

Duval County Public Schools

Gateway Community Services



2022-23 Ungraded Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the Ungraded SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	10
R.A.I.S.E	0
Positive Culture & Environment	13

Gateway Community Services

3747 BELFORT RD, Jacksonville, FL 32216

<http://www.duvalschools.org/>

Demographics

Principal: Edward Robinson H

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2014

2021-22 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Function (per accountability file)	
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	25%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating	2023-24: No Rating

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

A Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) is a requirement for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) ungraded schools pursuant to 1001.42 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and for DJJ schools receiving a rating of Unsatisfactory pursuant to Sections 1003.51 and 1003.52, F.S. and Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

CSI schools can be designated as such in 2 ways:

1. Have a graduation of 67% or lower; or
2. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

DJJ Unsatisfactory Ratings are based on percentages by program type:

- Prevention and Intervention: 0%-50%
- Nonsecure Programs: 0%-59%
- Secure Programs: 0%-53%

SIP Plans for Ungraded CSI schools and DJJ schools receiving an Unsatisfactory rating must be approved by the district and reviewed by the state.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) provides schools and Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) the opportunity to identify the academic and priority goals along with strategies for each school. School leadership teams may refine their SIP annually to define their school's academic and priority goals to increase student achievement.

Schools and LEAs are strongly encouraged to collaborate in the development and implementation of this plan.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To establish a highly academic environment that will foster the academic success of all students while participating in a Substance Abuse Program or Neglected and at risk program. This will ensure that every student is provided educational excellence in every school, in every classroom, for every student, everyday.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Students will be provided a safe and nurturing community so that every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or career, and life.

Briefly discuss the population unique to your school and the specific supports provided to meet the mission and vision.

Gateway Community Center provides continuous care to adolescents who live at the Boys Recovery Center or Girls Recovery Center. Youth participate in 12 Step recovery programs, such as group and individual counseling sessions as well as in 12 Step meetings and activities. A youth's length of stay at our Gateway facility depends upon his or her specific needs. The residential treatment services includes the Duval County Public School Youth Development program on campus. The education program is custom designed to meet each students academic needs.

DCPS officials work directly with the student's school prior to enrollment into the residential program and continuing through the process until the student is ready to return to traditional school environment. This ensures no interruption in the student's education while in treatment.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Robinson, Edward	Principal	The Principal implements Instructional and operational leadership. This includes progress monitoring, teacher evaluations and instructional walkthrough. Community involvement meetings with all stakeholders is scheduled monthly along with bi-weekly leadership team meetings.
Copeland, Kimberly	Assistant Principal	Create and support an environment within the school that is conducive to teaching and learning by implementing the school curriculum and monitor student achievement. Monitor and support the overall academic progression of the program.
Bell, Faye	School Counselor	Student resource and academic support. This includes credit checks, transcript evaluations and regular academic monitoring for students in the program.

Is education provided through contract for educational services?

No

If yes, name of the contracted education provider.

N/A

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 7/1/2014, Edward Robinson H

Total number of students enrolled at the school.

4

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school.

4

Number of teachers with professional teaching certificates?

4

Number of teachers with temporary teaching certificates?

0

Number of teachers with ESE certification?

1

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

0

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year.

0

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

2022-23
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/25/2022

2021-22 - Updated**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	2	0	11
Attendance below 90 percent		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
One or more suspensions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	4
Course failure in ELA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2022			2021			2019		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement		45%	51%					47%	56%
ELA Learning Gains								48%	51%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile								42%	42%
Math Achievement		37%	38%					51%	51%
Math Learning Gains								52%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile								47%	45%
Science Achievement		43%	40%					65%	68%
Social Studies Achievement		53%	48%					70%	73%

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	0%	44%	-44%	52%	-52%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2022					
	2019	0%	49%	-49%	56%	-56%
Cohort Comparison		0%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019	0%	47%	-47%	54%	-54%
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	67%	-67%	67%	-67%
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	68%	-68%	70%	-70%
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	57%	-57%	61%	-61%
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	61%	-61%	57%	-57%

Subgroup Data Review

2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18

ESSA Data Review

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

Reflect on the Areas of Focus from the previous school year. What progress monitoring was in place related to the Areas of Focus?

The ELA data showed the lowest performance. The contributing factors include but not limited to multiple grade levels behind; inappropriate behaviors; juvenile delinquency; and substance abuse.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Reading and promotion and graduation rates showed the most improvement in our programs. When students are enrolled they get a credit check within 3 days of enrollment. The student is then enrolled in all classes that will work toward graduation requirements only. (No enrollment in classes that can't assist

in meeting graduation requirement)

What area is in the greatest need of improvement? What specific component of this area is most problematic? What is your basis (data, progress monitoring) for this conclusion?

