

Jackson County School Board

Hope School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| SIP Authority and Purpose | 3 |
| I. School Information | 6 |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review | 11 |
| III. Planning for Improvement | 15 |
| IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review | 18 |
| V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence | 0 |
| VI. Title I Requirements | 18 |
| VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus | 21 |

Hope School

2958 CHEROKEE STREET, Marianna, FL 32446

<http://hope.jcsb.org>

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Jackson County School Board on 10/17/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections | Title I Schoolwide Program | Charter Schools |
|--|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision | | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1) |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3) | |
| I-E: Early Warning System | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III) | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| II-A-C: Data Review | | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(3) | |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection | ESSA 1114(b)(6) | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4) |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii) | |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities | | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g) | |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Hope School's Mission is to provide a safe secure setting to deliver the instruction and resources needed to help each student reach their maximum potential to become as independent as possible at home, in the community, and during post-secondary education.

"WE SOAR WITH PRIDE"

Provide the school's vision statement.

The purpose of the Jackson County School District is to prepare all students for success as educated and caring citizens by inspiring and building good character and a passion for life-long learning-building a better community one student at a time.

Hope School's vision statement: "WE SOAR WITH PRIDE"

School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Parrish, Karen | School Counselor | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Braxton, Millicent | Principal | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Hand, Joy | Teacher, ESE | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Melvin, Sherrie | Teacher, ESE | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Wiggins, Tanya | Teacher, ESE | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Burge, Emily | Teacher, ESE | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions |

| Name | Position Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|----------------|--|
| | | assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |
| Blackmon, Cynthia | Teacher, ESE | School leadership team members meets to review the data and SIP. At the school, the team meets with teachers and staff to collect and analyze student data. The data analysis assisted with interventions based on individual student needs and provided support with technology. The leadership team meets multiple times with PTO, SAC committee, and grade groups to make decisions assisting the educational needs of our student. School leadership team meets multiple times which include regular emails. |

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The stakeholders are invited to the SIP and the SAC meetings. Notes are taken in the meetings and are voted on.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP will be monitored by the SIP Leadership team. The team will meet quarterly to look over the plan and review the data being collected. Also data will be look at during the grade group meetings.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| 2023-24 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Combination School PK-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Alternative Education |
| 2022-23 Title I School Status | Yes |
| 2022-23 Minority Rate | 41% |
| 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate | 96% |
| Charter School | No |
| RAISE School | No |
| ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 | N/A |

| Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) | No |
|--|--|
| 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities (SWD) Black/African American Students (BLK) White Students (WHT) Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL) |
| School Grades History *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline. | |
| School Improvement Rating History | 2021-22: COMMENDABLE 2020-21: COMMENDABLE 2018-19: MAINTAINING 2017-18: MAINTAINING |
| DJJ Accountability Rating History | |

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| Absent 10% or more days | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 25 | |
| One or more suspensions | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12 | |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 14 |

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| Absent 10% or more days | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 38 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 61 | |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 49 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 |

The number of students identified retained:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| Absent 10% or more days | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 23 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 41 | |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 40 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 |

The number of students identified retained:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

| Accountability Component | 2023 | | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement* | 63 | 49 | 53 | 64 | 50 | 55 | 66 | | |
| ELA Learning Gains | | | | 66 | | | 81 | | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | 30 | | | | | |
| Math Achievement* | 74 | 50 | 55 | 65 | 36 | 42 | 57 | | |
| Math Learning Gains | | | | 78 | | | 56 | | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | | | | | | | | | |

| Accountability Component | 2023 | | | 2022 | | | 2021 | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| Science Achievement* | 53 | 40 | 52 | 79 | 48 | 54 | 65 | | |
| Social Studies Achievement* | 71 | 59 | 68 | 90 | 50 | 59 | | | |
| Middle School Acceleration | | 69 | 70 | | 46 | 51 | | | |
| Graduation Rate | 91 | 83 | 74 | | 40 | 50 | | | |
| College and Career Acceleration | 0 | 56 | 53 | | 65 | 70 | | | |
| ELP Progress | | 62 | 55 | | 63 | 70 | | | |

* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index | |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 59 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 352 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 6 |
| Percent Tested | 100 |
| Graduation Rate | 91 |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index | |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 67 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 472 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 7 |
| Percent Tested | 98 |
| Graduation Rate | |

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| ESSA Subgroup | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| SWD | 59 | | | |
| ELL | | | | |
| AMI | | | | |
| ASN | | | | |
| BLK | 62 | | | |
| HSP | | | | |
| MUL | | | | |
| PAC | | | | |
| WHT | 66 | | | |
| FRL | 68 | | | |

| 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| ESSA Subgroup | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| SWD | 67 | | | |
| ELL | | | | |
| AMI | | | | |
| ASN | | | | |
| BLK | 62 | | | |
| HSP | | | | |
| MUL | | | | |
| PAC | | | | |
| WHT | 70 | | | |
| FRL | 71 | | | |

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each “blank” cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

| 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2021-22 | C & C Accel 2021-22 | ELP Progress |
| All Students | 63 | | | 74 | | | 53 | 71 | | 91 | 0 | |
| SWD | 63 | | | 74 | | | 53 | 71 | | 0 | 6 | |
| ELL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 54 | | | 69 | | | | | | | 2 | |
| HSP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PAC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 65 | | | 74 | | | 58 | | | | 3 | |
| FRL | 61 | | | 79 | | | 64 | 67 | | | 4 | |

| 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
| All Students | 64 | 66 | 30 | 65 | 78 | | 79 | 90 | | | | |
| SWD | 64 | 66 | 30 | 65 | 78 | | 79 | 90 | | | | |
| ELL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 69 | 60 | | 58 | | | | | | | | |
| HSP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PAC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 59 | 65 | | 67 | 79 | | 80 | | | | | |
| FRL | 66 | 63 | | 75 | 83 | | 70 | | | | | |

| 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | ELP Progress |
| All Students | 66 | 81 | | 57 | 56 | | 65 | | | | | |
| SWD | 66 | 81 | | 57 | 56 | | 65 | | | | | |
| ELL | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | ELP Progress |
| AMI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BLK | 69 | 80 | | 67 | 70 | | | | | | | |
| HSP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PAC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 61 | 75 | | 58 | 53 | | 60 | | | | | |
| FRL | 71 | 89 | | 60 | 72 | | 67 | | | | | |

Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 05 | 2023 - Spring | * | 51% | * | 54% | * |
| 03 | 2023 - Spring | * | 58% | * | 50% | * |

| MATH | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 03 | 2023 - Spring | * | 66% | * | 59% | * |
| 05 | 2023 - Spring | * | 47% | * | 55% | * |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 05 | 2023 - Spring | * | 41% | * | 51% | * |

III. Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Grade 6 math on the FSAA where only 43% scored proficient. Those students have more behaviors difficulties and their levels of disabilities are more pronounced then previous 6th grade class.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Grade 6 math Those students have more behaviors difficulties and their levels of disabilities are more pronounced then previous 6th grade class.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

N/A Hope could not locate this data.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Grade 7 ELA and math showed the most improvement on the FSAA. Teachers were using the new TV newline in the classroom with more students engaging in the lessons. The teachers were also using the new curriculum that was purchased for the 2022-23 school year.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Absent 10% or more days is a area of concern.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Parent Involvement

Absent 10% or more days miss

Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Early Warning System**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

According to the EWS for Absent 10% or more days there was 43 students that missed 10% or more days in school for the 2022-23 school year.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Attendance for the school year 2023-24 will be less than 35 students missed 10% or more days of school.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

This area will be monitor by teacher's attendance in Focus and implement Truarcy as needed.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Karen Parrish (karen.parrish@jcsb.org)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

We will ensure that there are strategies school-wide for all students that support strong attendance. Strategies include ensuring that there is a welcoming, engaging and safe school environment as well as positive messaging that says that attending every day matters and emphasizes the need to avoid unnecessary absences. Hope School should constantly recognize, model and promote good attendance through positive incentives.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

The rationale for selecting the specific strategy is it is a good way to involved teachers and parents communication skills. It will provide a positive involvement for the school and the families.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 3 - Promising Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Attendance recognition for student that had not miss 3 absences. We will use school improvement funds for a recognition party.

Person Responsible: Karen Parrish (karen.parrish@jcsb.org)

By When: Every nine weeks

CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C)).

Hope School is not currently identified at ATSI, TSI, or CSI.

Title I Requirements

Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))
List the school's webpage* where the SIP is made publicly available.

Hope School webpage, Facebook, Posted at the school Parent Square, and Notes home to parents.
1- The School Advisory Council discusses and supports the development of the Schoolwide Plan and School Improvement Plan (parallel documents). The plan is placed on the Board Document site at <https://jackson.ic-board.com/> where the Board and Community are able to review and provide feedback in a public forum. After Board approval the plan is approved in the CIMS platform and a link posted to the District Webpage and linked to school page, https://www.jcsb.org/apps/pages/index.jsp?uREC_ID=1214135&type=d&pREC_ID=2495654. After Board approval Facebook and ParentSquare posts will be made with the links to access the plan.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

Parent involvements

students' planner

Hope School webpage

Facebook

Progress reports

Parent Square

Parents and Teachers communication

2- The District and School Parent and Family Engagement Plans are provided at https://www.jcsb.org/apps/pages/index.jsp?uREC_ID=1214135&type=d&pREC_ID=2495654. The school pages are linked to the District page to access the Parent and Family Engagement Plans. Utilization of Facebook and ParentSquare provide links to parents and the community to stay informed on the improvement process with the School. Each school holds a quarterly meeting with the School Advisory Council. The District

Parent Advisory Council will hold three meeting and the District will hold two parent trainings in addition to the school-level trainings and cultural events.

