

2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

# **Table of Contents**

SIP Authority and Purpose I. School Information II. Needs Assessment/Data Review III. Planning for Improvement IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence VI. Title I Requirements VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	11
III. Planning for Improvement	16
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	18
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	19
VI. Title I Requirements	20
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	22

# Lake Eola Charter

135 N MAGNOLIA AVE, Orlando, FL 32801

https://www.lecs.org/

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

#### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

#### **Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <u>https://www.floridacims.org</u>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **I. School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Lake Eola Charter School provides instruction using best practices to develop the 5 C's (Computation, Comprehension, Critical Thinking, Character, Community Engagement) in our family of learners.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

To create a demonstration school that moves the mission to maximum implementation.

#### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

#### **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Parrish, Howard	Principal	Oversee Human Resources arrange & place employment ads review resumes schedule interviews participate in interviews & hiring decisions distribute, collect, & process of new employees facilitate fingerprinting process for renewal employees distribute & collect all necessary new employees facilitate fingerprinting traines oversee all Grants Oversee all Grants Oversee all Grants Scheduling of all students Discipline Appeal Leadership and Administration: Providing overall leadership and direction to the school, developing and implementing policies, managing resources, and orderly learning environment. Staff Management: Hiring, training, and evaluating teachers and administrative staff, and supporting their professional development. Parent and Community Relations: Interacting with parents and community members, addressing concerns, and fostering positive relationships. Budgeting and Financial Management: Managing the school budget, allocating resources appropriately, and ensuring financial accountability. Educational Programs and Activities. Organizing extracurricular activities, educational programs, and events that enhance the learning experience. School Improvement: Identifying areas of improvement, developing improvement plans, and monitoring

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Oversee Instructional Materials Challenge Committee

Oversee Instructional Materials Challenge Committee Oversee Additions and Volunteer Management Oversee Guardian Program

#### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

All stakeholders discuss the needs of the school at our monthly SAC or Board meetings. These committees are made up of parents, teachers, students and community/ business partners. In addition, each month our teachers hold data meetings to discuss the needs of our schools and implement plans to help our student succeed.

#### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP will be monitored each month among the administrative team and will be discussed at each SAC meeting with the entire team.

#### Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status	Active
(per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	Combination School
(per MSID File)	KG-8
Primary Service Type	K 12 Constal Education
(per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2022-23 Title I School Status	No
2022-23 Minority Rate	61%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	0%
Charter School	Yes
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	ATSI
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	Black/African American Students
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	(BLK)*

(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an	Hispanic Students (HSP)
asterisk)	White Students (WHT)
	2021-22: B
School Grades History *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	2019-20: A
	2018-19: A
	2017-18: A
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating History	

#### Early Warning Systems

# Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total		
Absent 10% or more days	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	0	11		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	7	2	23		
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	5	1	17		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Total								
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total				
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1				
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					

#### Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Absent 10% or more school days		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule		

6A-6.0531, F.A.C.

#### The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		
The number of students identified retained:		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Indicator Retained Students: Current Year	Grade Level	Total

#### Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total		
Absent 10% or more school days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

#### The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantar	Grade Level									Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The number of students identified retained:										

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
muicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAT
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

#### ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

#### On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	60	56	53	60	57	55	66		
ELA Learning Gains				54			56		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				30			61		
Math Achievement*	60	59	55	59	41	42	62		
Math Learning Gains				53			41		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				59			20		
Science Achievement*	62	56	52	49	57	54	57		
Social Studies Achievement*		68	68	59	63	59			
Middle School Acceleration	57	74	70	65	52	51	78		
Graduation Rate		82	74		52	50			
College and Career Acceleration		46	53		71	70			
ELP Progress		55	55		73	70			

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	60
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	300
Total Components for the Federal Index	5
Percent Tested	100
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	54
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	488
Total Components for the Federal Index	9
Percent Tested	100
Graduation Rate	

# ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMA	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	43			
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK	35	Yes	2	
HSP	46			
MUL				
PAC				
WHT	78			

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAF	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
FRL				

		2021-22 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMA	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK	36	Yes	1	
HSP	50			
MUL				
PAC				
WHT	68			
FRL				

Accountability Components by Subgroup Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

			2022-2	3 ACCOU	NTABILIT		NENTS BY	SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	60			60			62		57			
SWD	40			45							2	
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	46			33			27				3	
HSP	38			53							2	
MUL												

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress	
PAC													
WHT	77			79			85		71		4		
FRL													

			2021-2	2 ACCOU	NTABILIT	Y СОМРО	NENTS BY	SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	60	54	30	59	53	59	49	59	65			
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	35	38	27	29	53	57	23	26				
HSP	43	45		55	45		44	67				
MUL												
PAC												
WHT	76	63		70	52		71	73				
FRL												