The ELA data showed the lowest performance based on the data from the District PMA (Progressing Monitoring Assessments). The contributing factors include but not limited to multiple grade levels behind; inappropriate behaviors; substance abuse, and juvenile delinquency.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Decreased attention span in the classroom and low reading performance.

What strategies need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Provide students with more engaging instruction and activities. Facilitate learning versus teaching to include more blended learning opportunities. Increase reading time during the school day and make good use of the time. Provide books at the right level and books that are of interest to students to build fluency

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided to support teachers and leaders.

1. Professional development will be provided to support student engagement and differentiation.
2. Quarterly Professional Development will be provided to assist teachers in cross-curricular lesson plan development.
3. Teachers will incorporate the four (4) pillars of instruction, (Full engagement, rigorous content, student ownership, and demonstration of student learning), with an emphasis on student engagement.
4. Professional Development will be used to development multiple strategies for lesson plan development.
5. In-service days will be used for teacher collaboration and provide the opportunity for teachers to learn differentiation strategies used in various classrooms.

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Differentiation of instruction in Math and Reading will be the areas of focus for the upcoming school year. Differentiated classrooms respond to student variety in readiness levels, interests, and learning profiles. If this area improve so will student achievement. It allows all students to be successful.

The Rationale: Teachers have multiple preparations of subject area content;

Example: M/J Math, Algebra 1, Algebra 2, and Geometry are taught simultaneously

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The measurable outcomes will be shown by improved Math and ELA gains on district PMA and state EOC assessments, and improved promotion rate.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

District Progress Monitoring Assessments

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Kimberly Copeland (copelandk@duvalschools.org)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Teachers will use the District provided curriculum including but not limited to the blended learning platforms to enhance the instructional outcomes of the students. Curriculum mapping, inquiry-based learning, and rubrics will also be implemented.

Due to the high number of course preparations by each teacher, these researched based strategies will assist in the differentiation of instruction for all the teachers. These strategy will ensure that all students are provided with state aligned subject area content daily. Students vary in culture, socioeconomic status, language, gender, motivation, ability/disability, learning styles, personal interests and more, and teachers must be aware of these varieties as they plan in accordance with the curricula. By considering varied learning needs, teachers can develop lessons and provide instruction so that all students in the classroom can learn effectively.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

Monitoring ESSA Impact:

If this Area of Focus is not related to one or more ESSA subgroups, please describe the process for progress monitoring the impact of the Area of Focus as it relates to all ESSA subgroups not meeting the 41% threshold according to the Federal Index.

1. Professional development to support student engagement and differentiation.
2. Quarterly Professional Development will be provided to assist teachers in cross-curricular lesson plan development.
3. Teachers will incorporate the four (4) pillars of instruction, (Full engagement, rigorous content, student ownership, and demonstration of student learning), with

an emphasis on student engagement.

4. Early Dismissal Professional Development will be used to development multiple strategies for lesson plan development.

5. Early dismissal days will be used for teacher collaboration and provide the opportunity for teachers to learn differentiation strategies used in various classrooms.

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment is critical in supporting sustainable schoolwide improvement initiatives. When schools implement a shared focus on improving school culture and environment, students are more likely to engage academically. A positive school culture and environment can also increase staff satisfaction and retention.

Select a targeted element from the menu to develop a system or process to be implemented for schoolwide improvement related to positive culture and environment.

Other

Describe how data will be collected and analyzed to guide decision making related to the selected target.

Gateway Community Services uses a multi-tiered approach to building a positive school environment for the students. The aligned behavior modification plan that is used by the facility is also used to promote positive school culture in the classroom. Students can earn points from school that are added to the points they earn from the facility, and with the points students can earn extra recreation time, additional snacks, and rewards. The school also recognizes student academic achievements and positive behavior with awards. This approach has lead to improved participation among the boys and girls in the program. The facility and the school has an outstanding working relationship and it is evident by the increased student engagement and improved classroom behaviors.

Describe how the target area, related data and resulting action steps will be communicated to stakeholders.

Gateway Community Services staff will communicate to stakeholders through city & community special events.

Describe how implementation will be progress monitored.

This will be monitored by the instructional leadership team during monthly PLC meetings.

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Action Step	Person Responsible for Monitoring
Teachers will use the district provided curriculum including but not limited to the blended learning platforms to enhance the instructional outcomes of the students. Curriculum mapping, inquiry-based learning, and the PLATO instructional software will also be implemented.	Copeland, Kimberly, copelandk@duvalschools.org