

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

# Bridgeprep Academy Of North Miami Beach School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>                                   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>I. School Information</b>                                       | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>                            | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>                               | <b>14</b> |
| <b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>                       | <b>19</b> |
| <b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b> | <b>19</b> |
| <b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>                                    | <b>20</b> |
| <b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>                       | <b>21</b> |

## Bridgeprep Academy Of North Miami Beach

18701 NE 22ND AVENUE, North Miami Beach, FL 33180

[www.aandm.net](http://www.aandm.net)

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

#### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

#### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

#### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections   | Title I Schoolwide Program                                      | Charter Schools        |
|--|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)   |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)   |                        |
| I-E: Early Warning System  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-A-C: Data Review  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring  | ESSA 1114(b)(3)   |                        |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)   |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                        |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements   | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                        |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission at BridgePrep Academy North Miami Beach, in partnership with our stakeholders, is to foster a nurturing and rigorous academic environment that embraces the Spanish culture and language, incorporates innovative technology, and promotes civic responsibility that will prepare students to become lifelong learners and productive citizens in our society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

BridgePrep Academy believes that each child is a unique individual who needs a secure, nurturing and stimulating atmosphere in which to grow and mature emotionally, intellectually, physically, and socially. BridgePrep believes in a student-centered educational philosophy that emphasizes hands on learning and students actively participating in learning. Students will be able to discover through hands on, engaging activities that will incorporate different approaches to accommodate each child's learning style and as a result, raise academic achievement.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

#### School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name            | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Natali, Ana     | Principal      |                                 |
| Aleman, Natalie | Dean           |                                 |

#### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Once a month, a principal's round table meeting is held with parents to discuss ways to better the school. Our school also holds parent informational sessions with our school counselor on best ways to assist students. Teachers also hold data chats with students once a week to discuss academic performance.

#### SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP will be monitored for effective implementation during Common planning times with instructional coaches and teachers.

**Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>2023-24 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
| <b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br>(per MSID File)  | Combination School<br>KG-8  |
| <b>Primary Service Type</b><br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| <b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>   | No  |
| <b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>   | 87%   |
| <b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>   | 55%   |
| <b>Charter School</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>RAISE School</b>  | No  |
| <b>ESSA Identification</b><br>*updated as of 3/11/2024   | ATSI  |
| <b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>  | No  |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities (SWD)*<br>English Language Learners (ELL)<br>Black/African American Students (BLK)<br>Hispanic Students (HSP)<br>White Students (WHT)<br>Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL) |
| <b>School Grades History</b><br>*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.  | 2021-22: A<br><br>2019-20: C<br><br>2018-19: C  |
| <b>School Improvement Rating History</b>   |   |
| <b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>   |   |

**Early Warning Systems**

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator   | Grade Level |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |     | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|
|   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |     |       |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |       |
| One or more suspensions   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1   |       |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1   |       |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 37 | 32 | 36 | 166 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 22 | 30 | 32 | 20 | 13 | 36 | 153 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |       |
|   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |       |
|   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |     |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1     |

#### Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indicator   | Grade Level | Total |
|---|-------------|-------|
| Absent 10% or more school days  |             |       |
| One or more suspensions   |             |       |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   |             |       |
| Course failure in Math  |             |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment   |             |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment  |             |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. |             |       |

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:



| Indicator  | Grade Level | Total |
|--|-------------|-------|
| Students with two or more indicators               |             |       |
| <b>The number of students identified retained:</b> |             |       |
| Indicator  | Grade Level | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year                    |             |       |
| Students retained two or more times                |             |       |

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |   |       |
| Absent 10% or more school days  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| One or more suspensions   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

**The number of students identified retained:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

## II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

**ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

| Accountability Component        | 2023   |          |       | 2022   |          |       | 2021   |          |       |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                                 | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement*                | 56     | 61       | 53    | 66     | 62       | 55    | 61     |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains              |        |          |       | 65     |          |       | 70     |          |       |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile      |        |          |       | 63     |          |       | 61     |          |       |
| Math Achievement*               | 51     | 63       | 55    | 57     | 51       | 42    | 51     |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains             |        |          |       | 67     |          |       | 48     |          |       |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile     |        |          |       | 61     |          |       | 50     |          |       |
| Science Achievement*            | 45     | 56       | 52    | 66     | 60       | 54    | 40     |          |       |
| Social Studies Achievement*     | 73     | 77       | 68    | 77     | 68       | 59    | 68     |          |       |
| Middle School Acceleration      | 76     | 75       | 70    | 72     | 61       | 51    |        |          |       |
| Graduation Rate                 |        | 76       | 74    |        | 53       | 50    |        |          |       |
| College and Career Acceleration |        | 73       | 53    |        | 78       | 70    |        |          |       |
| ELP Progress                    | 68     | 62       | 55    | 78     | 75       | 70    | 50     |          |       |

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

#### ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |      |
|--|------|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               | ATSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           | 63   |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 1    |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 438  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 7    |
| Percent Tested                                 | 100  |
| Graduation Rate                                |      |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index           |      |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)     | ATSI |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 67   |

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 1   |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 672 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 10  |
| Percent Tested                                 | 100 |
| Graduation Rate                                |     |

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)****2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

| <b>ESSA Subgroup</b> | <b>Federal Percent of Points Index</b> | <b>Subgroup Below 41%</b> | <b>Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%</b> | <b>Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%</b> |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| SWD                  | 10                                     | Yes                       | 2  | 1  |
| ELL                  | 50                                     |                           |  |  |
| AMI                  |  |                           |  |  |
| ASN                  |  |                           |  |  |
| BLK                  | 59                                     |                           |  |  |
| HSP                  | 62                                     |                           |  |  |
| MUL                  |  |                           |  |  |
| PAC                  |  |                           |  |  |
| WHT                  | 61                                     |                           |  |  |
| FRL                  | 61                                     |                           |  |  |

**2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

| <b>ESSA Subgroup</b> | <b>Federal Percent of Points Index</b> | <b>Subgroup Below 41%</b> | <b>Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%</b> | <b>Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%</b> |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| SWD                  | 35                                     | Yes                       | 1  |  |
| ELL                  | 57                                     |                           |  |  |
| AMI                  |  |                           |  |  |
| ASN                  |  |                           |  |  |
| BLK                  | 70                                     |                           |  |  |
| HSP                  | 63                                     |                           |  |  |

**2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

| ESSA Subgroup | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| MUL           |                                 |                    |   |   |
| PAC           |                                 |                    |   |   |
| WHT           | 72                              |                    |   |   |
| FRL           | 66                              |                    |   |   |

**Accountability Components by Subgroup**

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

**2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS**

| Subgroups    | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2021-22 | C & C Accel 2021-22 | ELP Progress |
|--------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| All Students | 56       |        |             | 51        |         |              | 45       | 73      | 76        |                   |                     | 68           |
| SWD          | 15       |        |             | 5         |         |              |          |         |           |                   | 2                   |              |
| ELL          | 44       |        |             | 43        |         |              | 30       |         |           |                   | 5                   | 68           |
| AMI          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| BLK          | 65       |        |             | 53        |         |              |          |         |           |                   | 2                   |              |
| HSP          | 52       |        |             | 48        |         |              | 42       | 77      | 75        |                   | 7                   | 70           |
| MUL          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT          | 74       |        |             | 62        |         |              | 40       |         |           |                   | 4                   |              |
| FRL          | 56       |        |             | 48        |         |              | 38       | 79      | 70        |                   | 7                   | 69           |

**2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS**

| Subgroups    | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
|--------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| All Students | 66       | 65     | 63          | 57        | 67      | 61           | 66       | 77      | 72        |                   |                     | 78           |
| SWD          | 33       | 36     |             | 17        | 55      |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ELL          | 55       | 56     | 53          | 50        | 62      | 48           | 42       | 71      |           |                   |                     | 78           |
| AMI          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN          |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |

| 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
| BLK  | 70       | 75     | 82          | 60        | 65      |              | 67       |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| HSP  | 63       | 61     | 57          | 55        | 64      | 58           | 65       | 70      | 64        |                   |                     | 77           |
| MUL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  | 74       | 72     |             | 61        | 80      |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| FRL  | 65       | 67     | 65          | 52        | 67      | 60           | 59       | 72      | 73        |                   |                     | 80           |

| 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   | 61       | 70     | 61          | 51        | 48      | 50           | 40       | 68      |           |                   |                     | 50           |
| SWD  | 25       | 31     | 30          | 25        | 54      |              | 10       |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ELL  | 62       | 73     | 68          | 51        | 47      | 60           | 35       | 77      |           |                   |                     | 50           |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| BLK  | 46       | 58     |             | 45        | 42      |              | 28       | 67      |           |                   |                     |              |
| HSP  | 63       | 72     | 70          | 50        | 50      | 57           | 42       | 74      |           |                   |                     | 48           |
| MUL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  | 76       | 86     |             | 64        | 50      |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| FRL  | 58       | 68     | 58          | 47        | 46      | 61           | 38       | 69      |           |                   |                     | 41           |

### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA   |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 05    | 2023 - Spring | 57%    | 56%      | 1%                         | 54%   | 3%                      |
| 07    | 2023 - Spring | 34%    | 50%      | -16%                       | 47%   | -13%                    |

| ELA   |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 08    | 2023 - Spring | 50%    | 51%      | -1%                        | 47%   | 3%                      |
| 04    | 2023 - Spring | 63%    | 58%      | 5%                         | 58%   | 5%                      |
| 06    | 2023 - Spring | 39%    | 50%      | -11%                       | 47%   | -8%                     |
| 03    | 2023 - Spring | 65%    | 52%      | 13%                        | 50%   | 15%                     |

| MATH  |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06    | 2023 - Spring | 52%    | 58%      | -6%                        | 54%   | -2%                     |
| 07    | 2023 - Spring | 58%    | 48%      | 10%                        | 48%   | 10%                     |
| 03    | 2023 - Spring | 58%    | 63%      | -5%                        | 59%   | -1%                     |
| 04    | 2023 - Spring | 45%    | 64%      | -19%                       | 61%   | -16%                    |
| 08    | 2023 - Spring | 45%    | 59%      | -14%                       | 55%   | -10%                    |
| 05    | 2023 - Spring | 42%    | 58%      | -16%                       | 55%   | -13%                    |

| SCIENCE |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 08      | 2023 - Spring | 28%    | 40%      | -12%                       | 44%   | -16%                    |
| 05      | 2023 - Spring | 44%    | 50%      | -6%                        | 51%   | -7%                     |

| ALGEBRA |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A     | 2023 - Spring | 81%    | 56%      | 25%                        | 50%   | 31%                     |

| CIVICS |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|--------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade  | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A    | 2023 - Spring | 68%    | 68%      | 0%                         | 66%   | 2%                      |

### III. Planning for Improvement

#### Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

The area that showed the lowest performance was 6th and 7th grade Reading. The contributing factor is the influx of first year ESOL students.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

8th grade science showed the greatest decline from the prior year. Most of our 8th grade students were first year ESOL Level 1 students.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

4th grade reading showed the greatest gap compared to the state average.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

The lowest 25%ile made a great impact on our data. Our goal was for students to display growth which was achieved.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

Potential area of concern is 8th grade science.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

Keeping our A rating by focusing on 5-8 grade math, 5th and 8th science, and 6th -7th grade reading.

#### **Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

**#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Teacher Retention and Recruitment****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

A positive culture and environment are the keys to a success. If staff members are happy, teacher retention will increase will in turn rub off on our students.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

By the end of the 2023-2024 school year, BPA NMB will retain about 70% of their teachers by providing teachers with a positive culture and environment.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

After each faculty meeting, teachers will be asked to leave feedback on an index card that will be address prior to the next faculty meeting.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Ana Natali (adnat@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Creating opportunities for staff members to work together and to get to know one another.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Positive culture and environment is spread from Admin to teachers down to students.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***



**#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

[no one identified]

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***

**#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Students with disabilities will be provided positive reinforcement strategies in a positive classroom environment. Teachers will provide students opportunities to experience success through the school PBIS (Positive Behavior Incentive System) program incentives.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

70% of Students with Disabilities will improve academically by 5% improvement in reading skills as measured through the IReady Reading program.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teachers will measure goals through PBIS trackers on student behavior and IReady program weekly monitoring of skills.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Ana Natali (adnat@dadeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

SWD have the Decoding program which measures progress in Reading . Growth is measured on a bi - weekly basis. Students will improve 5% on a monthly basis.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

This strategy in the DECODING program is evidence-based and has a high rate of success for SWD students when used with fidelity.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***

### CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C)).

Our area of focus is positive culture and environment related to teacher retention and recruitment. All teachers will participate in a Culture Camp emphasizing positive and educational strategies for improving student learning. Funding will be used towards the professional training.

### Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)

#### Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

#### Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes

N/a

#### Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes

By April of 2023, 60% of students will show proficiency on mathematical content Pm3.

#### Monitoring

##### Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

The schools Area of Focus will be monitored for desired outcomes by having weekly data chats with teachers to discuss academic gains during common planning.

##### Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Aleman, Natalie, [naleman@bridgeprepnorthmiamieach.com](mailto:naleman@bridgeprepnorthmiamieach.com)

#### Evidence-based Practices/Programs

**Description:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term “evidence-based” means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida’s definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida’s definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district’s K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Differentiated instruction is one of the best practice that we notice has help with academic achievement. iStation also helps remediate skills which we have seen to be successful.

**Rationale:**

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

The evidence based practices do address the identified need by making sure all students receive any assistance in strengthening their abilities.

## Title I Requirements

### Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))**

List the school’s webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

The school is not Title 1

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school’s mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child’s progress.**

List the school’s webpage\* where the school’s Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

the school is not title one

**Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(ii))**

The school is not title one

**If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))**

The school is not Title one

#### **Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan**

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

**Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))**

n/a

**Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))**

n/a

**Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).**

n/a

**Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))**

n/a

**Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))**

n/a

### **Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

#### **Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

**The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.**

|        |        |  |        |
|--------|--------|--|--------|
| 1      | III.B. | Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Teacher Retention and Recruitment | \$0.00 |
| 2      | III.B. | Area of Focus: ESSA Subgroup:  | \$0.00 |
| 3      | III.B. | Area of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Students with Disabilities                           | \$0.00 |
| Total: |        |  | \$0.00 |

### Budget Approval

**Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.**

No