

Hillsborough County Public Schools

# Falkenburg Road Jail School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>	<b>0</b>

## Falkenburg Road Jail

520 N FALKENBURG RD, Tampa, FL 33619

[ no web address on file ]

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

#### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

#### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

#### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

As an educational entity we will provide each student with the necessary tools to become productive and responsible citizens.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

Our students will become academically, socially, and behaviorally proficient as we prepare them to transition back to traditional educational settings.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

**School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Bobo, Cornelius	Principal	<p>The Principal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs and coordinates the educational, and administrative activities at the school site.</li> <li>• Demonstrates the Florida principal standards, serves as the instructional leader, and develops and evaluate educational programs to ensure conformance to state, national, and School Board standards.</li> <li>• Develops and coordinates educational programs through meetings with staff and site personnel, review of teacher’s activities, and issuance of directives.</li> <li>• Confers with teachers, students, and site personnel regarding behavioral concerns in and out of the classroom.</li> <li>• Establish and maintains relationships with community organizations and other schools to coordinate educational services.</li> <li>• Requisitions and allocate supplies equipment and instructional material as needed.</li> <li>• Direct preparation of class schedules, cumulative records, and attendance reports.</li> <li>• Plans and monitors school budget.</li> <li>• Conducts staff evaluations and provides constructive feedback in effort to improve instruction and student performance.</li> </ul>
Pettingill, Kelly	Assistant Principal	<p>The Assistant Principal will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assist with the provision of instructional, administrative, and operational leadership of the school site.</li> <li>• Makes our shares in the making of decisions in a timely manner, using appropriate levels of involvement so actions may be taken and commitments made by staff and others to ensure a safe and productive learning environment.</li> <li>• Influences the school stakeholders by a variety of means, such as persuasive arguments, set an examples or use an expertise.</li> <li>• Is able to present ideals to others in an open, informative, and non-evaluative manner, is able to write and verbally communicate clearly and concisely.</li> <li>• Uses data to implement and/or adjust curriculum.</li> <li>• Provides instructional supervision gathers, analyzes, and uses data from varied and multiple sources to build relationships.</li> <li>• Demonstrates readiness to initiate action and takes responsibility for leading and enabling others to improve educational outcomes for students.</li> <li>• Organizes cooperatively with school and site staff and other stakeholders to design and implement ways to</li> </ul>

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		<p>reach the goals and mission of the school.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Skillfully facilitates others working together effectively and shows concern for diverse perspectives.</li> </ul>
Brabham, Joanne	School Counselor	<p>The School Counselor duties and responsibilities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developing, implementing and managing school guidance programs.</li> <li>• Program student scheduling</li> <li>• Advise and assist students with academic development.</li> <li>• Serves as Testing Chair.</li> <li>• Provide academic advisement and academic interventions as needed to ensure student success.</li> <li>• Ensure academic accommodations are implemented.</li> <li>• Serves as Chair of the Child Study Team.</li> </ul>
Marcet, Henry	Other	<p>The ESE Specialist</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides support and services to students with disabilities (SWD) who have been determined eligible for Exceptional Student Education (ESE) services.</li> <li>• Coordinate the provision of ESE services per the student's Individual Education Plan.</li> <li>• Coordinate progress monitoring of ESE students and provide appropriate modifications as needed.</li> <li>• Responsible for implementing and ensuring compliance to established policies, procedures, regulations and processes required to maintain the program of services.</li> <li>• Provides student interventions and modifications to the academic program as needed developing related goals and objectives.</li> </ul>
Judge, Lynette	Other	<p>School Social Worker Provides a variety of professional Services which foster student's social, emotional, and academic growth.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Works to maximize coping skills for students in residential settings</li> <li>• Provides classroom support through consultation with teachers, administrators, and site personnel.</li> <li>• Promote and support the educational process by meeting the individual needs of students and families within the educational setting and the community.</li> <li>• Provides services including crisis intervention, individual counseling, and parent engagement.</li> <li>• Plans and develops school-based interventions with educators and site personnel.</li> <li>• Works with community agencies to provide support to families.</li> </ul> <p>Assist with Positive Behavioral and Safety Support Plans.</p>
Franklin, Matthew	Other	<p>The lead teacher is responsible for monitoring the academic progress of students. The primary function of lead teachers is to work with teachers,</p>



Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		administrators, and students to improve student academic performance and meet teaching objectives. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluate student progress.</li> <li>• Help plan Differentiated Instruction Curriculum</li> <li>• Track academic performance.</li> </ul>

**Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development**

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Stakeholders looked at the year’s previous data. Stakeholders also voiced a concern over student’s willingness to share and “trust” others in their instructional groups. Teachers, staff, parents, and students recognize the value of personal growth and hope that will increase student academic achievement.

**SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State’s academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

SIP will be monitored through bi-weekly informal meeting with struggling students.  
 SIP will be monitored through monthly informal meeting with on track students.  
 SIP will be monitored through staff and teacher PLC’s (weekly and monthly meetings).

