Duval County Public Schools

Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| r dipose and Gatime of the on | |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 12 |
| Planning for Improvement | 17 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 24 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 24 |

Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School

3501 WINTON DR, Jacksonville, FL 32208

http://www.duvalschools.org/sallyebmathis

Demographics

Principal: Jaime Johnson

Start Date for this Principal: 1/14/2014

| | - |
|---|---|
| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: D (39%) 2017-18: C (49%) 2016-17: D (34%) 2015-16: C (53%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | Cassandra Brusca |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | CS&I |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F | or more information, click here. |
| | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 6 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 12 |
| Planning for Improvement | 17 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 24 |

Last Modified: 5/7/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 27

Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School

3501 WINTON DR, Jacksonville, FL 32208

http://www.duvalschools.org/sallyebmathis

School Demographics

| School Type and Gr (per MSID F | | 2019-20 Title I School | Disadvan | O Economically staged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Elementary S PK-5 | chool | | 100% | | | | | | | |
| Primary Servio (per MSID F | • • | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) | | | | | | | |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 99% | | | | | | |
| School Grades Histo | ry | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 | | | | | | |
| Grade | D | D | С | D | | | | | | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

At Sallye B. Mathis Elementary, our mission is to engage students in relevant inquiry based investigations of real world topics with a focus on science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Students will be fully engaged and demonstrate their understanding and learning by completing performance based projects and tasks. Students will work on appropriately rigorous content that prepares them for success in college and in the workforce while taking ownership of their learning.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Adkins, Kathleen | Principal | Knowledge of the school system and its organization. Knowledge of applicable laws, rules, policies and procedures. Knowledge of current educational trends and research. Knowledge of personnel evaluation protocol and other personnel procedures. Skill in problem solving, human interaction, and conflict management. Skill in personnel management and supervision techniques. Ability to cooperatively work with personnel at all levels of the organization. Ability to plan, organize and manage multiple tasks and competing priorities. Ability to employ the continuous improvement process for problem solving and managing change. Ability to analyze, interpret, and use data in decision-making. Ability to prepare and manage assigned budget and allocated resources. Ability to communicate effectively orally and in writing. Ability to supervise and support leadership development in others at all levels of the organization. Ability to counsel individuals relative to leadership opportunities and career options. Ability to tolerate high levels of stress. Ability to maintain confidentiality. |
| Harb, Zayna | Instructional Coach | *Communicates general and technical information in a clear informative manner, and assists other professionals in planning and implementing strategies for teachers. *Facilitates dialogue through collegial discussion with the district administrative staff and school personnel. *Assists teachers in identifying trends in school data, in identifying individual student strengths and needs, and in analyzing and formulating school improvement strategies. *Models high quality teaching, observes new teachers in their classrooms and gives feedback to teachers in methodology. *Maintains appropriate documentation of teacher performance and assists teachers in demonstrating competencies. *Helps teachers in curriculum mapping and planning, and gives direction for integration of units and lesson planning. *Assists teachers with professional development in best practices, differentiating instruction and selecting best strategies for learning. *Works with school site mentors and administrators to assist with the development of new teachers. *Keeps a daily accountability log. *Attends coordination and training meetings with other coaches in district. *Assists with the development of site-based professional development for teachers. *Serves as a liaison between assigned schools and district curriculum departments. *Performs related duties as assigned. |
| Smith, Edith | School Counselor | *Provides leadership in the development of a comprehensive guidance program that meets the academic, career and social needs of students *Organizes and schedules time and work assignments to carry out the school |

Name Title

Job Duties and Responsibilities

guidance program.

