

2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

# **Table of Contents**

| SIP Authority and Purpose                                   | 3  |
|---|----|
| I. School Information                                       | 6  |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review                            | 9  |
| III. Planning for Improvement                               | 14 |
| IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review                       | 0  |
| V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence | 0  |
| VI. Title I Requirements                                    | 0  |
| VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus                       | 0  |

# **Mabry Elementary School**

4201 W ESTRELLA ST, Tampa, FL 33629

[ no web address on file ]

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

# Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

# Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

# **Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <u>https://www.floridacims.org</u>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections  | Title I Schoolwide Program                                      | Charter Schools        |
|---|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)   |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement<br>& SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)   |                        |
| I-E: Early Warning System   | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-A-C: Data Review   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring   | ESSA 1114(b)(3)   |                        |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                       | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)   |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus   | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                        |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements  | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                        |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

# Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **I. School Information**

### School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement.

The Mabry community is committed to providing a nurturing and inspiring environment that encourages high expectations for all children.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

Inspired to learn, lead, succeed.

## School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

## School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name             | Position Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pagliari, Marisa | Teacher, K-12       | SAC Chair                         |
| Frick, Sherri    | Principal           | Principal                         |
| Maurer, Angela   | Assistant Principal | Assistant Principal of curriculum |

# **Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development**

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Spring SAC meetings, PTA meetings, and all other Mabry community meetings where the discussion of our school culture, data, etc. took place helped create the 2024 SIP.

# **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

Monthly assessments in all subject areas including math monthly, DIBELS, UFLI assessments, as well as the state required progress monitoring assessments such as STAR and FAST.

### **Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

| 2023-24 Status  | Active   |
|---|--|
| (per MSID File)   |  |
| School Type and Grades Served   | Elementary School  |
| (per MSID File)   | PK-5   |
| Primary Service Type  | K-12 General Education   |
| (per MSID File)   |  |
| 2022-23 Title I School Status   | No   |
| 2022-23 Minority Rate   | 21%  |
| 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate   | 7%   |
| Charter School  | No   |
| RAISE School  | No   |
| ESSA Identification   |  |
| *updated as of 3/11/2024  | N/A  |
| Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)  | No   |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an<br>asterisk) | Students With Disabilities (SWD)<br>English Language Learners (ELL)<br>Asian Students (ASN)<br>Hispanic Students (HSP)<br>Multiracial Students (MUL)<br>White Students (WHT)<br>Economically Disadvantaged Students<br>(FRL) |
| School Grades History<br>*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline   | 2021-22: A<br>2019-20: A<br>2018-19: A<br>2017-18: A   |
| School Improvement Rating History   |  |
| DJJ Accountability Rating History   |  |

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator   |   |   | Total |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |
|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Indicator   | Κ | 1 | 2     | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 6 | 5 | 2     | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26    |
| One or more suspensions   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
|   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indiantar                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Indicator                            | κ           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

| Indicator                           |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Indicator                           | κ | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |  |  |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |  |  |  |

# Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

# The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indicator   |   |   | Total |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |
|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| indicator   | Κ | 1 | 2     | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TUlai |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| One or more suspensions   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in ELA   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                                   |   |   | Total |      |      |      |   |   |   |       |
|---|---|---|-------|------|------|------|---|---|---|-------|
| muicator                                    | κ | 1 | 2     | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators        | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| The number of students identified retained: |   |   |       |      |      |      |   |   |   |       |
| Indicator                                   |   |   | (     | Grad | de L | evel | l |   |   | Total |
| muicator                                    | K | 1 | 2     | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOLAT |
| Retained Students: Current Year             | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

# Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Students retained two or more times

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

0

0

0

0

0 0 0

0

# The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indiantar   |   |   | Total |   |   |   |   |   |   |       |
|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Indicator   | κ | 1 | 2     | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| One or more suspensions   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in ELA   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