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii)

Hope School wishes to purchase a Kinems and touch view system. Which is an interaction program that uses the whole student concept as a way to learn and bring learning to life. We started the middle schoolers' rotation of the core academic courses. 3- The District provides 1,950 minutes of additional instruction annually through regular scheduling. Summer school is offered for K-5 18-20 days for 240 minutes per day. Credit Recovery is offered for grades 6-12 for 40 days for 240 minutes per day. Supplements to the curriculum provide opportunities for enrichment, acceleration, and remediation. After-school tutoring is provided to class achievement gaps of struggling students.

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

4- The District coordinates with all ESSA programs to enhance and provide supplemental services to improve the overall educational and well-being of students, staff, and parents. Title I Part A and Part D support graduation rates. Title I Part A and Title II improve teacher effectiveness. Title I Part A and Title III provide services for ELL students. Title IV and Title V provide extended learning programs. Title I Part A and IDEA support ESE services. Title I Part A, Mental Health and SRD support attendance and Homeless Students. Nutrition Programs support Head Start snacks and after-school tutoring snacks.

Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

1- Jackson County School District employs twelve district school mental health counselors and one mental health administrator. Upon a student self-reporting, parental report, or referral by Jackson County School District faculty or staff, individual screening services and mental health services will be provided by the district employed School Mental Health Counselors, once parental consent is obtained for services, within fifteen days of the referral being received by the Jackson County School Mental Health Administrator. Screening will then take place to assess the students' mental health status and present mental health needs of each referred student. If the assessment/screening reflects a need for services beyond the counseling services provided within the educational setting, a referral will be made to a local collaborating mental health agency /service provider/primary care provider chosen by the parent/guardian in a collaborative effort to address the mental health needs of the student. The local collaborating mental health agency/service provider/primary care provider will be responsible for insurance billing for mental health services provided to assess, diagnose, and provide treatment/recovery services. The local collaborating mental health agency/service provider will initiate services within thirty days of receipt of the referral. Jackson County School District presently has a total of fifteen Memorandum's of Understanding with local providers to assist our district in providing expeditious, individualized care to meet the needs of our students. The MOU's ensure our district's ability to collaborate the care of our students and outcomes for the individual. Jackson County School District collaborates with Life Management Center through a referral process for students to receive services

from the Mobile Response Team or Community Action Team to assist the students and parents in dealing with emotional and behavioral needs that will include family and student mental health services, health care, psychiatric evaluation, medication management (if necessary), case management services, and any other additional services necessary, as determined by service providers. Additionally, Jackson County School District collaborates with Northwest Florida Health Network, formerly Big Bend Community Based Care, to provide the opportunity for telehealth services for students through the usage of the Let's Talk Interactive technology at local school sites. The telehealth services platform is HIPAA compliant and has the capability to expand mental health and medical services available within the schools. Additionally, trauma informed care counseling group sessions are offered and conducted by the school mental health counselors that are trained in Bounce Back and Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools (CBITS), with consent granted by parents/guardians, for students that have experienced various forms of trauma.

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

Hope School offers career prep credits for students. The students learn job skills, life skills, social skills and independent functioning skills.

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

If students have a Behavior Interventions Plan, each member that works with that student is familiar with that plan. If students do not have a BIP, permission to test is obtained and data is collected on the problem behavior. Jackson County BCBA will observe and a BIP is implemented if needed.

3- Schoolwide behavior systems are used in conjunction with the 3-tier model used for Problem-Solving/ Multi-tiered Systems of Support – Response to Intervention (PS/MTSS-RtI) used for academic and behavioral needs. Tier 1 is schoolwide expectations and systems based on the theory of positive behavioral systems. Students needing extra support are moved to Tier 2 or 3 depending on the level of need. Need would be based on data including classroom infractions, bus referrals, other office referral documentation, and observations. The school-based PS/MTSS team reviews behavioral data and initiates interventions based on individual student need. Functional Behavior Assessments (FBAs) and Behavior Intervention Plans (BIPs) are completed to identify the problem-behavior and interventions/skill needed to initiate positive behavioral results. As needed, students with behavioral problems that are not responding to the BIP and recommended interventions, will be referred to Student Services for a more comprehensive evaluation for possible services under IDEA.

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

Professional development is provided for teachers annually. If teachers request more training, the training will be provided. Paraprofessionals receive training once per month on various topics related to students, students achievement, and various disabilities. 4- The District utilizes local and federal resources to support beginning teachers, provide mentors to struggling teachers, provide consultants to support classroom management and instructional best practices. The District supports school Professional Learning Communities that are focused on Marzano Learning Strategies or Standards-based Instruction. The District utilizes Title I Part A, Title II, and ESSER funds to support curriculum resource teachers, technology integration resources, and data analysis. To recruit and retain teachers,

the District provides VAM bonuses for high-impact teachers, provide Teacher Leadership Program, and supplements for mentoring new teachers.

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

At this time, Hope has no PreK students.

Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| | | | |
|--------|--------|---|--------|
| 1 | III.B. | Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Early Warning System | \$0.00 |
| Total: | | | \$0.00 |

Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

Yes