- *Facilitates academic successes as the student navigates the educational process based on identified goals and abilities of each student.
- *Works with students in individual, group and classroom guidance settings.
- *Demonstrates skills that lead to effective conferencing/counseling with students, parents and other professionals.
- *Identifies and determines appropriate course of action necessary to resolve student academic issues and concerns.
- *Consults with administrators, teachers, parents, and other agencies involved in meeting student needs.
- *Participates in parent-teacher conferences, upon request, to interpret information, describe behavior, and develop plans leading to student success.
- *Has primary responsibility for the preparation, accuracy utilization, interpretation and security of student educational records.
- *Initiates a program of articulation, transition between sending and receiving schools and cooperates with administrators, teachers and other counselors in the implementation of program.
- *Provides leadership for the school's Problem Solving Method and Response to Intervention
- *Process to develop educational plans for students being considered for modifications or accommodations
- *Coordinates, when appropriate, referrals to Student Services District Office for evaluation or other relevant services
- *Coordinates, when appropriate, referrals to outside agencies for counseling or other necessary services.
- *Participates in multi-disciplinary team staffing for students being considered for exceptional student education programs or for 504 accommodations.
- *Provides a variety of materials on education, career awareness and personalsocial development for students, teachers, and parents
- *Provides leadership with school staff and students in crisis, grief and loss situations.
- *Assists with other school personnel in the coordination of standardized test programs sponsored by the school, county, and state.
- *Utilizes test results/scores and interprets them to the school staff, parents, and students.
- *Gathers information on and coordinates the use of community services agencies and programs available to assist students.
- *Plays an active role in the school improvement process.
- *Works with teams to facilitate compromise and consensus building to meet student needs.
- *Assists the principal and staff in the evaluation of the guidance services being rendered and provides data which serves as the basis for recommending changes within the school program.
- *Is knowledgeable regarding the legal responsibilities of the profession.
- *Stays current regarding professional practices.
- *Performs related duties as assigned.

Miller, Abigail Coach

Instructional *Communicates general and technical information in a clear informative manner, and assists other professionals in planning and implementing

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | strategies for teachers. *Facilitates dialogue through collegial discussion with the district administrative staff and school personnel. *Assists teachers in identifying trends in school data, in identifying individual student strengths and needs, and in analyzing and formulating school improvement strategies. *Models high quality teaching, observes new teachers in their classrooms and gives feedback to teachers in methodology. *Maintains appropriate documentation of teacher performance and assists teachers in demonstrating competencies. *Helps teachers in curriculum mapping and planning, and gives direction for integration of units and lesson planning. *Assists teachers with professional development in best practices, differentiating instruction and selecting best strategies for learning. *Works with school site mentors and administrators to assist with the development of new teachers. *Keeps a daily accountability log. *Attends coordination and training meetings with other coaches in district. *Assists with the development of site-based professional development for teachers. *Serves as a liaison between assigned schools and district curriculum department. |
| Johnson, Jaime | Assistant Principal | Knowledge of applicable laws, rules, policies and procedures. Knowledge of current educational trends and research. Knowledge of personnel evaluation protocol and other personnel procedures. Skill in problem solving, human interaction, and conflict management. Skill in personnel management and supervision techniques. Ability to cooperatively work with personnel at all levels of the organization. Ability to plan, organize and manage multiple tasks and competing priorities. Ability to employ the continuous improvement process for problem solving and managing change. Ability to analyze, interpret, and use data in decision-making. Ability to communicate effectively orally and in writing. Ability to supervise and support leadership development in others at all levels of the organization. Ability to tolerate high levels of stress. Ability to maintain confidentiality |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 1/14/2014, Jaime Johnson

