**Alachua County Public Schools** 

# **Westwood Middle School**



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

# **Westwood Middle School**

1262 NW 31ST DRIVE, Gainesville, FL 32605

https://www.sbac.edu/westwood

## **Demographics**

**Principal: Daniel Burney** 

Start Date for this Principal: 11/13/2017

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	90%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2021-22: C (48%) 2018-19: B (56%) 2017-18: B (56%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

## **Westwood Middle School**

1262 NW 31ST DRIVE, Gainesville, FL 32605

https://www.sbac.edu/westwood

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2021-22 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Middle Sch 6-8	nool	No		90%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		67%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	С		В	В

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Alachua County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Westwood Middle School creates an environment where all students are able to learn and achieve college and career readiness in a safe environment.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Westwood Middle School is a place where all students can learn to be college and career ready and achieve their potential.

## School Leadership Team

## Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Burney, Daniel	Principal	Supervise staff, provide instructional leadership, communicate with school stakeholders, etc
Hardy, David	Assistant Principal	Supervise implementation of curriculum, testing, scheduling, student admissions, etc
Williams, Ernest	Assistant Principal	Supervise school facilities, student services, instructional materials, etc
MacEwan, Jennifer	School Counselor	Provide emotional and social support for students, educate students and staff regarding mental health, sensitivity, substance abuse, etc
Wilson, Jeffrey	Dean	Implement discipline plan, educate students on school expectations, work with teachers to keep classrooms safe while maximizing all students' instructional time.
Lugo Hampton, Cynthia	Dean	Implement discipline plan, educate students on school expectations, work with teachers to keep classrooms safe while maximizing all students' instructional time.
Falvey, Davis	Teacher, K-12	Implement PBIS Plan

## **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Monday 11/13/2017, Daniel Burney

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

24

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

50

Total number of students enrolled at the school

850

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

11

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

**Demographic Data** 

## **Early Warning Systems**

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Grade Level									Total					
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	249	280	0	0	0	0	851
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	81	63	83	0	0	0	0	227
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	63	62	0	0	0	0	146
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	49	40	0	0	0	0	147
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	85	45	0	0	0	0	180
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	82	89	0	0	0	0	253
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	95	90	0	0	0	0	292
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	82	89	0	0	0	0	253

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator							Grad	de Lev	/el					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	115	125	123	0	0	0	0	363

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	10	0	0	0	0	16		

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Sunday 8/21/2022

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

la dia eta u	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	283	295	333	0	0	0	0	911	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	57	53	0	0	0	0	164	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	18	23	0	0	0	0	50	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	76	115	0	0	0	0	240	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	96	103	0	0	0	0	255	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	60	73	0	0	0	0	177	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	73	75	0	0	0	0	202	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

ludiantos	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	107	134	0	0	0	0	317	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	9	0	0	0	0	21

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Grade Level									Total					
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	283	295	333	0	0	0	0	911
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	57	53	0	0	0	0	164
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	18	23	0	0	0	0	50
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	76	115	0	0	0	0	240
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	96	103	0	0	0	0	255
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	60	73	0	0	0	0	177
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	73	75	0	0	0	0	202
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	107	134	0	0	0	0	317

## The number of students identified as retainees:

lu di anto u						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	9	0	0	0	0	21

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data Review**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant		2022			2021			2019	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	48%	51%	50%				55%	59%	54%
ELA Learning Gains	49%	50%	48%				53%	56%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	34%	34%	38%				41%	41%	47%
Math Achievement	44%	51%	54%				57%	60%	58%
Math Learning Gains	46%	55%	58%				52%	56%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	38%	42%	55%				40%	46%	51%
Science Achievement	43%	45%	49%				56%	53%	51%
Social Studies Achievement	59%	62%	71%				69%	73%	72%

## **Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	53%	53%	0%	54%	-1%
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2022					
	2019	48%	54%	-6%	52%	-4%
Cohort Co	mparison	-53%				
08	2022					
	2019	56%	61%	-5%	56%	0%
Cohort Co	mparison	-48%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019	55%	52%	3%	55%	0%
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2022					
	2019	56%	59%	-3%	54%	2%
Cohort Con	nparison	-55%				
08	2022					
	2019	21%	27%	-6%	46%	-25%
Cohort Com	nparison	-56%			•	

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%	·			
08	2022					
	2019	54%	54%	0%	48%	6%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	67%	69%	-2%	71%	-4%
<u> </u>		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
l.		ALGE	BRA EOC	'	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	84%	56%	28%	61%	23%
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	48%	-48%	57%	-57%

## Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	17	35	26	11	28	33	17	16			
ELL	22	38	32	32	46	37	39	27			
ASN	62	48		68	64		67		92		
BLK	22	30	23	17	33	34	11	29	65		
HSP	38	55	54	36	47	44	34	51	63		
MUL	57	58		53	47		63	62	84		
WHT	72	61	52	68	54	52	67	84	74		
FRL	34	42	35	28	37	35	27	41	66		
		2021	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	16	30	29	14	28	26	14	23			
ELL	27	63	62	24	42	46	11	53			

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COME	ONENT	S BV SI	IBGPO	IIDS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
ASN	69	73		74	61		50	73	82		
BLK	27	39	37	17	28	34	20	37	45		
HSP	45	57	51	43	44	39	48	49	88		
MUL	62	61		54	45		41	70	62		
WHT	79	70	43	76	60	29	77	79	79		
FRL	34	44	40	26	30	32	19	44	45		
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	19	40	39	17	34	30	15	45			
ELL	26	47	42	34	55	51	28	60	91		
ASN	70	64		81	74		50				
BLK	32	39	35	33	37	31	33	44	77		
HSP	51	53	45	50	48	42	51	61	82		
MUL	51	57	42	61	59	60	45	76	79		
WHT	77	66	62	79	64	65	81	92	85		

## **ESSA Data Review**

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	49
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	52
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	487
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	97%

## **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	23
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	3

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	36
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	67
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	29
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	47
	47 NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students	NO 0
Federal Index - Hispanic Students  Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students	NO 0 61
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO 0 61 NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	NO 0 61 NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students	NO 0 61 NO
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students  Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	NO 0 61 NO 0
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students  Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO 0 61 NO 0
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students  Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	NO 0 61 NO 0
Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%  Multiracial Students  Federal Index - Multiracial Students  Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%  Pacific Islander Students  Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%  White Students	NO 0 61 NO 0 N/A 0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	38
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

## **Data Analysis**

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

## What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Students with disabilities and African American students need additional support to maximize their success. This can be observed in both ELA and Math test data.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Achievement for ELA, Math, and Science for our students with disabilities. This subgroup increased by 8 points in ELA and 12 points in science, but did not show a change for Math. The lowest quartile for this subgroup also decreased by 9 points from the previous year.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Some factors may include teachers' inexperience when working with SWDs, attendance, suspensions, distance learning (Digital Academy), and having a long-term sub in a general education classroom.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Our Social Studies Achievement had the greatest improvement with an overall increase of 8 points. All subgroups, with the exception of African American students (decreased by 1 point), increased by 4-33 points.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We created schedules so that teachers had one main subject focus (single prep, i.e. Civics only).

### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Implementation of reading remediation through iReady curriculum, both online and physical text. Further fluency support and remediation of reading through additional paraprofessional assistance.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Support from Don Fitzpatrick for iReady curriculum. Data chats with district personnel and site administrators. Professional development centered around culturally responsive teaching - hopefully to reach lowest quartile learners.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Added personnel support in the area of literacy tutoring.

Ongoing progress monitoring - AIMS and quarterly data analysis from IXL and iReady.

#### **Areas of Focus**

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Increasing gains of the Lowest Quartile in

both ELA and Math

We believe that the success of the bottom quartile students has direct implications on the

success of our entire student population.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Increase the learning gains of the lowest quartile on the FSA by 10 percent points.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. FAST & AIMS Progress Monitoring Scores

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being

implemented for this Area of Focus.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

Using iReady with our lower quartile students (explicit reading instruction).

Using IXL with

our lower quartile students (math

instruction). Established tutoring support

for students

(before and after school). Co-teach math

and ELA classes. AVID strategies

(organizational

skills with binders, and note taking

strategies). Faculty / Staff mentor program.

Based on our previous year's student achievement data (ESSA, FSA scores,

AIMS),

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

strategy.

implementing these strategies will directly address areas of concern for our lowest quartile

students by focusing on reading comprehension and reading fluency,

**Action Steps to Implement** 

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Recognition of school-wide academic goals during faculty meetings, starting with pre-planning

Person Responsible Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)
Bi-monthly (every two months) faculty professional development focused on ELA and math.

Person Responsible David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

Professional Development centered around focused note taking, iReady, mentorship, and AVID strategies.

Person Responsible David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

Departmental visits with technology coach.

Person Responsible Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

Assign 6th grade multiple-warning-indicator students to faculty and staff for mentoring purposes.

Last Modified: 3/13/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 17 of 24

**Person Responsible** 

Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

### #2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Reducing the achievement gap in all curricular areas.

By reducing the achievement gap, more specifically by increasing the scores of

African American students, we will create a more equitable learning environment for all

students.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Reduce the ELA and Math achievement gap by 3 percentage points.

Increase FAST scores in ELA and Math for African American Students by 11% from

Q1 to Q3.

**Monitoring:** 

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

FAST & AIMS Progress Monitoring Scores

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

Restorative practice for discipline purposes. Teacher Professional

Development for

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

Culturally Responsive teaching. Providing extra supports to African American student

who were placed in advanced course through AVID.

