School District of Osceola County, FL

Lincoln Marti Charter Schools(Osceola Campus)



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	9
III. Planning for Improvement	13
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	16
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	16
VI. Title I Requirements	18
VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus	20

Lincoln Marti Charter Schools(Osceola Campus)

2244 FORTUNE RD, Kissimmee, FL 34744

www.lincoln-marti.com/charters/

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Osceola County School Board on 9/28/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://www.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Lincoln-Marti Charter School Osceola Campus mission is to provide the best quality education and instill in our students values that will make them better citizens, better workers and better human beings to contribute for the progress of our society.

Provide the school's vision statement.

At Lincoln-Marti we believe that the quality of any nation, state, city, community and family must be judged by the preparation and advancement of the individuals who comprise them.

School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
.BERT, RALIN	Principal	Serves as the educational leader who oversees day to day school operations. Establishes a shared perspective for utilizing data-driven choices; oversees the execution of the School Improvement Plan. Maintains open communication with stakeholders about school-oriented academic strategies and encourages collaboration in the school's decision-making process.

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The school holds at minimum four EESAC meetings within the school year. The school ensures that all stakeholders involved in the school - decision process which include school administration, teachers, students, parents and community members participate in the development, execution and monitoring of the school improvement plan.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

At the conclusion of every Progress Monitoring Assessment period, the school leadership team will thoroughly analyze the school-wide data. The SIP Mid-year reflection will be developed based on the assessment results and any trending data concerns. Strategies and supplemental programs will be discussed in order to assist students overcome any academic barriers.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status (per MSID File) School Type and Grades Served Combination School (per MSID File) Primary Service Type (per MSID File) Combination School (per MSID File) R-12 General Education Yes 2022-23 Title I School Status Yes 2022-23 Minority Rate 73% 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate Charter School RAISE School RAISE School ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 Feligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal thresheld are identified with an		
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) RG-8 Primary Service Type (per MSID File) 2022-23 Title I School Status 2022-23 Minority Rate 73% 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate Charter School RAISE School RAISE School *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) Combination School KG-8 K-12 General Education Yes 23% A7% A7% A7% A7% A7% ATSI English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)		Active
Primary Service Type (per MSID File) R-12 General Education 2022-23 Title I School Status 2022-23 Minority Rate 73% 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate 36% Charter School RAISE School RAISE School *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) KG-8 KG-8 K-12 General Education 73% AFSI Primary Service Type K-12 General Education Yes ATSI Seligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) No 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	ν ,	Combination School
(per MSID File) 2022-23 Title I School Status 2022-23 Minority Rate 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate 36% Charter School RAISE School *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) **RAISE School ATSI No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	T =	KG-8
2022-23 Title I School Status 2022-23 Minority Rate 2022-23 Minority Rate 73% 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate Charter School RAISE School RAISE School *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) Yes No ATSI No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	Primary Service Type	V 12 Conoral Education
2022-23 Minority Rate 2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate 36% Charter School Yes RAISE School No ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 ATSI Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) Figure 173% ATSI No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	(per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate Charter School Yes RAISE School No ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 ATSI Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	2022-23 Title I School Status	Yes
Charter School RAISE School No ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 ATSI Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) No 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	2022-23 Minority Rate	73%
RAISE School ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	36%
ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024 Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	Charter School	Yes
updated as of 3/11/2024 ATSI Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) English Language Learners (ELL) Hispanic Students (HSP)	RAISE School	No
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) 2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) No English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)	ESSA Identification	
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented English Language Learners (ELL)* (subgroups with 10 or more students) Hispanic Students (HSP)	*updated as of 3/11/2024	ATSI
(subgroups with 10 or more students) Hispanic Students (HSP)	Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
	2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	English Language Learners (ELL)*
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an Economically Disadvantaged Students	(subgroups with 10 or more students)	Hispanic Students (HSP)
(subgroups below the rederal threshold are identified with air	(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an	Economically Disadvantaged Students
asterisk) (FRL)	asterisk)	(FRL)
School Grades History 2021-22: A	I	2021-22· Δ
*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	2021-22. A
School Improvement Rating History	School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating History	DJJ Accountability Rating History	

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

la dia eta u			Gı	ad	e L	_ev	el			Tatal
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Absent 10% or more days	4	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	9
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	6	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	16
Course failure in Math	6	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	11
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	6	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	6	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	11
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel	l			Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	6	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	14

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOtal
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Gr	ad	e L	_ev	el			Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAI
Absent 10% or more days	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grac	de L	evel				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IOlai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Gı	ad	e L	_ev	el			Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAI
Absent 10% or more days	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	54	48	53	100	50	55			
ELA Learning Gains									
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*	58	47	55	100	42	42			
Math Learning Gains									
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
Science Achievement*		46	52		45	54			
Social Studies Achievement*		67	68		53	59			
Middle School Acceleration		75	70		45	51			
Graduation Rate		77	74		46	50			
College and Career Acceleration		59	53		67	70			
ELP Progress	53	53	55	40	73	70	67		

^{*} In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	55
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	218
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	100
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	80
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	240
Total Components for the Federal Index	3
Percent Tested	100
Graduation Rate	

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAF	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL	53			
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP	52			
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	50			

		2021-22 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAF	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL	40	Yes	1	
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP	80			
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	100			

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

			2022-2	3 ACCOU	NTABILIT	Y COMPO	NENTS BY	/ SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	54			58								53
SWD												
ELL	57			50							3	53
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP	52			56							4	50
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL	36			64							2	

			2021-2	2 ACCOU	NTABILIT'	Y COMPO	NENTS BY	' SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	100			100								40
SWD												
ELL												40
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP	100			100								40
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL	100			100								

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students												67
SWD												
ELL												67