**Demographic Data**  
 Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

<b>2023-24 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
<b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>	No
<b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>	91%
<b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>	44%
<b>Charter School</b>	No
<b>RAISE School</b>	No
<b>ESSA Identification</b> *updated as of 3/11/2024	CSI
<b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>	No

<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
<b>School Grades History</b> *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	2019-20: F 2018-19: F
<b>School Improvement Rating History</b>	2021-22: UNSATISFACTORY
<b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>	

**Early Warning Systems**

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level								Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level								Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level								Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

**Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)**

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4		5

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

**II. Needs Assessment/Data Review**

**ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*		51	50	0	52	51			
ELA Learning Gains									
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*		42	38		39	38			
Math Learning Gains									
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									
Science Achievement*		64	64		46	40			
Social Studies Achievement*		69	66		49	48			
Middle School Acceleration					41	44			
Graduation Rate	0	89	89	0	64	61	0		
College and Career Acceleration		62	65		72	67			
ELP Progress		39	45						

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

**ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)**

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	1
Percent Tested	
Graduation Rate	0

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	2
Percent Tested	88
Graduation Rate	0

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)**

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
FRL	0	Yes	2	2

2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK	0	Yes	1	1
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	0	Yes	1	1

**Accountability Components by Subgroup**

Each “blank” cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students										0		
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
PAC												
WHT												
FRL											1	

2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	0									0		
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK										0		
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL										0		

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students										0		
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL												

**Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)**

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
10	2023 - Spring	*	50%	*	50%	*
08	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	47%	*
09	2023 - Spring	*	48%	*	48%	*

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2023 - Spring	*	57%	*	55%	*

ALGEBRA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	55%	*	50%	*

GEOMETRY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	49%	*	48%	*

HISTORY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	65%	*	63%	*

**III. Planning for Improvement**

**Data Analysis/Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.



**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

Mathematics is the data component that showed the lowest performance for the 2022 - 2023 school year.

Student's present as significantly delayed in the area of math; lack of fundamental math skills has resulted in significant below level academic performance.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

Mathematics is the data component that showed the greatest decline for the 2022 - 2023 school year.

Student's present as significantly delayed in the area of math; lack of fundamental math skills has resulted in significant below level academic performance.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

Mathematics is the data component that had the greatest gap when compared to the state average for the 2022 - 2023 school year. Student's present as significantly delayed in the area of math; lack of fundamental math skills has resulted in significant below level academic performance.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

English, Language Arts, and Reading showed the most improvement during the 2022 - 2023 school year. Differentiated Instruction focused on, identifying text as propaganda, text that is written with bias or persuasion. Reading for Understanding. Writing essay to persuade.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

89.4% of the student population is comprised of African American, Hispanic or Multi-racial students. Our students present as High Risk due to Department of Juvenile Justice involvement and poor school attendance, resulting in significant academic gaps.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

The highest priorities for the 2023-2024 school year are:

Differentiated Instruction

Staff Retention

Attendance

Increased Academic Performance

**Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Differentiation****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Teachers at FRJ would like to use strategies from the book, *The Crossover* by Kwame Alexander. The book shares a theme of family, friendship, trust, honesty, responsibility, and being true to yourself. We will develop these themes in the students through PCS class and using the supplemental book to *The Crossover*, *The Playbook*.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Student's Math Post STAR test score will improve after using strategies for accelerated learning. Students will also practice behavior interventions/strategies for a positive mindset.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Through STAR Pre/Post Testing.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Matthew Franklin (matthew.franklin@hcps.net)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

- Visual representations (Student made pictures/graphs/manipulatives)
- Peer-assistance

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Peer-assistance learning will enable students who know concepts being taught to explain the concept differently than the teacher to their peers. Students will create posters, graphs, and mathematical pictures to promote learning.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***

## #2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Early Warning System

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

89.4% of the student population is comprised of African American, Hispanic or Multi-racial students. Our students present as High Risk due to Department of Juvenile Justice involvement and poor school attendance, resulting in significant academic gaps.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

ESSA identified students who score below grade level on the Common Assessment will receive Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions to address academic deficiencies. Data derived from the Common Assessment will be utilized to assist with Differentiated Instruction.

ESSA identified students enrolled in the program for greater than 90 school days shall increase their Common Assessment score by 3%. Common Assessment will be administered upon the student's arrival and dismissal into and from the program.

### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

ESSA identified students who score below grade level on the Common Assessment will receive Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions to address academic deficiencies. Data derived from the Common Assessment will be utilized to assist with Differentiated Instruction.

ESSA identified students enrolled in the program for greater than 90 school days shall increase their Common Assessment score by 3%. Common Assessment will be administered upon the student's arrival and dismissal into and from the program.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Matthew Franklin (matthew.franklin@hcps.net)

### Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Common Assessment will be administered upon the student's arrival and dismissal into and from the program.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

98% of the student population is comprised of students identified with Early Warnings. Our students present as High Risk due to Department of Juvenile Justice involvement, low socio-economics, course failures, grade retention, and grade poor school attendance, resulting in significant academic gaps.

### Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***

**CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

School staff meets to identify school, student, and staff needs; then resources being purchased are vetted to make sure they tie into the SIP. Once resources have been vetted the SAC Team prepares a ballot based on the identified needs. Once staff has voted approved resources are purchased or funds disbursed.