School District of Osceola County, FL

Oasis Residential Center School



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	9
III. Planning for Improvement	13
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	19
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	20
VI. Title I Requirements	22
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	23

Oasis Residential Center

5970 S ORANGE BLOSSOM TRAIL, Intercession City, FL 33848

www.osceolaschools.net

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Osceola County School Board on 10/10/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://www.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of the Oasis Residential Center Education Department is, in concert with the facility and therapy, to provide education as a rehabilitation tool in order to allow students to achieve both academic and personal success.

Provide the school's vision statement.

To inspire and empower students to achieve both academic and personal success.

School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Combs, Karen	Director of Alternative Education	Responsible for the overall development and implementation of the school improvement plan accountable for the overall performance of students while in our care.
Overstreet, Michelle		Provide day-to-day support to teachers to ensure the improvement of goals of the school improvement plan are being executed with fidelity.
Gettel Capone, Denise		Responsible for communication with students, transcripts and career planning.

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The school leadership team and school staff review the SIP plan. This plan is shared with the facility, with the students upon arrival, daily goals, and during parent/student/facility/education and treatment team meetings. Progress towards this plan is discussed on the individual level during every treatment team meeting.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

Data is reviewed during treatment team on the individual level. Data is reviewed by teachers and administrators monthly.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

	<u> </u>
2023-24 Status	Active
(per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	7-12
Primary Service Type	Alternative Education
(per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2022-23 Title I School Status	No
2022-23 Minority Rate	46%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	8%
Charter School	No
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	
*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
	2018-19: MAINTAINING
School Improvement Rating History	2010-13. WAINTAINING
	2017-18: MAINTAINING
DJJ Accountability Rating History	
	•

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level										
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Grade Level										
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

la dia eta u	Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total						
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5						
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4						
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel	l			Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator				Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total					
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1					
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grac	de L	evel				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*					45	52			
ELA Learning Gains					48	52			
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile					40	41			
Math Achievement*					32	41			

Accountability Component		2023		2022		2021			
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
Math Learning Gains					39	48			
Math Lowest 25th Percentile					44	49			
Science Achievement*					54	61			
Social Studies Achievement*					61	68			
Middle School Acceleration									
Graduation Rate							0		
College and Career Acceleration									
ELP Progress									

^{*} In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index						
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)						
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students						
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No					
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target						
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index						
Total Components for the Federal Index						
Percent Tested						
Graduation Rate						

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index							
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)							
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students							
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target							
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index							
Total Components for the Federal Index							

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Graduation Rate	

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY												
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%									
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

	2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY												
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%										
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress	
All Students													
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress	
All Students													
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress	
All Students										0			
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
10	2023 - Spring	*	47%	*	50%	*
08	2023 - Spring	*	40%	*	47%	*
09	2023 - Spring	*	43%	*	48%	*
06	2023 - Spring	*	39%	*	47%	*

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	*	40%	*	54%	*
08	2023 - Spring	*	48%	*	55%	*

III. Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The area we would like to improve on is working with post secondary school plans. Because our students have a transient nature, many of them miss out on planning at their home zone school or establishing relationships with guidance counselors in order to ensure that they complete interest inventories and create a post secondary plan. We began working on this last school year, but we have new tools available called Florida Ready To Work and Xello that can be used to help our students improve planning for their future.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

n/a

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Students passing state assessments- because of the nature of the program (substance abuse) and the transitory nature of the students, being in one place for instruction is a concern.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our credit accrual showed the most improvement. We worked hard to ensure students had complete and correct credit checks and access to student guidance counselor so they could understand the requirements for high school graduation. This will be a continued area of focus for our students and staff.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Progress academically

Thoroughness of assigned class correctness.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Accurate transcript records and transcript analysis

Data chats and explanation of graduation requirements upon entry

Continued progress in classes assigned so that students can maintain learning while in treatment.

Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Students at the Oasis residential center often struggle with academic skills including foundational mathematics skills as evidenced by their algebra 1EOC passing rates.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Based on attendance of 120 days or more, Oasis will improve eligible student scoring at a level three or higher on the algebra 1 EOC 10%, or a measurable improvement, from 22-23 to 23-24.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Student mathematical progress and classes will be monitored by administrators and guidance counselor, and discussed during PLCs to ensure maximum learning from students.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Oasis will use academic personalization for each student in combination with 1:1 technology, digital content and data based decision making in order to ensure each student is receiving instruction that is pertinent and at their level. Progress towards graduation will be shared with all stakeholders at the end of each nine weeks, after classes are completed, and when they leave the program. Data chats and graduation plans will be discussed and reviewed with individual students, guidance, and administration to ensure that students are making adequate progress each term towards graduation.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Oasis is a small and transient campus. It is limited by the confines of a restricted facility and multi grade classrooms, however, our supportive district has ensured robust online learning resources through Edgenuity and technology in order for teachers to provide a blended model for students instruction. According to a study published in the International Journal of Educational Technology and Higher Education in 2017, an effective blended learning model combines both learning management system and face-to-face instruction along with academic engagement at the teachers and students.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Students will receive credit checks and academic counseling from the guidance counselor upon entry, and after every semester or more frequently as classes are completed. Administration will review the credit checks as well as the progress of each student academically.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: Credit checks will be reviewed each month for students who have entered Oasis.

Students will receive credit checks and academic counseling from the guidance counselor upon entry, and after every semester or more frequently as classes are completed. Administration will review the credit checks as well as the progress of each student academically.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: Credit checks will be reviewed each month for students who have entered Oasis.

Progress in Edgenuity classes will be monitored daily by the teacher, weekly by the guidance counselor, and monthly by the assistant principal.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: each week and each month data will be reviewed at the various levels.

Students will be given a personalized review by the classroom teachers prior to district required or state required mathematics assessments. Students participate in progress monitoring and reviewing standards previously taught at the beginning window of each assessment.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: prior to each assessment window, standards will be reviewed.

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Students at the Oasis Residential Center often struggle with academic skills including language arts skills as evidenced by FSA passing rates and FAST scores.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Based on attendance of 120 days or more, Oasis will improve students scoring at a level three or higher on the FSA 10% from 22-23 to 23-24 by 10 percent or a measurable increase.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Student language arts progress in classes will be monitored by administrators and guidance counselors and discussed during PLCs to ensure maximum learning from students.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Oasis will use academic personalization for each student in combination with one to one technology, digital content, and data based decision making in order to ensure each student is receiving instruction that is pertinent and at their level. progress towards graduation will be shared with all stakeholders at the end of each nine weeks, after classes are completed, and when they leave the program. Data chats and graduation plans will be discussed and reviewed with individual students, guidance, and administration to ensure that students are making adequate progress each term towards graduation.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Oasis is a small and transient campus. It is limited by the confines of a restricted facility, however, our supportive district has ensured robust online learning resources through Edgenuity and technology in order to provide a blended model for students instruction. According to a study published by the International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education in 2017, an effective blended learning model combines both learning management system and face-to-face instruction along with active engagement with teachers and students

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Students will receive credit checks and academic counseling from the guidance counselor upon entry, after every semester, or more frequently as classes are completed. Administration will review the credit checks as well as the progress of each student academically.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: entry, each semester or more frequently as classes are completed.

Students will be placed in appropriate classes by the guidance counselor each semester based on review of the transcript. An administrator will review these each semester. This is to ensure that students are being placed appropriately and can move forward and their academic progression of classes.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: Progress in the Edgenuity classes will be monitored daily by the teacher, weekly by the guidance counselor, and monthly by the assistant principal.

Students will be given personalized review by the classroom teachers prior to district required or state required assessments by reviewing standards previously taught prior to the beginning of the window of each assessment.

Person Responsible: Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

By When: prior to each state assessment.

#3. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Students experience a myriad of emotions as they are dealing with addiction for a variety of reasons. Students need to feel safe and secure in their new school location and develop relationships with students.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Oasis will establish school wide rituals and routines and will make them visible in all classrooms

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teachers will share the information they have developed for students and evidence will be visible during observations and walkthroughs.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Overstreet (michelle.overstreet@osceolaschools.net)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Family culture is developed by routines and rituals. Reasoning for these will be explained to students and reteach will be done when students come in new and/or forget the routines and rituals.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

Nο

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

n/a

Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

n/a

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA

n/a

Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50
 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes

n/a

Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes

n/a

Monitoring

Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

n/a

Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Evidence-based Practices/Programs

Description:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

n/a

Rationale:

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

n/a

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

n/a

Title I Requirements

Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4)) List the school's webpage* where the SIP is made publicly available.

n/a- Not title I

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

n/a Not Title I

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii))

n/a Not Title I

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

n/a Not Title I

Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

n/a Not Title I

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

n/a Not Title I

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

n/a Not Title I

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

n/a Not Title I

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

n/a Not Title I

Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
2	III.B.	Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00
3	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Other	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00

Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No