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress	
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP												67	
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

Grade Level Data Review — State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
04	2023 - Spring	60%	49%	11%	58%	2%
03	2023 - Spring	53%	44%	9%	50%	3%

MATH									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			
03	2023 - Spring	47%	49%	-2%	59%	-12%			
04	2023 - Spring	90%	48%	42%	61%	29%			

III. Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Although the results of the 2022-2023 official assessment achievements have not yet been released, The school analyzed internal school-wide data obtained from the 2022-2023 assessments. It was concluded that the area with the lowest performance was Math with 62% efficiency while in Reading they obtained 65%. The contributing factors are:

COVID-19 academic barrier: These third graders were in kindergarten when schools closed due to COVID-19.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The largest decrease was in Math. The time factor that gives you to solve each exercise. If they do not do it within a certain time, the system removes them from the test.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The data component with the largest gap compared to the district and state average was ELA 3rd grade progress monitoring. Compared to him district we were below Proficiency and state level. The contributing factors are:

COVID-19 academic barrier: These third graders were in kindergarten when schools closed due to COVID-19. Additionally, this grade level had the highest percentage of students classified as ELL.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Overall the 2022-2023 data reflects that our school decreased in all areas.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

According to data, the 2 areas of concern are the number of Level 1s in ELA and Math. obtaining a level 1 in the F.A.S.T English Language Arts Progress Monitoring 3 Evaluation.I F.A.S.T. Motorsports Math Progress 3 Assessment.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Increase proficiency in English language arts.
- 2. Increase mastery of Mathematics.
- 3. Expand School levels (5th grade)
- 4 Open a Reading area (Library)
- 5. Increase overall student attendance...

Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Attendance and academics are two interconnected aspects of education that play a crucial role in a student's overall learning and development. Therefore, our area of focus is to increase our overall student attendance average.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

The school will increase its percent of student attendance by 2%.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The Area of Focus (attendance) will be monitored through leadership team meetings on a bi-weekly basis. In addition, teachers will continue to review the attendance bulletin on a daily basis.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Early Intervention: The school will identify students who are struggling with attendance and address the issues early by providing counseling sessions, meetings with parents, or providing additional support. Recognize and Reward Attendance: The school will implement attendance rewards programs where students with good attendance are acknowledged and rewarded.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

The rationale for implementing evidence-based interventions to improve student attendance is grounded in the understanding that regular attendance is a fundamental pillar of academic success and overall student well-being. Evidence-based interventions are approaches that have been proven effective through research and data analysis.

Early Intervention: Implementing evidence-based interventions allows schools to identify attendance issues early and provide timely support to students at risk of chronic absenteeism. Addressing attendance challenges proactively prevents more severe academic issues throughout the school year.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Review and monitor attendance data during leadership meetings.

Conduct parent workshops on the importance of positive attendance trends and student academic achievement.

Person Responsible: SIRALIN ALBERT (salberttorres@lincoln-marti.com)

By When: 8/10/23-5/29/23.

CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

Reread, retell a text, Make prediction, Identify: Events, Cause & Effect, Details: Time Order, Fact & Opinion, Problem & Solution, Summarize.

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA

Reread, make Inference, Ask & Answer, Topic & Detail, Detail: Characters & Setting, Visualize, Compare & Contract, Analyze Text Evidence, Analyze Prompt, Perspective, Summarize.

Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50
 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes

Kindergarten:

Below 25th: 13% (50%) 25th to 49: 3 (12%) 50th to 74th: 3 (12%) 75th to above 7: (27%)

First:

Below: 25th: 5 (38%) 25th to 49th: 2: (15%) 50th to 74th: 1 (8%) 75th to above 2 (15%)

Second:

Below: 25th: 8 (62%) 25th to 49th: 1 (8%) 50th to 74th: 2 (15%)

Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes

3rd & 4th:

Level 1: 6 (23%) Level 2: 8 (31%) Level 3: 6 (23%) Level 4: (23%)

Monitoring

Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

The Area of Focus (attendance) will be monitored through leadership team meetings on a bi-weekly basis.

In addition, teachers will continue to review the attendance bulletin on a daily basis.

Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

ALBERT, SIRALIN, salberttorres@lincoln-marti.com

Evidence-based Practices/Programs

Description:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

We use Wonders guided Curriculum of the weekly plans and the Standards that they provide us for each exercise established per day according to the Literacy Genre.

Example: Wonder curriculum, Grade, Unit, Week, Weekly Planner you access weekly Standards.

Rationale:

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

The Curriculum provide Differentiated Instructions by Level of Complicity Included Ell's Students.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step	Person Responsible for Monitoring
The administration will monitor the data obtained throughout the school year. Administrative-teacher data chats will be conducted at the conclusion of each assessment. Interventions will be provided to qualifying students.	ALBERT, SIRALIN, salberttorres@lincoln-marti.com

Title I Requirements

Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4)) List the school's webpage* where the SIP is made publicly available.

The School Improvement Plan is developed and reviewed within the school year with stakeholders during EESAC meetings. The SIP is also shared with parents during the Title I Annual Meeting. The School Improvement Plan is accessible to the Public on our school's website: https://lincoln-marticharters.com/pfep-int/

The SIP is also available at the request of the public in our school's reception.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

The Family Involvement Plan with parents during the EESAC Annual Meeting. family commitment The public can access the plan on our school website: https://lincoln-marticharters.com/general-program-int/

The SIP is also available upon public request at the reception of our school.

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii))

Our school's commitment to student excellence is underscored by our systematic approach. Determined by performance benchmarks, pathways are established

for mathematics, and language literature. The main objective of the school is to expand the school levels (5th Grade)

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

N/A

Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

N/A

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

N/A

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

N/A

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

N/A

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

N/A

Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Other	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00

Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No