	77%	19%	71%	25%
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

		ALGE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	99%	75%	24%	61%	38%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	100%	65%	35%	57%	43%

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	
SWD	27	35	30	31	40	31	16	59	20			
ELL	24	29	30	41	48	33	25	75				
ASN	60	59		80	59							
BLK	22	34	38	24	34	31	19	73				
HSP	42	40	35	44	48	39	41	88	50			
MUL	43	50		41	55	90		73				
WHT	62	50	27	66	59	48	64	90	70			
FRL	39	39	31	42	49	43	38	82	53			
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	
SWD	24	38	33	22	29	31	8	46		2013-20	2013-20	
ELL	33	48	54	36	44	38	19	64				
ASN	65	43	J-T	59	43	- 30	10	0-7				
BLK	28	39	33	17	22	19	19	55				
HSP	51	53	55	46	45	36	38	74	55			
MUL	45	42		35	30	"						
WHT	61	53	38	64	51	38	55	82	72			
FRL	43	42	36	37	36	31	36	71	44			
	-	2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18	
SWD	28	48	50	36	37	27	21		23			
ELL	24	33	39	38	37	35						
ASN	87	40		80	60							
BLK	39	54	52	44	39	32	33					
HSP	57	50	30	67	53	39	53		47			
MUL	72	65		66	58	60	73		62			
WHT	74	68	63	78	66	47	67	95	72			
FRL	53	55	50	57	51	40	49		48			

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	52
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	17
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	520
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	97%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	32
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	36
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	65
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Hispanic Students								
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	45							
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO							
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0							
Multiracial Students								
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	59							
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO							
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0							
Pacific Islander Students								
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students								
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A							
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0							
White Students								
Federal Index - White Students	60							
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO							
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0							
Economically Disadvantaged Students								
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	44							
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO							
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0							

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Due to our students struggling with foundational reading skills and proficiency in reading our ELA proficiency and Science Achievement has significantly declined. Based on this decline, additional classes of SPIRE were added in the ESE classroom and delivered by trained teachers and another ESE teacher has been trained in Sound Sensible, which is another multi-sensory approach to instruction. The following subgroups ELL, BLK, HSP, FRL remain the lowest achieving in the 3 year period.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

According to our FSA data and progress monitoring data, ELA is the area, across all sub groups, that demonstrates the greatest need for improvement. This is due to gaps in the foundational reading knowledge. Our ELA teachers meet weekly in a Collaborative Learning Team to review common formative assessments, backwards plan, analyze data, discuss strategies, and monitor students to determine where to reteach or enrich instruction. Our literacy coach works closely with the school district and A key factor in working towards reducing the gap is that the majority of our ELA teachers have been trained in Project CRISS. Utilizing strategies in the form of notetaking, annotations, graphic organizers, and charts will increase student usage of metacognitive strategies in ELA. In addition, L1 and L2 students are receiving intervention support through a tier 2 course Critical Thinking focusing on the Science of reading, specifically strands in reading comprehension, morphology, and writing. Furthermore, L1 students are receiving tier 3 intervention in Lexia Power Up. Additional support and practice materials are provided in small group instruction with the concepts that students are struggling with. The literacy coach is providing reading strategies professional development to content areas and new teachers bimonthly.