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

5

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

33

Demographic Data

| 2020-21 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| | 2018-19: D (39%) |
| | 2017-18: C (49%) |
| School Grades History | 2016-17: D (34%) |
| | 2015-16: C (53%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Ir | nformation* |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | Cassandra Brusca |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | CS&I |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Co | de. For more information, click here. |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 41 | 66 | 63 | 61 | 86 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/30/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|--|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 51 | 48 | 58 | 76 | 47 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 20 | 14 | 22 | 12 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 3 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 51 | 48 | 58 | 76 | 47 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 20 | 14 | 22 | 12 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 3 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | Le | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|----|-------------|---|----|----|----|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | | | | | | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Component | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | | |
| ELA Achievement | 39% | 50% | 57% | 23% | 49% | 55% | | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 41% | 56% | 58% | 32% | 56% | 57% | | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 32% | 50% | 53% | 35% | 54% | 52% | | |
| Math Achievement | 53% | 62% | 63% | 42% | 62% | 61% | | |
| Math Learning Gains | 47% | 63% | 62% | 40% | 63% | 61% | | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 30% | 52% | 51% | 36% | 54% | 51% | | |
| Science Achievement | 28% | 48% | 53% | 30% | 50% | 51% | | |

| | EWS Indi | cators as | Input Ea | rlier in the | e Survey | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------|-----|-------|
| Indicator | | Grade | Level (pri | or year re | oorted) | | Total |
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | iotai |
| | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 31% | 51% | -20% | 58% | -27% |
| | 2018 | 30% | 50% | -20% | 57% | -27% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 1% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | Cohort Comparison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 36% | 52% | -16% | 58% | -22% |
| | 2018 | 44% | 49% | -5% | 56% | -12% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -8% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 6% | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 32% | 50% | -18% | 56% | -24% |
| | 2018 | 35% | 51% | -16% | 55% | -20% |
| Same Grade C | Same Grade Comparison | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -12% | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 81% | 61% | 20% | 62% | 19% |
| | 2018 | 55% | 59% | -4% | 62% | -7% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 26% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | Cohort Comparison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 42% | 64% | -22% | 64% | -22% |
| | 2018 | 34% | 60% | -26% | 62% | -28% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 8% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -13% | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 39% | 57% | -18% | 60% | -21% |
| | 2018 | 42% | 61% | -19% | 61% | -19% |
| Same Grade C | Same Grade Comparison | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 5% | | | | |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 23% | 49% | -26% | 53% | -30% | | | | | |

| | | | SCIENCE | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| | 2018 | 32% | 56% | -24% | 55% | -23% |
| Same Grade C | Same Grade Comparison | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | | | | | | |

Subgroup Data

| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 34 | 29 | 33 | 38 | 32 | 26 | 29 | | | | |
| BLK | 39 | 41 | 33 | 54 | 48 | 29 | 27 | | | | |
| FRL | 35 | 40 | 32 | 52 | 47 | 33 | 24 | | | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 47 | 48 | 58 | 49 | 38 | 25 | 36 | | | | |
| BLK | 45 | 59 | 63 | 51 | 47 | 46 | 33 | | | | |
| FRL | 44 | 59 | 65 | 50 | 47 | 43 | 34 | | | | |
| | | 2017 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 4 | 17 | | 26 | 39 | | | | | | |
| BLK | 21 | 30 | 35 | 41 | 38 | 36 | 28 | | | | |
| FRL | 21 | 33 | 36 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 32 | | | | |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | CS&I |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 39 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | YES |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 3 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 270 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 7 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |

| Subgroup Data | |
|--|-----|
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 32 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 39 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |

| Desifie Jelander Ctudente | |
|--|-----|
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 38 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

In 2018-2019, the Reading Learning Gains and Least Performing Quartile was concerning. We dropped in Proficiency but not as significant as the other areas of Reading. That year we focused more time on whole group standards based instruction and did not balance our centers and small group instruction like we did in previous years. Last year (2019-2020), we managed to balance our centers and small group with other interventions. We saw an increase in growth with our students. We plan to continue that into the 2020-2021 school year.

Also the trend data the past few years shows a continuous decline from 3rd Math Proficiency to 4th Math Proficiency. Last year (2019-2020) we moved the high performing 3rd grade Math teacher to 4th to try and break that cycle. This was done to hold our Proficiency kids where they were and to increase Learning gains.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The Lowest Performing Quartile in Reading during the 2018-2019 School Year had a 30 point drop. That year we had transition with our VE Teacher. She had received another position and we filled it with someone else. This impacted our kids because they had a close relationship with the VE teacher that had moved. The new VE teacher struggled with management and relationships. This past school year (2019-2020), we had more stability with our VE teachers. Instead, case loads and scheduling were definitely a challenge. This upcoming school year we designed the Master Schedule around the VE teachers. This way we can help with managing case loads and building in that scheduled time.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

According to the 2018-2019 data, our biggest gaps are 5th grade Science and 3rd grade Reading. That year we made a 1% increase in 3rd grade Reading but the gap is the same from the year prior when we made a double digit gain in reading. We had many students who came in that year that were multiple grade levels behind. We used many interventions that year to get them where they needed to be, but it wasn't enough to close the entire gap. We felt confident with what they could show in 4th grade during the 2019-2020 school year. All data points we had showed they were making progress towards proficiency and learning gains for the 2019-2020 school year. In Science that year, we dropped 8 points in Proficiency from the year before as well as increase our gap with the state. That year our 5th grade Science teacher was out on Maternity Leave for 3 months so that impacted instruction, even though my Assistant Principal jumped in and helped teach. This past school year (2019-2020), we did things a little differently. We had each teacher teach Science to their own homeroom. They integrated reading strategies into to content and it showed students having a better understanding on the content.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Math Proficiency increased by 2%, which was our highest Math Proficiency ever. That year was our first year utilizing Acaletics. This assisted with spiral back and building Pre-Requisite Knowledge. This past school year we were showing even more growth with math and the Acaletics Pre-Post Assessments.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

My most concern then and still now is our proficiency levels and the learning gains of our ESE students. We dropped in Proficiency and trying to get the on grade level is a priority. Small group instruction and scaffolding is important. Building our Master Schedule around VE teachers I believe will help with targeted instruction.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Progress Monitoring
- 2. Small Group Instruction
- 3. Standards-based Instruction
- 4. Interventions for each child- keep the groups flexible
- 5. Data Chats for teachers and students

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of Focus
Description and Rationale:

The primary role of the supplemental Assistant Principal is to provide additional administrative instructional support to content areas. Assist with teachers of students who are in a state assessed grade and/or course. Will provide content teachers with direct Instructional support and corrective feedback focused on increasing student achievement, closing the achievement gap in tested grades; Will serve Assistant Principal grade level ELA, Math, and Science Learning communities. Monitors success of all students in the learning environment, ensure alignment of the curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes to promote effective student performance, and support the effective use of benchmarks, learning and expectations, feedback measures to instructional staff to ensure accountability for all participants engaged in the educational process. Support & promote positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; coaching instructional staff in application of best practice for increasing student growth

Measurable Outcome:

In ELA, Math, Science, the school will see student gains increase by at least 5%. While increasing student gains, the school will work to have more proficient students simultaneously.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Deidra Johnson (johnsond2@duvalschools.org)

Evidencebased Strategy: As stated in Leadership Content Knowledge (2003), "We go on to suggest that all administrators have solid mastery of at least one subject (and the learning and teaching of it) and that they develop expertise in other subjects by "postholing," that is, conducting indepth explorations of an important but bounded slice of the subject, how it is learned, and how it is taught. We conclude with an exploration of how content knowledge and leadership knowledge might be intertwined and suggestions for further research." https://www.jstor.org/stable/3699585?seq=1

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Content specialist are non-administrative, peer to peer support staff who can provided jobembedded professional learning and coaching support. Although effective in instilling credibility with peer's groups, peer coaches cannot provide any evaluative or summative direction or feedback, therefore any necessary teacher professional growth planning and/or progressive action that requires more intensive action must be observed, documented, and supported by administrative staff only.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Support the school's academic leadership development and professional learning (assistant principals, coaches, interventionist, instructional leads) to ensure the success of all students in the learning environment;
- 2. Serves as Assistant Principal of grade level ELA, Math, and Science learning communities;
- 3. Support and promote a positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; and coaching instructional staff in the application of best practices for increasing student learning, especially in the area of reading, mathematics, and other foundational skills