# The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Grade Level   |               |               |               |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| κ             | 1             | 2             | 3             | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | Total   |  |
| 0             | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |   |  |
|               |               |               |               |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |
|               | Grade Level   |               |               |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |
|               |               |               |               |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |
| κ             | 1             | 2             | 3             | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | Total   |  |
| <b>К</b><br>0 | <b>1</b><br>0 | <b>2</b><br>0 | <b>3</b><br>0 |   |   |   |   |   | TOLAI   |  |
|               | -             |               | K 1 2   0 0 0 | K     1     2     3       0     0     0     0     0 | K     1     2     3     4       0     0     0     0     0     0 | K     1     2     3     4     5       0     0     0     0     0     0     0 | K     1     2     3     4     5     6       0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0 | K     1     2     3     4     5     6     7       0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0 | K     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8       0 |  |

# II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

0

# ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

# On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

|                                    |        | 2023     |       |        | 2022     |       |        | 2021     |       |
|------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| Accountability Component           | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement*                   | 80     | 50       | 53    | 84     | 53       | 56    | 84     |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains                 |        |          |       | 82     |          |       | 77     |          |       |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile         |        |          |       | 68     |          |       | 48     |          |       |
| Math Achievement*                  | 89     | 56       | 59    | 89     | 50       | 50    | 86     |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains                |        |          |       | 84     |          |       | 87     |          |       |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile        |        |          |       | 79     |          |       | 67     |          |       |
| Science Achievement*               | 83     | 50       | 54    | 81     | 59       | 59    | 79     |          |       |
| Social Studies Achievement*        |        |          |       |        | 69       | 64    |        |          |       |
| Middle School Acceleration         |        |          |       |        | 56       | 52    |        |          |       |
| Graduation Rate                    |        |          |       |        | 48       | 50    |        |          |       |
| College and Career<br>Acceleration |        |          |       |        |          | 80    |        |          |       |
| ELP Progress                       | 18     | 59       | 59    |        |          |       | 83     |          |       |

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

# ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           | 70  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 352 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 5   |  |  |  |  |  |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Percent Tested             | 100 |
| Graduation Rate            |     |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           | 81  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 567 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 7   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested                                 | 99  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate                                |     |  |  |  |  |  |

# ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

|                  | 2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA<br>Subgroup | Federal<br>Percent of<br>Points Index | Subgroup<br>Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive<br>years the Subgroup is Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive<br>Years the Subgroup is<br>Below 32% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWD              | 48                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL              | 54                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMI              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASN              | 89                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP              | 80                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUL              | 88                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAC              |                                       |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT              | 84                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL              | 66                                    |                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY

| ESSA<br>Subgroup | Federal<br>Percent of<br>Points Index | Subgroup<br>Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive<br>years the Subgroup is Below<br>41% | Number of Consecutive<br>Years the Subgroup is<br>Below 32% |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| SWD              | 55                                    |                          |   |   |
| ELL              | 70                                    |                          |   |   |
| AMI              |                                       |                          |   |   |
| ASN              | 86                                    |                          |   |   |
| BLK              |                                       |                          |   |   |
| HSP              | 82                                    |                          |   |   |
| MUL              | 91                                    |                          |   |   |
| PAC              |                                       |                          |   |   |
| WHT              | 81                                    |                          |   |   |
| FRL              | 74                                    |                          |   |   |

# Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

|                 | 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2021-22 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2021-22 | ELP<br>Progress |
| All<br>Students | 80   |        |                | 89           |            |                    | 83          |         |              |                         |                           | 18              |
| SWD             | 45   |        |                | 55           |            |                    | 40          |         |              |                         | 4                         |                 |
| ELL             | 63   |        |                | 74           |            |                    | 60          |         |              |                         | 4                         | 18              |
| AMI             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| ASN             | 85   |        |                | 92           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         | 2                         |                 |
| BLK             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| HSP             | 75   |        |                | 83           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         | 3                         |                 |
| MUL             | 90   |        |                | 86           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         | 2                         |                 |
| PAC             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| WHT             | 80   |        |                | 90           |            |                    | 84          |         |              |                         | 4                         |                 |
| FRL             | 65   |        |                | 83           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         | 3                         |                 |