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

According to FSA and progress monitoring, students entered with a large deficiency in reading. The current middle school students did not receive foundational instruction in the science of reading as a core curriculum in K-2. This has caused a deficit in reading for some students. We are strategically working to fill in gaps with appropriate interventions. An extended block of ELA was used for tier 2 instruction based on the BEST Standards and adopted SAVVAS curriculum. This intervention did not seem to be specific enough to show the gains needed for student success. A shift has been made towards science of reading for tier 2. Additionally, tier 3 support is using Lexia which is a science of reading based program. Students made growth in the program, but it didn't always show the gains on the State assessment or progress monitoring due to Lexia meeting students at their level versus at the grade level of the assessment. Furthermore, SPIRE phonics based instruction is continuing to be used for all ESE students that show a deficit in reading foundational skills. (Transition) the literacy coach is providing professional development focused on effective reading strategies for all class instruction. In addition, she continues coaching teachers.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Math showed the most improvement on the FSA and progress monitoring with an increase in the proficiency, learning gains, and lowest 25. SMS ELA, Math, Civics exceed state averages; Algebra and Geometry exceed state averages.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Creating strong Math collaborative Learning teams which included the support facilitator in the collaboration of instruction. The support facilitators work in a co-teaching model with the Math teachers to assist students in being proficient in the standards. Math teachers and support facilitators utilized strategies and hands-on learning. In addition, when analyzing the progress monitoring data it was determined we needed to strategize how to increase our math scores for state testing. The administration team and team leaders determined that 3 weeks of a 30 minute test prep/intervention would benefit ALL of our students. This shift to test prep/intervention was strategically designed with 3 days dedicated toward math and 2 days dedicated to ELA. During this test prep we subdivided our L25 math students into smaller groups, with highly effective teachers, to focus on key strategies for the entire 3 weeks. We contributed to positive school culture with prize drawings, recognition of hard work, and continuing to praise student effort. All instructional staff members on campus were assigned to support a

specific group of students. Due to the collective efficacy of our school, this shift in instruction and push towards success was one of the main contributing factors for this improvement.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Students who show data indicating that intervention and support is needed in addition to the Core are receiving those interventions strategically to increase the opportunity for the students to show growth. Tier 2 and Tier 3 students in ELA receive an additional 50 minute period with an ELA teacher to work on reading comprehension, morphology, and writing focusing on grade level text with scaffolds and strategies centered in the science of reading. Tier 3 students in ELA are receiving a 50 minute period of foundational reading instruction using Lexia Power Up and/or SPIRE depending on the needs of the student. Focus on vocabulary instruction to increase classroom academic dialogue and improve their foundational language skills (reading, writing, listening, speaking). Continue to support students to maximize their academic, behavioral, and communication skills/abilities through PBIS, implementation of restorative/reflective conversations, equipping Paraprofessionals to work closely with our subgroups with the greatest needs. Tier 1 math students are receiving an additional 50 minute period in Math to work on foundational math skills, build mathematical fluency, and complete missing or incomplete assignments, every other day.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will receive professional development in professional learning teams with monitoring of their collaborative learning teams artifacts. In addition, teachers will be provided the opportunity to do learning walks to other teachers' classrooms to see best practices and strategies to support all learners. At Stuart Middle School, we will continue to provide professional development in metacognition, content area reading strategies and provide Coaching support. We currently have 3 Martin Mentors working with all of our new teachers to support them and assist them in growing professionally. SMS is providing intentional planning time for teachers to seek the best student resources and collaborate with colleagues regarding best practices (sharing lessons, providing feedback, encouraging informal and formal student progress checks/updates), increasing academic and behavioral achievements and accountability through the Student Services department—After School Detention, Friday School, Saturday School further embed practices that align with MTSS, PBIS initiatives, ample amount of co-teaching/mentoring/leadership opportunities provided campus-wide, providing students with additional positive academic/behavioral support and building relationships by selecting on campus state certified teachers to lead After School Detention, Friday School, Saturday School, Saturday School.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Stuart Middle School will offer free after school tutoring to all students to provide additional opportunities for learning. Funds from SAC and CARES money will pay for the tutoring to close foundational gaps and strengthen students' knowledge of the standards. Strong PTA supportive group, positive behavior reinforcement (Roar cards), increasing the organizational/study skills/academic/behavioral support via detention expectations through Student Services campus wide.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

•

#1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:
Include a rationale
that explains how it
was identified as a
critical need from

the data reviewed.

Stuart Middle School will offer free after school tutoring to all students to provide additional opportunities for learning. Funds from SAC and CARES money will pay for the tutoring to close foundational gaps and strengthen students' knowledge of the standards. Strong PTA supportive group, positive behavior reinforcement (Roar cards), increasing the organizational/study skills/academic/behavioral support via detention expectations through Student Services campus wide.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

50% of students with disabilities will be proficient on the Math FAST Spring of 2023.