Person Responsible

Deidra Johnson (johnsond2@duvalschools.org)

#2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Referral data increased with primary students and in areas of transition for all students. Some of our PBIS plan that had worked in years past was not working this year. Based off observations and our 5 Essentials Survey, Collective Responsibility was one of our lowest areas. The changes and the school grade put teachers and staff in defense mode and morale was low. We lacked togetherness for the first time ever. We had 5 teachers visit Ron Clark Academy in February and brought back ideas for us to implement this school year.

Measurable Outcome: 90% of all students and staff will take a collective responsibility in the school and everyone that is a part of the community. Resulting in a 20% decrease in referrals from 2019-2020 to 2020-2021 school year.

Person responsible

for

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-based
Strategy:

Implement a House System that all students and staff are a part of. House System will be based around 4 Houses and the Essential 55.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Strategy: If students and staff buy into the house that they are a part of then they will use that to motivate themselves and each other to be better and to create a more positive learning community.

ategy.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Sort all teachers and staff into one of the 4 houses during the Summer. House Ceremony will be during Pre-Planning. Hype video to get buy in.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

2. Sort all students in grades PreK-5th grade by late August. Hype video to get buy in

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

All houses will participate in house challenges, house meetings, service projects to earn points for their house, as well as earning points from the Essential 55. House points will be tracked through the Live School App.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

4. Each nine weeks a House Cup Champion based off the points earned and tracked through the Live School App.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

5. Send additional teachers to Ron Clark during the 2020-2021 school year so that they can bring additional ideas to enhance the house system

Person

Responsible Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

6. House Field Trip to Medieval Times to experience the correlation between house systems then and now.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

#3. Leadership specifically relating to Specific Teacher Feedback

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Last school year, we spent time walking classrooms and utilizing the Standards Walkthrough Tool, but I missed opportunities for feedback. Teachers felt as though they would receive visits but not feedback to truly help their practice. According to the 5 Essentials Survey, we saw a decrease in Instructional Leadership, especially in providing more individual feedback to teachers. According to the data, we saw a 26% drop (65% to 39%) in strongly agree.

Measurable Outcome:

95% of our teachers will receive individual quality feedback weekly.

Person responsible

for Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based Strategy: Providing feedback to teachers weekly around a specific look-for to help improve instruction.

Rationale

for Evidencebased

If individual, meaningful feedback is given to teachers to help improve instruction then student learning and proficiency will increase.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

1. Create an observation calendar for the week

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

2. Walkthrough 4-5 classes with intent to give specific feedback to teacher

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

3. Send feedback email or meet with teacher specifically

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

4. Provide next steps

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

5. Follow up

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Small Group Instruction

Area of **Focus Description** and Rationale:

When I dropped to a D I noticed that our small group and intervention balance was off. We did not use our small group instruction as effectively as we did the year prior. In 2017-2018, we pushed small group instruction and centers to meet kids where they were and push them to the next level. We used it to target standards that needed to be remediated and also as enrichment for students that were exceeding the standard. During the 2018-2019 school year, we implemented an intervention program that took majority of our small group time away due to the time we had and that hindered our ability to continue what we did the year before.

Measurable Outcome:

100% of our students will participate in small group instruction and intervention blocks. 85% of our students will make progress towards grade level standards.