If we are able to discipline students and correct behavior without keeping them out

of

class, they are exposed to more instructional time in the classroom. By providing

teachers with profession development for

culturally

responsive teaching we are helping them to better teach all students. AVID allows

for

extra targeted support to all students in advanced courses.

**Action Steps to Implement** 

strategy.

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Recognition of school-wide academic goals during faculty meetings, starting with pre-planning.

Person Responsible Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

Professional development focused on equity.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Person Responsible Ernest Williams (williamsec1@gm.sbac.edu)

Last Modified: 3/13/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 19 of 24

Include elements of African American history and culture in cross-curricular settings throughout the year.

Person Responsible

Leo Booth (boothl@gm.sbac.edu)

Focus on restorative actions to keep students in the classroom.

**Person Responsible** 

Cynthia Lugo Hampton

(lugohamptoncm@gm.sbac.edu)

Professional Development for faculty on unintentional targeting and implicit bias.

Person Responsible

**Ernest Williams** 

(williamsec1@gm.sbac.edu)

Collaborative Planning days.

**Person Responsible** 

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

## #3. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Increase the use of multi-tiered system of supports and problem-solving processes with all students with and without disabilities. By providing students with tiered systems of support, and different problem solving processes, we are able to support students both behaviorally and academically. The behavior support will help to keep students in the classroom which will help to increase their academic performance. MTSS will allow us to monitor student progress and implement

## Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

with disabilities by 5%.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Quarterly Discipline Data in Skyward

Decrease the suspension rate of students

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

**Ernest Williams** (williamsec1@gm.sbac.edu)

different supports as needed.

Positive Behavior Intervention and Support (PBIS; acknowledge and reward positive behaviors across campus), EPT meetings (Educational Planning Teams; meetings to discuss students needs and to monitor their progress

both academically and behaviorally), implementing FBAs and BIPs (Functional Behavior

Assessment and Behavior Intervention Plan; identifying the targeted behavior issue and

implementing a plan to reduce the problem behavior).

If we identify the problem behavior and implement a plan to decrease the

students will spend less time out of class for discipline reasons. By monitoring

progress monthly and rewarding students for positive behavior, we are keeping them

## **Evidence-based Strategy:**

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

in

class and teaching the correct behaviors.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Professional Development on Multi-Tiered System and Supports (MTSS)

Person Responsible Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

School-wide PBIS plan to support positive behavior.

Person Responsible Ernest Williams

(williamsec1@gm.sbac.edu)

Develop and implement a MTSS team to monitor students

Person Responsible Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

Monthly EPT meetings

Person Responsible Ernest Williams

(williamsec1@gm.sbac.edu)

Professional Development for FBAs and BIPs

Person Responsible David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

#### #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Students With Disabilities (as a subgroup) have under-performed at Westwood Middle

School, achieving at a rate of 30%. We intend to ensure that these students' needs are

being met both academically and

behaviorally.

Measurable Outcome:

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

for this Area of Focus.

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Increase the federal index for SWD students by 6% to achieve the required

Increase FAST scores in ELA and Math for African American Students by 11% from

Q1 to Q3.

Monitoring:

strategy.

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this

**FAST & AIMS Progress Monitoring** Scores

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

iReady program

Co-Teach classes within Science, Math,

ELA, and Social Studies

Data Analysis meetings between district

personnel and faculty

Professional Development addressing

"rigor"

iReady program will help scaffold and

support ELA

Co-Teach classes to offer further support

and differentiation in the general

education

classroom setting

Data Analysis meetings will help inform, examine and focus teachers to areas that

need the most attention

Professional Development will ensure that students are receiving the depth of

that they need in order to succeed while not overwhelming them with unnecessary

extra work.

**Action Steps to Implement** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Students will be placed into appropriate Co-teach classes for ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies support.

Person Responsible

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

ELA teachers will implement iReady program to assist in reading growth and differentiation.

Person Responsible

Amy MacCord

(maccoram@gm.sbac.edu)

Faculty will engage in professional development with the principal regarding "rigor"

**Person Responsible** 

Daniel Burney (burneyde@gm.sbac.edu)

District personnel will assist with faculty data chats to help focus their instruction.

Person Responsible

David Hardy (hardydl@gm.sbac.edu)

## **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

Our PBIS team and goals are the primary source for creating a positive school culture at Westwood Middle School. We are committed to seeing all discipline through an instructional lens, teaching students about expectations and rewarding instances of growth and exceptional behavior. Our SAC team has been and will continue to be involved in this endeavor. It represents a sampling of all stakeholders and continues to encourage Westwood leadership in the areas of PBIS and student academic growth. Recently, they approved the use of HERO software in order to track and communicate student behavior throughout the school year. It provides yet another link to stakeholders as we create a positive culture on campus.

### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

We involve stakeholders from the community through events such as Science Night, Cambridge Open House, Meet the Teacher, and AVID Night. It is our intent that community members, parents, students, and faculty and staff should all know the goals of Westwood as well as the plans for reaching them.