

2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	0
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Lake - 0149 - Round Lake Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Round Lake Elementary School

31333 ROUND LAKE RD, Mount Dora, FL 32757

https://rle.lake.k12.fl.us

Demographics

Principal: Linda Bartberger

Start Date for this Principal: 2/27/2023

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-7
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	31%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: A (67%) 2018-19: A (69%) 2017-18: A (62%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	0
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Lake - 0149 - Round Lake Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Round Lake Elementary School

31333 ROUND LAKE RD, Mount Dora, FL 32757

https://rle.lake.k12.fl.us

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		ool Disadvar	2 Economically ntaged (FRL) Rate orted on Survey 3)	
Combination S PK-7	School	No		31%
Primary Servic (per MSID F	••	(Report	9 Minority Rate ted as Non-white n Survey 2)	
K-12 General E	ducation	Yes		28%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year Grade	2021-22 A	2020-21	2019-20 A	2018-19 A
School Board Appro	val			

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission this year will focus on creating motivated learners. The adult-focused mission is to have 100% of administration and staff motivated to learn by engaging in self-selected book studies throughout the year. The student-centered mission is to build classroom communities that help students to set personal growth goals that they will be motivated to achieve.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Round Lake Charter is a compassionate community that strives to create motivated learners by tailoring instruction to meet the needs of all students leading to growth in the classroom and beyond.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name

Position Title

Job Duties and Responsibilities

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 2/27/2023, Linda Bartberger

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Total number of students enrolled at the school

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					(Gra	ade	e Lo	eve	əl				Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/30/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Number of students enrolled		
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency		
The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:		

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		
The number of students identified as retainees:		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantan	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	72%	64%	55%				75%	68%	61%

School Grade Component	2022				2021		2019		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Learning Gains	64%						65%	63%	59%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	42%						48%	56%	54%
Math Achievement	81%	44%	42%				78%	70%	62%
Math Learning Gains	77%						77%	65%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	70%						58%	54%	52%
Science Achievement	62%	65%	54%				64%	59%	56%
Social Studies Achievement	87%	66%	59%				86%	83%	78%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022			-		
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison				- i	
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	78%	60%	18%	58%	20%
Cohort Co	Cohort Comparison					
04	2022					
	2019	76%	60%	16%	58%	18%
Cohort Co	mparison	-78%			•	
05	2022					
	2019	74%	59%	15%	56%	18%
Cohort Co	mparison	-76%			•	
06	2022					
	2019	76%	52%	24%	54%	22%
Cohort Co	mparison	-74%				
07	2022					
	2019	68%	49%	19%	52%	16%
Cohort Co	mparison	-76%				

			MATH	4		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	parison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Corr	parison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2022					
	2019	73%	62%	11%	62%	11%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	82%	61%	21%	64%	18%
Cohort Co	mparison	-73%				
05	2022					
	2019	73%	57%	16%	60%	13%
Cohort Co	mparison	-82%				
06	2022					
	2019	91%	53%	38%	55%	36%
Cohort Co	mparison	-73%			•	
07	2022					
	2019	75%	58%	17%	54%	21%
Cohort Co	mparison	-91%			· · ·	

			SCIENC	E		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	64%	56%	8%	53%	11%
Cohort Co	mparison					
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	-64%			· · ·	
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			· ·	

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	86%	71%	15%	71%	15%

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		GEOME	TRY EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					

Subgroup Data Review

		2022	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
SWD	40	45	29	44	60	52	17				
ELL	45	47	36	51	68	64	14				
ASN	100	86		100	95						
BLK	57	59	50	67	82	87	44				
HSP	61	49	38	67	69	59	39	73	29		
MUL	64	58		85	77						
WHT	76	67	43	85	77	73	71	90	61		
FRL	63	57	34	68	77	67	49	75	30		
		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	38	44	22	44	50	35	42				
ELL	39	48	47	46	69	75	33				
ASN	100	80		95	80						
BLK	59	42		55	63						
HSP	53	49	41	64	64	71	55	86	43		
MUL	68	52	40	70	56		56				
WHT	77	60	37	81	74	61	76	88	85		
FRL	58	48	35	60	58	56	46	90	38		
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS	·	
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	41	43	38	51	56	41	26				
ELL	50	57	47	61	70	45	44				

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ASN	93			86							
BLK	61	52	33	57	66	53	53				
HSP	67	66	56	74	73	49	45	69			
MUL	66	60		74	80						
WHT	81	67	43	82	80	65	72	90			
FRL	65	60	43	65	71	57	57	81			

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	66
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	58
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	664
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	41
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	48
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Lake - 0149 - Round Lake Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	95
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	64
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	54
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	71
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	71
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	57
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0