Person responsible for

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Data Analysis of baseline data and intervention placement data to determine small group Evidenceneeds with teacher, In-school Tutor, Reading Coach, Math Coach and Reading and Math Interventionist schedules, along with Blended Learning needs (IReady, Achieves, Reflex

Strategy:

Math)

Rationale for

based

Evidencebased

If students are given interventions and small group standard remediation then learning

gaps will decrease and mastery will increase.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

During Common Planning, baseline data and intervention data will be analyzed

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

Intervention schedules and small group rotations will be determined by using In-school Tutor, Interventionists, Coaches, Teachers, and VE teachers to pull those groups during a rotation.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

3. Planning and pulling additional resources for small groups around standards and interventions will occur during planning with Coaches, Interventionists, and In-school Tutor.

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

Implement centers, small groups, and interventions during school day, before school turtoring, and Saturday School using targeted materials/standards based workbooks. Also incorporate Blended Learning such as IReady, Achieves, and Reflex Math (for fact fluency).

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

5. Analyze student work and progress monitoring assessments for next steps

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

Data chats with Admin

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

#5. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of **Focus** Description and Rationale:

We are currently a D school based off the 2018-2019 school grade data. We saw a decrease in Proficiency, Learning Gains, and LPQ. As a result we had a lot of transition last year with teachers going to different grade levels and even content areas. The focus last year was learning content and delivering instruction efficiently. According to the 5 Essentials Survey, we saw the biggest decrease in Quality Professional Development and Collaborative Practices due to going back to basic planning strategies.

Measurable Outcome:

95% of our teachers will engage in effective standards based planning procedures and analyze progress monitoring data for continued next steps (student work, assessment data, etc).

Person responsible

for Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based Planning lessons that are standards based with a grade level aligned task.

Strategy: Rationale

for Evidence-

If students are exposed to standards based instruction and aligned task then they will be successful on the state assessment and also mastering grade level standards.

based Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

1. During Common Planning and Scheduled Saturday PD sessions, meet with coaches to look at standard being taught and possible sub standards as well. Create a Learning Arc to map out teaching of the standard. Teachers will also go in-depth with Learning Arcs, Standards-based instruction, and Assessment building.

Person Responsible

Jaime Johnson (johnsonj@duvalschools.org)

2. With the help of the coach, pull resources needed to plan and that are grade level aligned.

Person

Jaime Johnson (johnsonj@duvalschools.org) Responsible

3. Lessons are planned during Common Planning with the Coach that are standards based and met Item Specs and Achievement Level Descriptors. More in-depth understanding of arcs, standards, and assessment is learned in Saturday PD sessions.

Person

Jaime Johnson (johnsonj@duvalschools.org) Responsible

Teacher delivers the created lesson- walkthrough is done to give feedback on alignment from Common Planning and what was learned in PD sessions

Person Responsible

Kathleen Adkins (johnsonk5@duvalschools.org)

5. Student work is analyzed and next steps are planned.

Person

Jaime Johnson (johnsonj@duvalschools.org) Responsible

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

_

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

See Parent Involvement Plan

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction | | | \$75,934.24 | |
|--|----------|--|--|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
| | 7300 | 110-Administrators | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | 0.5 | \$36,937.02 |
| 1 / 300 1 10-Administrators 36 937 | | polemental assistant content area teachers in a tiered level of Innovation and all to: -Provide eck focused on ted grades; -The rel ELA, Math, and rews; -To ensure romote effective enting, and the staff to ensure the staff to ensure content of identified ent achievement and | | | | |

Last Modified: 5/7/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 24 of 27

