Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Turner/Guilford/Knight



2022-23 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP	4
. a.poso ana o amino or mo ongrados on	
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	10
R.A.I.S.E	0
Positive Culture & Environment	12

Turner/Guilford/Knight

7000 NW 41ST ST, Miami, FL 33166

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Theron Clark

Start Date for this Principal: 9/27/2022

2021-22 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Function (per accountability file)	
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 7-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	15%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating	2023-24: No Rating

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

- 1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
- 2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%

• Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%

Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To provide educational, life skills, and social-emotional services to meet the diverse needs of our students.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Through our educational, life skills, and social-emotional services, help students to successfully reintegrate into society.

Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.

Students at Turner/Guilford/Knight (TGK) Correctional Center are referred trough the circuit juvenile courts. typically, the students housed at TGK are in the process of being tried as an adult (direct file). Educational services meet the academic needs of these students.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Clark, Theron	Principal	Oversee administration of program
Alonso, Nadeshka	Other	Provide assessment materials and support
Charles, Kimberly	Teacher, K-12	Instruction in language arts
Lafaille, Eddy	Assistant Principal	Assist in the administration of program
Fair, Larry	Teacher, K-12	Teach mathematics
Tomasso, Margarita	Psychologist	Psychological services

Is education provided through contract for educational services?

No

If yes, name of the contracted education provider.

N/A

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 9/27/2022, Theron Clark

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

24

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.

3

Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?

3

Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?

0

Number of teachers with ESE certification?

1

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

1

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

1

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2022-23

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	9	3	4	24
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	4	12
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4	14
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	4	12

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

la diseta a	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	4	12

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/27/2022

2021-22 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	3	1	0	10
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	4
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	6
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	5

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Company		2022			2021		2019				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement		54%	51%					59%	56%		

School Grade Component		2022			2021		2019				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	e School District Sta		State	School	District	State		
ELA Learning Gains								54%	51%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile								48%	42%		
Math Achievement		42%	38%					54%	51%		
Math Learning Gains								52%	48%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile								51%	45%		
Science Achievement		41%	40%					68%	68%		
Social Studies Achievement		56%	48%					76%	73%		

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2022					
	2019	0%	56%	-56%	52%	-52%
Cohort Cor	nparison					
08	2022					
	2019	0%	60%	-60%	56%	-56%
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				

			MATH	ł		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2022					
	2019	0%	53%	-53%	54%	-54%
Cohort Cor	Cohort Comparison					
08	2022					
	2019	0%	40%	-40%	46%	-46%
Cohort Cor	Cohort Comparison				<u> </u>	

	SCIENCE							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
07	2022							
	2019							
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison							
08	2022							
	2019	0%	43%	-43%	48%	-48%		
Cohort Comparison		0%						

		BIOLO	GY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2022						
2019	0%	68%	-68%	67%	-67%	
		CIVIC	S EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2022						
2019	0%	73%	-73%	71%	-71%	
		HISTO	RY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2022						
2019	0%	71%	-71%	70%	-70%	
		ALGEE	RA EOC	•		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State	
2022						
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%	
		GEOME	TRY EOC			
Year	School	District	Minus State N		School Minus State	
2022						
2019	0%	54%	-54%	57%	-57%	

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK											

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index					
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)					
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students					
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students					
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target					
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency					
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index					
Total Components for the Federal Index					
Percent Tested					

Subgroup Data

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place related to the Areas of Focus?

Data was not collected to provide progress monitoring. Plans for progress monitoring included addressing reading and math deficiencies. Program infrastructure prevented consistent administration of assessments.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Math gains

What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

Data collection. The administration of relevant assessments for progress monitoring data is needed. Tools for assessing students are needed.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Unable to assess based on lack of relevant data.

What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Assess, review, and planning in all subject areas.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided to support teachers and leaders.

Training in the following areas are needed:

1. Data collection for progress monitoring

- 2. Progress monitoring
- 3. Student assessment
- 4. MTSS and RTI training

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Inconsistent assessment data is available for progress monitoring.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

75% of students will be assessed with pre- and post-assessments during the 2022-23 school year.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

ELA teacher will monitor student entries and ensure pre-assessments are administered. Assessment results will be shared with instructional coach to ensure fidelity of progress monitoring plans.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Kimberly Charles (kcharles1@dadeschools.net)

Paper-based assessments, to be developed or adopted.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Students must be assessed in order to provide progress monitoring.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Identify paper-based ELA assessment for progress monitoring.

Person Responsible

Eddy Lafaille (238362@dadeschools.net)

Monitoring ESSA Impact:

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

The overall index and that of Black/African American students was implicated in the last testing period. Improving ELA performance will necessarily impact Black/African American students who are deficient in ELA.

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Inconsistent assessment data is available for progress monitoring.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

75% of students will be assessed with pre- and post-assessments during the 2022-23 school year.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Math teacher will monitor student entries and ensure pre-assessments are administered. Assessment results will be shared with instructional coach to ensure fidelity of progress monitoring plans.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Paper-based assessments, to be developed or adopted.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Students must be assessed in order to provide progress monitoring.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Identify paper-based math assessment for progress monitoring.

Person Responsible

Eddy Lafaille (238362@dadeschools.net)

The overall index and that of Black/African

[no one identified]

Monitoring ESSA Impact:
If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

American students was implicated in the last testing period. Improving math performance will necessarily impact Black/African American students who are deficient in math.

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment is critical in supporting sustainable schoolwide improvement initiatives. When schools implement a shared focus on improving school culture and environment, students are more likely to engage academically. A positive school culture and environment can also increase staff satisfaction and retention.

Select a targeted element from the menu to develop a system or process to be implemented for schoolwide improvement related to positive culture and environment.

PBIS linked to classroom management strategies

Describe how data will be collected and analyzed to guide decision making related to the selected target.

Reviewing behavioral interventions in cl;ass

Describe how the target area, related data and resulting action steps will be communicated to stakeholders.

The number of behavioral interventions during class will be communicated via email to the principal, the program staff, and the instructional staff via email.

Describe how implementation will be progress monitored.

There will be reviews of individual student behaviors with program staff.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

Review behaviors

Lafaille, Eddy, 238362@dadeschools.net