|                 | 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2020-21 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2020-21 | ELP<br>Progress |
| All<br>Students | 84   | 82     | 68             | 89           | 84         | 79                 | 81          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| SWD             | 43   | 61     | 62             | 37           | 72         | 71                 | 40          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| ELL             | 66   | 75     |                | 69           | 70         |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| AMI             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| ASN             | 86   | 100    |                | 79           | 80         |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| BLK             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| HSP             | 83   | 82     |                | 89           | 76         |                    | 80          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| MUL             | 96   | 94     |                | 89           | 100        |                    | 77          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| PAC             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| WHT             | 83   | 80     | 65             | 89           | 84         | 82                 | 83          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| FRL             | 71   | 76     |                | 79           | 81         |                    | 64          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |

|                 | 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Subgroups       | ELA<br>Ach.                                    | ELA LG | ELA LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2019-20 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2019-20 | ELP<br>Progress |
| All<br>Students | 84   | 77     | 48             | 86           | 87         | 67                 | 79          |         |              |                         |                           | 83              |
| SWD             | 59   | 67     |                | 59           | 67         |                    | 57          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| ELL             | 62   |        |                | 67           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           | 83              |
| AMI             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| ASN             | 80   |        |                | 87           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| BLK             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| HSP             | 68   | 59     |                | 82           | 76         |                    | 71          |         |              |                         |                           | 100             |
| MUL             | 96   |        |                | 92           |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| PAC             |  |        |                |              |            |                    |             |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| WHT             | 84   | 81     | 52             | 87           | 89         | 73                 | 80          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |
| FRL             | 60   | 47     | 36             | 66           | 68         | 55                 | 33          |         |              |                         |                           |                 |

# Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

|       |               |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 05    | 2023 - Spring | 82%    | 53%      | 29%                               | 54%   | 28%                            |
| 04    | 2023 - Spring | 82%    | 54%      | 28%                               | 58%   | 24%                            |
| 03    | 2023 - Spring | 81%    | 46%      | 35%                               | 50%   | 31%                            |

|       |               |        | MATH     |                                   |       |                                |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 03    | 2023 - Spring | 91%    | 55%      | 36%                               | 59%   | 32%                            |
| 04    | 2023 - Spring | 88%    | 59%      | 29%                               | 61%   | 27%                            |
| 08    | 2023 - Spring | *      | 57%      | *                                 | 55%   | *                              |
| 05    | 2023 - Spring | 93%    | 53%      | 40%                               | 55%   | 38%                            |

|       |               |        | SCIENCE  |                                   |       |                                |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 05    | 2023 - Spring | 83%    | 47%      | 36%                               | 51%   | 32%                            |

# III. Planning for Improvement

# Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA and Math FAST data for our SWD subgroup. Some students may have missed core instruction due to the Covid-19 pandemic during the years 2021 and 2021. They may have struggled with learning platforms, such as computers.

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Cannot accurately compare new state FAST assessment to the year prior FSA testing.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Our lowest subgroup compares 26% HIGHER in ELA and 32% in Math than the SWD subgroups in the district. However, this is the lowest subgroup at Mabry Elementary.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Cannot accurately compare new state FAST assessment to the year prior FSA testing.

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

SWD subgroup scored the lowest on FAST in both ELA and Math.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Working closely with our SWD subgroup.
- 2. Progress monitoring our SWD subgroup.
- 3. Creating a positive school culture.

# Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

# #1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

We noticed there was a gap between all other subgroups compared to our students with disabilities.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

At least 65% of our SWD subgroup will score a level 3 or above on their ELA PM3 assessment. At least 80% of our SWD subgroup will score a level 3 or above on their math PM3 assessment.

We are aiming for at least 20% higher than last years FAST data.

## Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Progress monitoring PM1, PM2, and PM3 (using FAST data) and other data driven assessments.

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Sherri Frick (sherri.frick@hcps.net)

## **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

N/A

## **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

State required progress monitoring school-wide processes and we will provide a running data base of student data.

### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

### No action steps were entered for this area of focus

# #2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other

## Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Character champs recognizes students for positive behaviors demonstrated in, and outside the classroom. Teacher will attend a workshop on building a positive school culture. Administration, faculty, families, and students are all apart of creating a positive school culture.

## Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

# N/A

# Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

N/A

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

N/A

# **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

N/A

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus