**Duval County Public Schools** 

# The Bridge To Success Academy Middle School



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

## **Table of Contents**

| SIP Authority and Purpose                                   | 3  |
|---|----|
|   |    |
| I. School Information                                       | 6  |
|   |    |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review                            | 9  |
|   |    |
| III. Planning for Improvement                               | 13 |
|   |    |
| IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review                       | 16 |
|   |    |
| V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence | 0  |
|   |    |
| VI. Title I Requirements                                    | 0  |
|   |    |
| VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus                        | 0  |

## The Bridge To Success Academy Middle School

5443 MONCRIEF RD, Jacksonville, FL 32209

[ no web address on file ]

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

## **Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)**

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

## **Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)**

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

## **Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">https://www.floridacims.org</a>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections   | Title I Schoolwide Program                                      | Charter Schools        |
|--|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)   |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)   |                        |
| I-E: Early Warning System  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-A-C: Data Review  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring  | ESSA 1114(b)(3)   |                        |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)   |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                        |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements   | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                        |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The Bridge to Success Academy Middle School, in collaboration with all stakeholders, endeavors to propel scholars to new academic heights while promoting college and career readiness through rigorous, standards-based instruction.

## Provide the school's vision statement.

Fostering Persistence. Framing Potential. Fulfilling Promise.

## School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

## **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name              | Position Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Council, Latoya   | Principal           |                                 |
| Miles, Labrina    | Assistant Principal |                                 |
| Smith, Harmony    | Assistant Principal |                                 |
| Jenkins, Chassidi | Instructional Coach |                                 |
| Smith, Kennethia  | Instructional Coach |                                 |
| Berry, Amari      | Dean                |                                 |

## Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Bridge to Success Academy Middle School endeavors to involve stakeholders through innovative methods to receive continuous feedback in hopes that we are able to meet the unique needs of our scholars. Opportunities to provide feedback include, but are not limited to, monthly parent nights, SAC meetings, and townhall meetings. Additionally during the week of pre-planning, faculty and staff members examine the school's SIP, offering invaluable feedback. Lastly, a copy of the initial SIP draft is placed at the desk of the front office. Stakeholders are encouraged to review it and offer any suggestions for revisions.

## **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP is a living document, which will be analyzed at the end of each quarter. Specifically, the leadership team will examine the data from each quarter and determine its current alignment with the SIP goals. Based on the analysis, the leadership team will revise the SIP and create an action plan to elicit change for our stakeholders.

## **Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

| 2023-24 Status<br>(per MSID File)                                       | Active                |
|---|-----------------------|
| School Type and Grades Served   | Combination School    |
| (per MSID File)   | 4-8                   |
| Primary Service Type  |                       |
| (per MSID File)   | Alternative Education |
| 2022-23 Title I School Status   | No                    |
| 2022-23 Minority Rate   | [Data Not Available]  |
| 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate                           | [Data Not Available]  |
| Charter School  | No                    |
| RAISE School  | No                    |
| ESSA Identification   |                       |
| *updated as of 3/11/2024  |                       |
| Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)                  | No                    |
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented                                      |                       |
| (subgroups with 10 or more students)                                    |                       |
| (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) |                       |
| School Grades History   |                       |
| *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.         |                       |
| School Improvement Rating History                                       |                       |
| DJJ Accountability Rating History                                       |                       |
|   |                       |

## **Early Warning Systems**

# Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator   |   |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Indicator   | K | 1 | 2 | 3           | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |  |  |  |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| One or more suspensions   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Course failure in Math  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   | ( | Grad | de L | evel | l |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|---|---|---|-------|
| Indicator                            | K | 1 | 2 | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|
| Indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |

## Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       | Grade<br>Level | Total |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Absent 100/ or more school days |                |       |

Absent 10% or more school days

One or more suspensions

Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)

Course failure in Math

Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment

Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment

Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|-----------|-------------|-------|
|           |             |       |

Students with two or more indicators

## The number of students identified retained:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year     |             |       |
| Students retained two or more times |             |       |

## Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

## The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indicator   |   |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| mulcator  | K | 1 | 2 | 3           | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |  |  |  |
| Absent 10% or more school days  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| One or more suspensions   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Course failure in Math  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   | ( | Grad | de L | evel |   |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|---|---|---|-------|
| indicator                            | K | 1 | 2 | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

## The number of students identified retained:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| indicator                           | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOtal |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

## II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

## ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

| Accountability Component    |        | 2023     |       |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| Accountability Component    | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement*            |        | 45       | 53    |        | 47       | 55    |        |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains          |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| Math Achievement*           |        | 46       | 55    |        | 40       | 42    |        |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains         |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |

| Accountability Component           |        | 2023     |       |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       |
|------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| Accountability Component           | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| Science Achievement*               |        | 45       | 52    |        | 45       | 54    |        |          |       |
| Social Studies Achievement*        |        | 62       | 68    |        | 50       | 59    |        |          |       |
| Middle School Acceleration         |        | 73       | 70    |        | 45       | 51    |        |          |       |
| Graduation Rate                    |        | 72       | 74    |        | 41       | 50    |        |          |       |
| College and Career<br>Acceleration |        | 54       | 53    |        | 65       | 70    |        |          |       |
| ELP Progress                       |        | 47       | 55    |        | 68       | 70    |        |          |       |

<sup>\*</sup> In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

## ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested                                 |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate                                |    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |    |
|--|----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               |    |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           |    |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   |    |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      |    |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         |    |
| Percent Tested                                 |    |
| Graduation Rate                                |    |

## **ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)**

|                  | 2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA<br>Subgroup | Federal<br>Percent of<br>Points Index | Subgroup<br>Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive<br>Years the Subgroup is<br>Below 32% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWD              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMI              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASN              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAC              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|                  | 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA<br>Subgroup | Federal<br>Percent of<br>Points Index | Subgroup<br>Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive<br>Years the Subgroup is<br>Below 32% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWD              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMI              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASN              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAC              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## **Accountability Components by Subgroup**

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

|                 | 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2021-22 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2021-22 | ELP<br>Progress |  |  |
| All<br>Students |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| SWD             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ELL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| AMI             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ASN             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| BLK             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| HSP             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| MUL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| PAC             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| WHT             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| FRL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |

|                 | 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2020-21 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2020-21 | ELP<br>Progress |  |  |
| All<br>Students |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| SWD             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ELL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| AMI             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ASN             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| BLK             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| HSP             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| MUL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| PAC             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| WHT             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| FRL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |

|                 | 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 | ELP<br>Progress |  |  |
| All<br>Students |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| SWD             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ELL             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |

|           | 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
|-----------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 | ELP<br>Progress |  |  |
| AMI       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| ASN       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| BLK       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| HSP       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| MUL       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| PAC       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| WHT       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |
| FRL       |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |  |  |

## Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

## III. Planning for Improvement

## **Data Analysis/Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

During the 22-23 academic year, BTSA Middle School's elementary scholars performed the lowest in all tested subjects. Unfortunately, this was largely due to the inability to fill teacher vacancies. Once vacancies were filled, those educators resigned from the district shortly after beginning.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

During the 22-23 academic year, BTSA Middle School illustrated the greatest decline in fifth grade reading proficiency, which decreased six percentage points. The greatest factor that led to this decline was the inability to have a certificated educator in the classroom. Unfortunately, we were impacted by the nationwide teacher shortage and had a long term substitute in this course.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

During the 22-23 academic year, BTSA Middle School illustrated the greatest gap compared to the state average in fifth grade reading and math proficiency. The greatest factor that led to this decline was the inability to have a certificated educator in the classroom. Unfortunately, we were impacted by the nationwide teacher shortage and had a long term substitute in this course.

## Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

During the 22-23 academic year, BTSA Middle School illustrated the most improvement in eighth grade math, which increased sixteen percentage points. Gratefully, we were able to welcome a Math Coach to our team who equipped educators with the necessary toolkit to elicit positive academic change. Additionally, Ms. Jenkins, the math coach, provided pull out and push in support for scholars, which proved to be beneficial.

## Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Attendance serves as the greatest EWS for Bridge to Success Middle School. If we are able to effectively provide interventions to support our scholars in attendance, we will be able to positively impact their academic achievement and meet their unique needs.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Fifth Grade Reading Proficiency
- 2. Fifth Grade Math Proficiency
- 3. Reading Proficiency
- 4. Math Proficiency
- 5. Science Proficiency

## **Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

## **#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA**

## **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Bridge to Success Middle School's reading proficiency data for the 2022- 2023 academic year was less than 20%. Reading is a foundational skill that is interconnected to all content areas. It is vital that the leadership team work tirelessly to equip Reading/ELA educators with the necessary toolkit to positively impact reading student achievement data.

## Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Bridge to Success Middle School's overall reading proficiency data for the 2023- 2024 academic year will increase by 10 percentage points through benchmark aligned, rigorous daily instruction.

## **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The leadership team will conduct daily BWT observations to evaluate the effectiveness of the Reading/ELA instruction. The data deprived from these observations will be analyzed to determine next steps.

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Latoya Council (rainesl@duvalschools.org)

## **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

## **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

## Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

## Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

## **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Facilitating weekly ELA/Reading PLCs and common planning sessions to equip educators with the necessary toolkit to positively impact student achievement.

**Person Responsible:** Kennethia Smith (smithk1@duvalschools.org)

By When: Ongoing

Facilitate weekly data analysis and data chats to review data derived from the BWT observations and student data. Additionally, creating an action plan to target the areas of improvement.

Person Responsible: Latoya Council (rainesl@duvalschools.org)

By When: Ongoing

Facilitate quarterly Data Dives with parents and scholars, which includes providing parents with and modeling helpful reading resources in hopes of positively impacting student achievement.

**Person Responsible:** Harmony Smith (smithh2@duvalschools.org)

By When:

# #2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Teacher Retention and Recruitment Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Teacher retention and recruitment is a nationwide issue in public education. Unfortuantely, Bridge to Success Middle School was negatively impacted by the teacher shortage. The inability to provide an effective, certificated educator in each class led to

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The Bridge to Success Academy will retain 80% of the highly effective and effective educators at the end of the 2023-2024 academic year.

## **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

## **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

## Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

## **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

## Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

## **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

## **CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).