60% of students with disabilities will be proficient on the ELA FAST in Spring of 2023.

Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Stuart Middle School analyzes data and reflects in content area grade based collaborative learning teams and whole school professional development 3 times a year. This is done as soon as data is released from previous year's testing and FAST progress monitoring. CLTs use the ATLAS looking at Data protocol and complete google forms. Professional development is created based on data and teacher's input from the google form.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy: Describe the

Project CRISS Metacognition Strategies

evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. ATLAS Looking at Data (School Reform Initiative Protocol)
Lexia Power Up Reading Intervention in Tier 3 Reading Courses

SPIRE and Sound Sensible Intervention in Tier 3 ESE Reading Courses Structured Literacy Approach in Tier 2 & Tier 3 Reading Courses

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:
Explain the
rationale for
selecting this
specific strategy.
Describe the
resources/criteria
used for selecting
this strategy.

These evidence based strategies are selected from What Works Clearinghouse and research in the educational field.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Analyze and Present Reading & Math Diagnostic Data.
- CLTs analyze data and set an action plan based on the ATLAS Looking at Data Protocol.

- 3. Analyze and Present ELA & Math Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment Data
- 4. CLTs analyze data and revisit original action plan
- 5. Repeat steps 3 & 4 for the Second Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment

Person Responsible

Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners

Area of
Focus
Description
and
Rationale:
Include a
rationale
that explains
how it was
identified as
a critical
need from

the data reviewed.

Teachers and our ELL Paraprofessional are working with students to assist with core content instruction. We currently have an increase in enrollment in ELL students so we are working with the District to receive another allocation. Snap & Read has been implemented in all core content classes to provide students with access to the text in their native language. The program also provides options for students to listen to the text in English depending on their proficiency level. Students and teachers have the opportunity to change the reading speed, slowing down the pace if needed. This feature will help build reading fluency and support student comprehension as they work through processing the text. Students who are working on Imagine Learning weekly, receive a word-to-word dictionary and glossary. Additionally, students are receiving extra support in intervention for Math and ELA.

Measurable
Outcome:
State the
specific
measurable
outcome the
school plans
to achieve.
This should
be a data
based,
objective
outcome.

50% of English Language Learners will be proficient on the Math FAST Spring of 2023. 45% of English Language Learners will be proficient on the ELA FAST in Spring of 2023.

Monitoring: Describe

how this Area of Focus will be

monitored for the desired outcome.

Person responsible

for Mor **monitoring**

outcome: Evidence-

based Metacognition Strategies

Strategy: Describe the evidence-

ATLAS Looking at Data (School Reform Initiative Protocol)
Lexia Power Up Reading Intervention in Tier 2 Reading Courses
Structured Literacy Approach in Tier 2 & Tier 3 Reading Courses

based Snap & Read Adaptive Technology strategy Imagine Learning Instructional Software

being

Last Modified: 4/25/2024

Stuart Middle School analyzes data and reflects in content area grade based collaborative learning teams and whole school professional development 3 times a year. This is done as soon as data is released from previous year's testing and FAST progress monitoring. CLTs use the ATLAS looking at Data protocol and complete google forms. Professional development is created based on data and teacher's input from the google form.

Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the

resources/ criteria used for selecting

this strategy.

These evidence based strategies are selected from What Works Clearinghouse and research in the educational field.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Analyze and Present Reading & Math Diagnostic Data.
- 2. CLTs analyze data and set an action plan based on the ATLAS Looking at Data Protocol.
- 3. Analyze and Present ELA & Math Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment Data
- 4. CLTs analyze data and revisit original action plan
- 5. Repeat steps 3 & 4 for the Second Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment

Person Responsible

Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American

Area of Focus **Description and** Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from

To close the achievement gap we have strategically worked on developing appropriate, working relationships with our students and families. We are assigning adult mentors and reviewing academic and discipline data more frequently. We continue to work with parents and community organizations for student support. This year, in addition to mentoring, we also added before school supervision. We will continue the after school supervision program.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable

the data reviewed.

outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based. objective outcome.

