

2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Duval - 0801 - San Pablo Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

# San Pablo Elementary School

801 18TH AVE N, Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250

http://www.duvalschools.org/sanpablo

Demographics

## Principal: Jennifer Brown

Start Date for this Principal: 9/30/2019

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	45%
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2021-22: A (67%) 2018-19: A (77%) 2017-18: A (70%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	ATSI
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Duval - 0801 - San Pablo Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

## San Pablo Elementary School

801 18TH AVE N, Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250

### http://www.duvalschools.org/sanpablo

**School Demographics** 

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2021-22 Title I Schoo	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S KG-5	chool	No		45%
<b>Primary Servio</b> (per MSID F	••	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		28%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year Grade	<b>2021-22</b> A	2020-21	<b>2019-20</b> A	<b>2018-19</b> A
School Board Appro	val			

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

### School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement.

Through rigorous, standards-based instruction and curriculum, as well as an engaging Science, Health and Fitness magnet program. San Pablo ensures student performance and the success of each learner.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

San Pablo Elementary School is dedicated to providing a healthy, productive learning environment that nurtures the academic, physical, and social growth of all students.

### School Leadership Team

### Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Brown, Jennifer	Principal	
Seybert, Jillian	Instructional Coach	
Atlee, Whitney	School Counselor	
Grause, Nikki	Assistant Principal	

### **Demographic Information**

### **Principal start date**

Monday 9/30/2019, Jennifer Brown

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

# Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 27

Total number of students enrolled at the school

517

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. 3

### **Demographic Data**

### Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l –				Total
muicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator	icator Grade Level														
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Saturday 7/30/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gra	ade	) L	eve	əl				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	l				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	l				Tetal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indiantar	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	82	93	83	74	82	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	491
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sobool Grade Component		2022			2021			2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	69%	50%	56%				75%	50%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	62%						75%	56%	58%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	51%						68%	50%	53%		
Math Achievement	80%	48%	50%				88%	62%	63%		
Math Learning Gains	68%						78%	63%	62%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	62%						76%	52%	51%		
Science Achievement	80%	59%	59%				82%	48%	53%		

### Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparisor
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison					
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	68%	51%	17%	58%	10%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
04	2022					
	2019	69%	52%	17%	58%	11%
Cohort Comparison		-68%			· ·	
05	2022					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2019	84%	50%	34%	56%	28%
Cohort Comparison		-69%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparisor
01	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison				•	
02	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
03	2022					
	2019	92%	61%	31%	62%	30%
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
04	2022					
	2019	79%	64%	15%	64%	15%
Cohort Co	mparison	-92%			• • •	
05	2022					
	2019	90%	57%	33%	60%	30%
Cohort Co	mparison	-79%	<b>I</b>		· ·	

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2022					
	2019	82%	49%	33%	53%	29%
Cohort Com	iparison				· · ·	

## Subgroup Data Review

	2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21		
SWD	23	42	56	48	59	58	53						
ELL	29	55		47	36								
ASN	100			100									
BLK	25	30		38	55								
HSP	32	42		52	47	45	45						
MUL	73												
WHT	75	67	50	86	69	75	88						
FRL	39	37	47	60	51	48	58						

		2021	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
SWD	35			58			50				
ELL	33			53							
BLK	38			31							
HSP	44			52							
WHT	77	75	69	88	71		76				
FRL	50	57		59	60		56				
		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	32	64	69	82	80	85	50				
ELL	55			73							
BLK	63	90		69	90						
HSP	63	81		83	81		55				
WHT	79	74	67	90	77	74	88				
FRL	65	64	53	80	70	79	65				

### ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	69
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	82
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	554
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	48
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	50
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	100
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	37
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	49
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	73
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	73
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Duval - 0801 - San Pablo Elementary School - 2022-23 SIP

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	53
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

### What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

There was a slight dip in Proficiency from the 2020-2021 school year in Language Arts. There was a slight increase in the Lowest Performing Quartile Gains from the previous year.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

Language Arts Gains dropped 6 points from the previous year.

# What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

While we were still an A School, we saw a need to continue to improve proficiency in ELA. Students have had a challenging few years and we were continuing to try to fill in the gaps. One class started off with a substitute, this may have impacted their learning early in the year.

# What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

We were able to improve in Science by almost 10 points. Our Learning Gains in Math were an improvement, particularly in our Lowest Performing Quartile. This are grew by 12 points.

# What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

An increase in Learning Gains and lowest quartile gains for Math. The actions that the school took for improving in this area were administration and teachers identifying students as early as possible to implement appropriate interventions and monitor those students throughout the school year. In addition, we added an Instructional Coach that provided additional interventions. We were able to hire a part time Math Tutor to work with specific students.

### What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Additional Small group work in the Language Arts Classroom, Targeted interventions from our Instructional Interventionist. Common Planning to really delve into the new Benchmarks and and the new Curriculum Tools that we have received. Teachers will work collaboratively to discuss student work and ensure alignment. Teachers will continue to differentiate instruction to meet the individualized needs of students.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

We will participate in Early Release Training each month. Teachers will collaborate in PLC's and Common Planning. We have 3 novice teachers, we will meet monthly as a group in addition to the regularly scheduled meetings for all teachers.

# Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

The Instructional Coach position has shifted to an Interventionist position to better meet the needs of students.

### Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

### **#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA**

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	Going into the 2021-2022 school year a main focus for San Pan Elementary School, was to maintain overall proficiency scores. This will continue to be an area of focus. We had a slight dip this year. We also will be adopting new Benchmarks and a new Curriculum.
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	San Pablo will focus on overall ELA Reading proficiency on the FAST Assessment.
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	This will be monitored with the FAST Assessment that is given three times a year. We will monitor other forms of student data as well as student grades. It will also be monitored with regular classroom observations by leadership.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Jennifer Brown (ossij1@duvalschools.org)
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	Whole group classroom explicit instruction and gradual release model Small group teacher led instruction One on One instruction (intervention) Research Based Interventions that are appropriate to the individual student's area of focus
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.	These strategies have been proven beneficial in the overall instruction and learning at San Pablo Elementary School and serves as the foundation for student growth and success.

### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Reading diagnostic and growth check data and Achieve3000 lexiles and level set data (Tier

1). Follow district ELA curriculum guide recommendations.

2. VE teachers will continue to push in with students that they are servicing (Tier 2).

Designated times during ELA instruction pull their students for a small pull out reading intervention session.

3. Implement small group reading pull outs using Phonics for Reading Curriculum for students whose baseline data indicates they are reading at a grade K or 1 level based on I-Ready or Waterford Reading fall diagnostic (Tier 3)

Person Responsible	Nikki Grause (grausen@duvalschools.org)
No description entered	
Person Responsible	[no one identified]

### #2. -- Select below -- specifically relating to Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. [no one Person responsible for monitoring outcome: identified] **Evidence-based Strategy:** Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus. **Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:** Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

### #3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.	We are moving forward with new Benchmarks, new curriculum and new State Tests.
Measurable Outcome: State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.	100 % of Content Core Teachers will successfully engage in collaborative conversations about the new Benchmarks and new Curriculum Tools.
Monitoring: Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.	This will be monitored thought PLC's and Common Planning.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Jennifer Brown (ossij1@duvalschools.org)
Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.	Teacher Teams will collaborate to delve into the new Benchmarks and Item Specifications to ensure quality alignment in instruction.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/ criteria used for selecting this strategy.	Delving into this content allows teachers to calibrate expectations for student performance across grade levels.
Action Steps to Implement List the action steps that will be taken as part of th person responsible for monitoring each step.	is strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the

The PLC's will be led by the Principal and the Assistant Principal as we roll out this work. While the Administrative team will stay involved in the collaboration we will gradually release the leading of the meetings to the teachers. This will enable us to continue to build leadership capacity as well as build Teacher to Teacher Trust.

### **Person Responsible**

Jennifer Brown (ossij1@duvalschools.org)

School Leadership will continue regular Walk-throughs and will use the data collected to monitor progress.

### Person Responsible

Jennifer Brown (ossij1@duvalschools.org)

### RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
  Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

### Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

N/A

### Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

N/A

### Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

### Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

N/A

### Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

N/A

### Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

N/A

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Brown, Jennifer, ossij1@duvalschools.org

### **Evidence-based Practices/Programs:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- · Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

#### N/A

### Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- · Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

### N/A

### Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

#### **Action Step**

Person Responsible for Monitoring

N/A

Brown, Jennifer, ossij1@duvalschools.org

### **Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

### Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

San Pablo has many ways that it engages Stakeholders and maintains quality relationships with the community. San Pablo has an active PTA that meets regularly to discuss the vision for our school and ways that the PTA can support that vision and assist in promoting growth for all students and teachers. The PTA plans many off campus Spirit Nights for our families and local businesses to build relationships. We also have many events to encourage our families and the community to join us on campus, these include a Veteran's Day Celebration, Holiday Sing-Along, Book Fairs, Dances, Arts Nights and a Silent Auction. We host a Beach Run each year to encourage our commitment to fitness and the community is invited to participate in the run. We have a quality SAC that meets monthly to discuss the state of the school and how the SAC can best serve our school community. We are associated with a Non Profit organization called the Friends of San Pablo that consistently seeks creative ways to support San Pablo Elementary. We have an active partnership with our Faith Based Partner that has benefited our school in many ways. In the Spring we have a group of Master Gardeners that volunteer for several weeks working specifically with out third graders. We also have retired educators that are regular volunteers at San Pablo.

### Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

School Advisory Council PTA Faith Based Partners Business Partners Friends of San Pablo