

Orange County Public Schools

Brookshire Elementary



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Brookshire Elementary

2500 CADY WAY, Winter Park, FL 32792

<https://brookshirees.ocps.net/>

Demographics

Principal: Bethany Ledesma

Start Date for this Principal: 2/14/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	46%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: B (56%) 2018-19: B (61%) 2017-18: A (67%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	ATSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Orange County School Board on 1/24/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Brookshire Elementary

2500 CADY WAY, Winter Park, FL 32792

<https://brookshirees.ocps.net/>

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	No	46%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	53%

School Grades History

Year	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19
Grade	B	B	B	B

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Orange County School Board on 1/24/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE’s school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

With the support of families and the community, we create enriching and diverse pathways that lead our students to success

Provide the school's vision statement.

To ensure every student has a promising and successful future

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Fritz, Jason	Principal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making. - Ensures implementation of cycles of professional learning and manages high quality professional development to support implementation. - Ensures collaborative lesson planning, effective instructional strategies, and implementation of intervention support and documentation. - Ensures the school-based team is implementing the MTSS process, and adequate professional development is provided to support MTSS implementation. - Manages school resources, including but not limited to: facilities, budget, personnel, materials, and supplies that are designed to support the areas of focus for school improvement. - Communicates with all stakeholders regarding school-based plans and activities
Larsen, Rachel	Assistant Principal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides professional development on data analysis to drive instruction and improve student learning. - Develops documents to monitor data and address areas of need. - Ensures implementation of cycles of professional learning and manages high quality professional development to support implementation. - Ensures collaborative lesson planning, effective instructional strategies, and implementation of intervention support and documentation. - Ensures the school-based team is implementing the MTSS process, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, and adequate professional development is provided to support MTSS implementation. - Communicates with all stakeholders regarding school-based plans and activities.
Bernier, Megan	Instructional Coach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides professional development on data analysis to drive instruction and improve student learning. - Provides guidance on the K-12 ELA Plan and Math Plan to ensure student needs are met. - Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding databased instructional planning, along with intervention and enrichment strategies. - Facilitates grade level common planning. - Supports implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Adam, Mariam	Behavior Specialist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Participates in student data collection (including behavior data). - Integrates core instructional activities and materials into Tier III instruction. - Collaborates with General Education teachers and ensures paperwork compliance. - Creates and meets with student groups to support social skills and behavior. - Collaborates with ESE team.
Lampugnani, Francesca	School Counselor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide assistance and support of implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans. - Provides counseling and support to students in need.
Pollock, Marcy	Instructional Coach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides professional development on data analysis to drive instruction and improve student learning. - Provides guidance on the K-12 ELA Plan and Math Plan to ensure student needs are met. - Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning, along with intervention and enrichment strategies. - Facilitates grade level common planning. - Supports implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans. - Provides support and assistance to teachers on ELL strategies and compliance.
Watson, Kayla	Teacher, K-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides professional development on data analysis to drive instruction and improve student learning. - Provides guidance on the K-12 ELA Plan and Math Plan to ensure student needs are met. - Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning, along with intervention and enrichment strategies. - Facilitates grade level common planning. - Identifies systematic patterns of student needs to identify appropriate, evidence based intervention strategies. - Assists in the design and implementation of whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for students considered to be "at risk". - Supports implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans - Provides professional development to support MTSS

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		implementation. -Supports students
Christner, Beth	Teacher, K-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides professional development on data analysis to drive instruction and improve student learning. - Provides guidance on the K-12 ELA Plan and Math Plan to ensure student needs are met. - Provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning, along with intervention and enrichment strategies. - Facilitates grade level common planning. - Supports implementation of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans. - Facilitates STEM activities. -Supports students

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 2/14/2018, Bethany Ledesma

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

0

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

3

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

39

Total number of students enrolled at the school

537

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

5

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

5

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	74	89	90	102	78	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	513
Attendance below 90 percent	7	16	10	17	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
One or more suspensions	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	14	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	8	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	4	3	11	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	1	0	0	7	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 9/1/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	12	90	97	83	75	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	453
Attendance below 90 percent	2	9	19	14	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	12	90	97	83	75	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	453
Attendance below 90 percent	2	9	19	14	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	65%	56%	56%				70%	57%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	56%						59%	58%	58%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	55%						51%	52%	53%
Math Achievement	65%	46%	50%				71%	63%	63%
Math Learning Gains	47%						60%	61%	62%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	35%						40%	48%	51%
Science Achievement	69%	61%	59%				75%	56%	53%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
03	2022					
	2019	64%	55%	9%	58%	6%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
04	2022					
	2019	63%	57%	6%	58%	5%
Cohort Comparison		-64%				
05	2022					