45% of Black/African American students will be proficient on the Math FAST Spring of 2023.

45% of Black/African American students will be proficient on the ELA FAST in Spring of 2023.

Monitoring: **Describe how this** Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Stuart Middle School analyzes data and reflects in content area grade based collaborative learning teams and whole school professional development 3 times a year. This is done as soon as data is released from previous year's testing and FAST progress monitoring. CLTs use the ATLAS looking at Data protocol and complete google forms. Professional development is created based on data and teacher's input from the google form.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy: **Metacognition Strategies**

Describe the ATLAS Looking at Data (School Reform Initiative Protocol) Lexia Power Up Reading Intervention in Tier 2 Reading Courses evidence-based strategy being Structured Literacy Approach in Tier 2 & Tier 3 Reading Courses

Area of Focus.

implemented for this Snap & Read Adaptive Technology

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Explain the rationale

for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the

resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

These evidence based strategies are selected from What Works Clearinghouse and research in the educational field.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Analyze and Present Reading & Math Diagnostic Data.
- CLTs analyze data and set an action plan based on the ATLAS Looking at Data Protocol.
- Analyze and Present ELA & Math Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment Data

- 4. CLTs analyze data and revisit original action plan
- 5. Repeat steps 3 & 4 for the Second Adequate Progress Monitoring Assessment

Person Responsible Monica Pool (poolm@martin.k12.fl.us)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Stuart Middle School works closely with our Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA) and School Advisory Council (SAC). These organizations offer opportunities for parents to volunteer and gain an understanding of school culture and the policies and procedures of the School Improvement Process. At SMS, parents participate in fundraising projects that financially support our Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS) initiatives. PBIS is designed to improve students' behavior and academic outcomes so students feel they have an equal opportunity to be successful. We create opportunities to motivate students and acknowledge them for meeting ROAR expectations through ROAR incentives. The students are rewarded for meeting expectations for being respectful, having on task behavior, and showing professionalism when they are appropriately dressed and showing responsibility. They can be rewarded by any staff member on campus with physical cards. The ROAR Card economy system provides students with various opportunities to earn rewards and redeem those ROAR cards in a variety of ways. This allows our school to give incentives for students who meet or exceed published School-Wide Expectations. In 2020-2021 Stuart Middle School was awarded the Resiliency Award from the Florida's Positive Behavioral Interventions & Support Project. Additionally, parents volunteer at The Scholastic Book Fair, in our ROAR store which is where students can spend the tickets they earn from meeting our PBIS expectations, PBIS sponsored events, and support Band, Chorus, and extracurricular activities. High expectations are communicated campus wide electronically and tangible/paper-based correspondence is visible (School Wide Roar Expectations campus wide postages and FB social media) We are always looking for ways to encourage parental involvement with their children in middle school years.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Also, the school has two guidance counselors, Heather Hazlett and Ellie Golden. They divide the student body into two groups by alpha. Each counselor supports and refers students to mental health counseling agencies in our area if needed. Mental health counseling is provided on-site through a partnership with Clearpath Health and Helping People Succeed. We have partnered with the Boys and Girls Club (AmeriCorps) to provide positive mentoring for our at-risk students on campus. In addition, our IPS Coach, Valerie Mariano and our Intervention Teacher, Erica Wozny, work with specific students on academic and behavioral interventions. They assist teachers and facilitators in supporting students who are at-risk. Communication is facilitated with parents and progress monitoring meetings scheduled as needed. To support the intervention needs of our students, teachers have received trauma-informed care professional development from our local Mental Health agency, who provided strategies on how to make positive

connections with all students.

Additionally, every Tuesday, our school has Academic Success. This class is a 30-minute course designed to help students with academic, character counts, and behavioral skills such as organization, empathy, and respect. Students participate in team-building activities, restorative circles, and discussions on social/emotional topics. During the class, teachers also give students time to check their grades, set goals, and reflect on their progress. This time allows students and teachers to build relationships and promote a positive culture within our school.