			2020-2	1 ACCOU	NTABILIT	у сомроі	NENTS BY	SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students	66	56	61	62	41	20	57		78			
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	44	55	58	49	25		31					
HSP	63	63		54	45		50					
MUL												
PAC												
WHT	74	54		68	44		69		87			
FRL												

#### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2023 - Spring	65%	54%	11%	54%	11%
07	2023 - Spring	71%	45%	26%	47%	24%
08	2023 - Spring	62%	46%	16%	47%	15%
04	2023 - Spring	60%	60%	0%	58%	2%
06	2023 - Spring	57%	44%	13%	47%	10%
03	2023 - Spring	61%	52%	9%	50%	11%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	46%	53%	-7%	54%	-8%
07	2023 - Spring	83%	38%	45%	48%	35%
03	2023 - Spring	67%	59%	8%	59%	8%
04	2023 - Spring	80%	62%	18%	61%	19%
08	2023 - Spring	40%	58%	-18%	55%	-15%
05	2023 - Spring	52%	55%	-3%	55%	-3%

			SCIENCE			
Grade Year School		School- District District Comparison		State	School- State Comparison	
08	2023 - Spring	62%	50%	12%	44%	18%
05	2023 - Spring	59%	59%	0%	51%	8%

			ALGEBRA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	76%	47%	29%	50%	26%

			GEOMETRY			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	45%	*	48%	*

# **III. Planning for Improvement**

#### Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA Performance, especially in the lowest 25% subgroup and in African Americans.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

ELA in Grades 6-8. This was attributed to a change in teacher midway through the school year.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

ELA performance in middle school. his was attributed to a change in teacher midway through the school year and the need to implement the new BEST standards into the curriculum.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Science growth was the highest growth in our school. This year was a higher level of performing students in 8th Grade than the previous year.

#### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Attendance was major concern last year, along with the ELA and Math performance in Grades 5-8/

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Attendance Improvement ELA Instruction- Grades 6-8 Math Instruction- Grades 4-7 Science Instruction- Grade 5 ELA Instruction- Grades 4-5

#### Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#### #1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Black/African-American

#### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Increase in performance for our Black subgroups in both ELA and Math.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Increase in performance on State FAST Test for all Black students from 38% to 65%.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

We will utilize NWEA Map Testing, iXL data and MTSS instruction to ensure that this goal is monitored and implemented throughout the year.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Howard Parrish (howard.parrish@ocps.net)

#### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

#### NWEA Map Testing for Progress Monitoring

#### **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Gives constant feedback on student growth and assigns areas remediation in specific levels of weakness.

#### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

#### Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

#### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

#### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review FAST PM1 along with NWEA Data to review progress of Black Students in both ELA and Math

Person Responsible: Howard Parrish (howard.parrish@ocps.net)

By When: 12/20/2023

#### #2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Teacher Retention and Recruitment

#### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

With 12 new teachers, it will be crucial to ensure that these teachers are supported, feel welcome and that they chose to return to LECS next year.

#### **Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We will retain 85% of our teaching staff at the end of this school year.

#### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teacher surveys, New Teacher Universities, Climate and Culture team

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Howard Parrish (howard.parrish@ocps.net)

#### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Staff Surveys

#### **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Quick pulse check on how teachers are feeling

#### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

#### Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

#### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Staff Survey to check for understanding and morale.

Person Responsible: Howard Parrish (howard.parrish@ocps.net)

By When: 1/20/2024

# CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

I will work with the School Choice Office in OCPS to review if any additional funding is available to help us reach these goals.

# Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)

#### Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

#### Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

#### Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA

#### Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

#### **Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes**

#### **Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes**

#### Monitoring

#### Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

#### Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

#### **Evidence-based Practices/Programs**

#### **Description:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

#### **Rationale:**

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

#### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

**Action Step** 

Person Responsible for Monitoring

## **Title I Requirements**

#### Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4)) List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

The SIP will be reviewed at a SAC Meeting and will be reviewed at a Faculty In-service Day. It will also be listed on the school web site, www.lecs.org.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

The school will continue to seek input from all parents and community stakeholders through surveys, monthly events and open communication. The Family Engagement Plan will be available on our website, www.lecs.org

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii))

Staff will focus on a crosswalk this year bridging the curriculum between the McRell National Standards that have been used for the past twenty years with the new Florida BEST Standards.

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

NA

**Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan** Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

The school partners with OCPS for Mental Health counseling at this time and we are pursuing funds to hire a counselor to address our students needs and concerns.

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

NA

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

The school is combing a three tiered program of PBIS, Character Education and Conscious Discipline to address behavior concerns.

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

Professional development will be offered throughout the school year on the new Florida BEST standards, as well as encouraging teachers to participate in conferences in their teaching field.

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

NA

### **Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Black/African-American		\$0.00
2	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Teacher Retention and Recruitment		\$0.00
		То	al:	\$0.00

#### Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No