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2019	66%	54%	12%	56%	10%
Cohort Comparison		-63%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
03	2022					
	2019	62%	62%	0%	62%	0%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
04	2022					
	2019	67%	63%	4%	64%	3%
Cohort Comparison		-62%				
05	2022					
	2019	73%	57%	16%	60%	13%
Cohort Comparison		-67%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	72%	54%	18%	53%	19%
Cohort Comparison						

Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	23	38	45	31	38	45	55				
ELL	37	63	57	43	33	21	33				
ASN	89			94							
BLK	47	55		40	33						
HSP	46	59	56	43	33	24	47				
WHT	77	51		78	53	50	85				
FRL	44	50	47	45	31	25	56				

2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	29	50		33	43		31				
ELL	39	55		44	36		36				
ASN	83			92							
BLK	53			33							
HSP	49	57		44	33	20	38				
WHT	80	74		75	59		77				
FRL	53	45	50	46	23	10	40				

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	25	40	47	32	52	53	38				
ELL	58	67	57	58	66	67	62				
BLK	42	50		46	36	10	46				
HSP	59	52	50	55	57	54	67				
WHT	82	67	43	83	67	23	84				
FRL	53	58	49	54	55	40	69				

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	57
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	66
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	458
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	98%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities

Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	39
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

English Language Learners

Federal Index - English Language Learners	44
-------------------------------------------	----

English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	92
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	44
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	47
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	66
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	45
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

School-wide historical data show:

Mathematics learning gains and mathematics learning gains in the bottom quartile consistently lagged behind other content areas from 2019-2022. These areas dropped significantly from 2019 to 2021 and increased minimally from 2021 to 2022.

ELA achievement decreased steadily from 2019 to 2022 (70% to 67% to 65%)
 ELA learning gains increased from 2019 (59%) to 2021 (69%) and then decreased in 2022 (56%)
 ELA bottom quartile gains decreased slightly from 2019 to 2021 (51% to 50%) and increased slightly to 55% in 2022.

Math achievement decreased from 2019 to 2021 (71% to 62%) and then slightly increased in 2022 to 65%
 Math learning gains decreased from 2019 to 2021 (60% to 45%) and slightly increased in 2022 to 47%
 Math bottom quartile gains decreased from 2019 to 2021 (40% to 25%) and slightly increased in 2022 to 35%

Science achievement decreased from 2019 to 2021 (75% to 62%) and then increased to 69% in 2022

In respect to 2022 scores:

3rd grade scored the highest in ELA (75% proficiency) and mathematics (80% proficiency)
 4th grade scored 52% proficiency in ELA and 64% proficiency in mathematics
 5th grade scored 61% proficiency in ELA and 43% proficiency in mathematics

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Progress monitoring (iReady) and state assessments (FSA) show that the greatest need(s) for improvement are mathematics learning gains and mathematics learning gains with the lowest 25%. These areas are currently below the district averages.

2019

LG: 60%

Bottom quartile: 40%

2021

LG: 45%

Bottom quartile: 25%

2022

LG: 47%

Bottom quartile: 35%

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Many factors may have contributed to the need for improvement in mathematics learning gains and mathematics gains in the bottom quartile:

Early budget cuts cost the school 3 instructional positions. Among those loses was one 4th grade teacher and one 5th grade teacher. This increased class sizes. We also had to reallocate an ESE resource teacher, which increased the caseload of the remaining teacher. 33% of the students in the bottom quartile were ESE.

The school took safety and sanitary precautions that adjusted scheduling of interventions to limit mixing students within other classes.

In 4th and 5th grade, teacher absences, experience and team dynamics may have contributed to the state outcomes.

While the school cannot control budget cuts, we have strategically placed teachers in specific grade levels that are beneficial to students and match the teacher's strengths.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Data from 2021 to 2022 indicate that 5 of the 7 tested components increased in percentage. The component that increased the most was math learning gains in the bottom quartile. The 2022 score is 35% and the 2021 score was 25%. This is a 10% increase.