| 7300 | 210-Retirement | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$3,693.73 |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Retirement | t at 10.00% | |
| 7300 | 220-Social Security | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$2,825.70 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Social Sec | urity at 7.65 | % |
| 7300 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$6,478.59 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Group Hea | olth at 17.54 | % |
| 7300 | 232-Life Insurance | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$7.39 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | pal Benefits Life Insura | nce at .02% | |
| 7300 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$347.21 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | pal Benefits Workers C | ompensatio | n at .94% |
| 7300 | 290-Other Employee Benefits | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$199.46 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Benefits Fl | ex Medical | at .54% |
| 7300 | 110-Administrators | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | 0.25 | \$18,469.00 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip newly added layer of support for the seprincipal is to provide additional admin of students who are in a state assessed needed support. The supplemental AFS School Improvement Region Execution content teachers with direct Instruction increasing student achievement and content supplemental Assistant Principal will a Science Professional learning communalignment of the curriculum, instruction student performance; and -Support the expectationsProvide direct feedback accountability for all participants engage a positive learning culture through jobinstructional staff in the application of its standards mastery. Yes, this is a new Westview K8. | chool. The primary role instrative instructional set grade and/or course will work in coordinate Directors and the Scinal support and correct losing the achievement also serve as the lead on it is based upon schoor, and assessment prote effective use of bence measures to identified ged in the educational embedded, real time pubest practices for incre | e of the support to contain the support to contain the support to | plemental assistant partent area teachers in a tiered level of Innovation and all to: -Provide ik focused on ted grades; -The el ELA, Math, and iews; -To ensure romote effective rrning, and all staff to ensure upport and promote ching of identified int achievement and |
| 7300 | 210-Retirement | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$1,846.86 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Retirement | t at 10.00% | |
| 7300 | 220-Social Security | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$1,412.85 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Social Sec | urity at 7.65 | % |
| 7300 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$3,239.40 |
| | <u> </u> | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | pal Benefits Group Hea | olth at 17.54 | % |
| 7300 | 232-Life Insurance | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$3.69 |
| | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | pal Benefits Life Insura | nce at .02% | |

| | 7300 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$173.61 |
|---|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Workers Co | ompensatio | n at .94% |
| | 7300 | 290-Other Employee Benefits | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$99.73 |
| | • | | Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip | oal Benefits Benefits Fl | ex Medical a | at .54% |
| | 7300 | 330-Travel | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$200.00 |
| | • | | Notes: In county travel for Supplemen | tal Assistant Principal | | |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture & E Supports | nvironment: Positive Behavio | or Intervention an | d | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Leadership: | Specific Teacher Feedback | | | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructiona | Il Practice: Small Group Instru | uction | | \$84,260.15 |
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
| | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$56,001.00 |
| | | | pull-out students form small group ins Bachelor's degree from an accredited continuous teaching experience in ma Salary is based on verified years of te Department of Education Certification interventionist; This is a content speci opportunity to provide students the ne students will receive assistance in rea candidate who was with us last year, be funded by UniSIG (100%). Different however the employee had a later sta | college or university. Enthematics. Experience aching experience. Cer in Elementary Education in Elementary Education in Elementary Education on maching proficient commonwill continue to fulfill the ince in Salary: This is the | experience: working with working with working with the working the working astery of old on core skilled duties about a same emperies. | Three years of the at-risk students. It is clicenses: Florida Elementary that will provide the objectives. Identify s. If approved, the live. This position will boloyee as last year, |
| | 5100 | 210-Retirement | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$5,600.10 |
| | i | | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits R | Petirement at 10.00% | | |
| | 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$4,284.06 |
| | | | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits S | ocial Security at 7.65% | , | |
| | 5100 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$9,822.53 |
| | | | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits G | iroup Health at 17.54% | | |
| | 5100 | 232-Life Insurance | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$11.20 |
| | | | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits L | ife Insurance at .02% | | |
| | 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$526.41 |
| | | | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits W | Vorkers Compensation | at 94% | |
| | 5100 | 290-Other Employee Benefits | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | | \$302.40 |

Duval - 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School - 2020-21 SIP

| | | Notes: Supplies, paper, composition instruction as well as small group. | books, crayons, pencils for stude | ns during core |
|------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | \$2,212.45 |
| | | Notes: Nearpod School License incluthousands of ready to run lessons. A for all teachers and students. | | |
| 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0911 - Sallye B. Mathis Elementary School | UniSIG | \$5,500.00 |
| | , | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits | Benefits Flex Medical at .54% | |