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The school used multiple data points to determine which students needed extra support in mathematics. Historical data from prior years' FSA were analyzed, iReady results were analyzed, and student aggregate data were analyzed. This helped the school determine correct placement in regard to math intervention. The school was able to hire an intervention teacher as well as a tutor. These employees, in addition to the school-based coaches, worked with identified students on mathematics based on identified areas of need.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Intervention scheduling-students will need to be correctly placed in math and language arts intervention. Data (FSA, FAST, iReady, MTSS, EWS, qualitative) will be used to determine accurate placement. Small group instruction-teachers will be receiving continuing support with data analysis and small group instruction. Professional development, with a consultant, has been scheduled to continue supporting teachers with how to identify areas of need, how to identify students who need additional support, how to build small group lessons, and how to execute those lessons. Next steps will include monitoring. Student data analysis-structures will be put into place that will make data analysis deliberate and actionable. The information can then be used for small group instruction, reteaching, intervention, etc.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Teachers will attend common planning/professional learning communities (PLCs) each week. Administration and school leadership team members will be in attendance for support and direction. The school will continue a professional development series on small group instruction with consultant, Taylor Wenzel.

Leadership will share professional development opportunities, in regard to BEST standards, with teachers. Teachers will also receive support with lesson planning and standard implementation during common planning and coaching cycles.

Teachers will receive feedback from the leadership team during observations and classroom walkthroughs.

Administrators and teachers/teacher leaders will attend school district professional development, throughout the year, related to BEST standards and resources.

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Small group professional development will support levels K-5. This will allow the school to build small group instructional practices with K-2 teachers.

Classroom walks, feedback, and coaching will be implemented to increase improvement of instruction and pedagogical practices (student processing and collaboration, justifying answers, identifying and correcting errors in reasoning). Leadership will share a focus, or look-fors, with teachers.

Provide resources, and help utilizing those resources, to support student foundations in reading and math literacy (iReady, SIPPS, manipulatives)

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:
Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Mathematics is an area that identified as a critical need based on 2022 and historical FSA data. The specific areas of need in mathematics are mathematics learning gains and learning gains in the bottom quartile. Mathematics gains in the bottom quartile have consistently lagged behind state and district averages from 2019-2022. Mathematics learning gains dropped from 2019 to 2021 (-15) and increased minimally from 2021 to 2022 (+2). Overall, 45 students (not in the bottom quartile) in grades 4 and 5 did not make learning gains. Mathematics learning gains in the bottom quartile decreased from 2019 to 2021 (-15) and increased from 2021 to 2022 (+10). While there were increases in the scores, the data remains lower than state and district averages as well as the school's lowest data component.

Measurable Outcome:
State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The mathematics learning goal for the 2022 school year is 60%. This would be a 13% increase and bring the school back to pre-pandemic status in this component. The 2022 school year mathematics goal for the bottom quartile is 50%. This would require a gain of 15% and surpass the school status from 2019, as well as bring the school toward current district averages.

Monitoring:
Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The progress of this area will be monitored through both qualitative and quantitative data. The school will use progress monitoring assessment/assignment data (FAST, iReady), common assessment data, classroom walkthrough data, and intervention/enrichment data (MTSS, EWS, and course placement) to monitor progress toward the goals.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Standards-based instruction-teachers are expected to provide instruction and tasks that align to the BEST standards. Small group instruction-teachers are expected to incorporate small group instruction into their lessons. This strategy will allow teachers to deliver differentiated instruction driven by individual student data and needs.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:
Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

BEST standards are new to grades 3-5 this year. The school is purchasing professional development for small group instruction. This PD is a series and was introduced during the 21-22 school year. The professional development aims to help teachers analyze data, determine areas of need or enrichment, identify students for differentiated groups, planning lessons, and executing them successfully.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review and analyze mathematics (current and historical) data from standardized and common assessments.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Review 2022 intervention/enrichment lists and identify students in the bottom quartile as well as those who did not make learning gains.

Identify bubble students for proficiency gains.

Identify teachers in need of coaching/support

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Identify individuals to provide instruction and make sure students are appropriately scheduled.

Person Responsible Rachel Larsen (rachel.larsen@ocps.net)

Provide professional development to teachers:

Small group instruction with Taylor Wenzel

Common planning/PLC support with data, BEST standards and curriculum documents

Coaching cycles

Ensure teachers have opportunities to collaborate with content experts (ESE, ELL, coaches)

Person Responsible Megan Bernier (megan.bernier@ocps.net)

Observe instruction and monitor implementation of professional development. Provide feedback to teachers.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Ensure assessment conditions are in compliance and students are tested in optimal conditions for success.

Person Responsible Marcy Pollock (marcy.pollock@ocps.net)

Review assessment/achievement data, reflect on progress, make changes as necessary (change intervention, re-focus instruction, provide different/additional PD, shift teacher/student support, etc.)

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Regular school celebrations to recognize teachers, classes and students to make progress toward their goals.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Determine MTSS levels for each student.

Person Responsible Kayla Watson (kayla.watson@ocps.net)

#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus
Description and Rationale:
Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Critical areas in need of academic progress for students with disabilities is proficiency levels in English Language Arts and Mathematics.
 Based on the FSA ELA data, 24% of students with disabilities scored a level 3, 4, 5. 76% of students with disabilities scored a level 1 or 2.
 Based on the FSA mathematics data, 34% of students with disabilities scored a level 3, 4, 5 and 66% scored a level 1 or 2.

Measurable Outcome:
State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The school plans to increase English Language Arts proficiency and mathematics proficiency for students with disabilities to increase the ESSA federal index to 41% or higher.

Monitoring:
Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

English Language Arts proficiency and mathematics proficiency will be measured as students are assessed on the state FAST assessment as well as school-based iReady diagnostics. We will use data from each assessment (August, December, May) to monitor student gains and proficiency outcomes.
 Common assessments-proficiency scores will be reviewed

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:
Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Standards-based instruction-teachers are expected to provide instruction and tasks that align to the BEST standards.
 Small group instruction-teachers are expected to incorporate small group instruction into their lessons. This strategy will allow teachers to deliver differentiated instruction driven by individual student data and needs.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:
Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

BEST standards are new to grades 3-5 this year.
 The school is purchasing professional development for small group instruction. This PD is a series and was introduced during the 21-22 school year. The professional development aims to help teachers analyze data, determine areas of need or enrichment, identify students for differentiated groups, planning lessons, and executing them successfully.

Action Steps to Implement
 List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review and analyze English Language Arts and mathematics data (current and historical) from standardized and common assessments.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Review 2022 intervention/enrichment lists and identify students who did not make learning gains.
 Identify bubble students for proficiency gains.
 Identify teachers in need of coaching/support

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Identify individuals to provide instruction and make sure students are appropriately scheduled.
Ensure students are receiving services and scheduled per their IEPs.

Person Responsible Rachel Larsen (rachel.larsen@ocps.net)

Provide professional development to teachers:
Small group instruction with Taylor Wenzel
Common planning/PLC support with data, BEST standards and curriculum documents
Coaching cycles
Ensure teachers have opportunities to collaborate with content experts (ESE, ELL, coaches)

Person Responsible Megan Bernier (megan.bernier@ocps.net)

Provide new educational resources and support professional learning, usage and implementation (i.e. Write Score, district curriculum documents).

Person Responsible Megan Bernier (megan.bernier@ocps.net)

Observe instruction and monitor implementation of professional development. Provide feedback to teachers.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Ensure assessment conditions are in compliance and students are tested in optimal conditions for success.

Support implementation of new resources requiring assessment components.

Person Responsible Marcy Pollock (marcy.pollock@ocps.net)

Review assessment/achievement data, reflect on progress, make changes as necessary (change intervention, re-focus instruction, provide different/additional PD, shift teacher/student support, etc.)

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Regular school celebrations to recognize teachers, classes and students to make progress toward their goals.

Person Responsible Jason Fritz (jason.fritz@ocps.net)

Determine MTSS levels for each student.

Person Responsible Kayla Watson (kayla.watson@ocps.net)

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

The school will collaborate with all stakeholders to promote a positive culture and environment:
Teachers will form committees to support different initiatives throughout the school (Cheer, clubs, etc.).
The school will meet with PTA/SAC to share school improvement data, discuss fund raising activities,

school celebrations, etc.

Community events both on and off campus will help promote a positive and welcoming environment.

Schoolwide celebrations will be planned which will recognize students, class, and teacher progress toward academic and life skills goals.

Celebrations will be inclusive of all students and classes.

Staff events to promote collaboration and build trust, relationships, and respect. This includes traditions from previous years to show teachers appreciation.

Continued use of the Caring School Community program/curriculum for building class culture.

Schoolwide initiatives such as "Start with Hello" to foster positive culture with students and staff.

Restorative practices for conflict resolution.

Culturally Responsive Instruction to promote understanding of student backgrounds and development.

Partner with outside vendors to offer extra-curricular opportunities for students.

Newsletter communication will be shared with staff to keep them aware of what is occurring throughout the school.

Connect Orange messages and social media posts will inform families and the community of what is occurring at Brookshire and positively market the school brand.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

School-based leadership team will plan and facilitate events/activities within the schools to celebrate staff and students.

The school will collaborate with SAC/PTA to support school improvement and appreciation efforts. These groups consist of school personnel, community members, and parents.

Teachers will build a positive culture with their students by building classroom community.

Teachers will participate in PLCs and trainings to build relationships and content knowledge on initiatives.

Students will participate in restorative practices daily within their classrooms to build relationships and as needed for conflict resolution.

Outside vendors/businesses will partner with the school to offer extra-curricular opportunities for families and/or provide services to the staff.