**The School District of Palm Beach County** 

# **Bear Lakes Middle School**



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

# **Table of Contents**

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	14
III. Planning for Improvement	19
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	26
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	0
VI. Title I Requirements	26
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	0

### Bear Lakes Middle School

3505 SHENANDOAH BLVD, West Palm Beach, FL 33409

https://blms.palmbeachschools.org

### **School Board Approval**

This plan was approved by the Palm Beach County School Board on 10/18/2023.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### **Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)**

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### **Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">https://www.floridacims.org</a>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### I. School Information

### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Bear Lakes Middle School is to educate, affirm, and inspire each student in an equityembedded school environment.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Bear Lakes Middle School envisions a dynamic collaborative multicultural community where education and lifelong learning are valued and supported, and all learners reach their highest potential and succeed in the global economy.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

### **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Howell, Kirk	Principal	The Principal will monitor and work will all staff listed above to ensure implementation with MTSS and SIP support. The Principal oversees the execution and monitoring of all strategies and action steps towards continuous improvement process at the school. The Principal will guide and facilitate instruction with the use of best practices and school district recommended resources/materials. It is the principal's responsibility to deepen the understanding of standards and engage faculty, students, parents, and the community members to understand the standards and the vision of academic success aligned to college and career readiness. In addition, the principal hires and retains highly qualified employees, uses data to inform decisions and instruction, professional learning, performance, and student learning. The principal quickly and proactively addresses problems in instruction and student learning. Finally, as principal, Dr. Howell must reflect on competing priorities and focus attention on those that will have the greatest leverage in improving instruction and learning.
Marks, Michelle	Assistant Principal	As assistant Principal, Mrs. Marks Supports professional learning and collaboration amongst teachers and resource staff and facilitates and leads professional learning focused on content, instruction, and pedagogical content knowledge. She must demonstrate through daily decisions and actions that the school's priority is academic success for every student. The Assistant Principal assists with eliminating barriers and distractions that interfere with effective teaching and learning. Supports the principal in building a culture of pride, trust, and respect. Monitors the implementation of cultural competence, equity, and access within the instructional practices at the school center. She also monitors and improves instruction by visiting classrooms to support and monitor instruction.
Hytower, Lathan	Assistant Principal	As assistant Principal, Mr. Hytower supports professional learning and collaboration amongst teachers and resource staff and facilitates and leads professional learning focused on content, instruction, and pedagogical content knowledge. She must demonstrate through daily decisions and actions that the school's priority is academic success for every student. The Assistant Principal assists with eliminating barriers and distractions that interfere with effective teaching and learning. Supports the principal in building a culture of pride, trust, and respect. Monitors the implementation of cultural competence, equity, and access within the instructional practices at the school center. She also monitors and improves instruction by visiting classrooms to support and monitor instruction.
Miller- Anderson, KaShamba	Assistant Principal	As assistant Principal, Mrs. Miller Anderson supports professional learning and collaboration amongst teachers and resource staff and facilitates and leads professional learning focused on content, instruction, and pedagogical content knowledge. She must demonstrate through daily decisions and actions that the school's priority is academic success for every student. The Assistant Principal assists with eliminating barriers and distractions that

	Desition	
Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		interfere with effective teaching and learning. Supports the principal in building a culture of pride, trust, and respect. Monitors the implementation of cultural competence, equity, and access within the instructional practices at the school center. She also monitors and improves instruction by visiting classrooms to support and monitor instruction.
English, Jennifer	Reading Coach	The Literacy Coach assists with the coordination and implementation of the District approved ELA curriculum, which follows state standards. She utilizes the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, and providing feedback) with teachers at the school site. Provides site based professional development to staff that is aligned to the needs of students based upon student assessment data. Assists administration and the classroom teachers in the interpretation of student assessment data. Participates in professional development and shares the content with school staff. She participates in and facilitate weekly Professional Learning Communities or PLC's. Finally, the Literacy Coach will provide support to classroom teachers in assisting with the Response to Intervention (RTI) process and ensure SIP goals are met for ELA (Reading/Writing). She will also lead standards-based planning and follow the FCIM coaching cycle.
Alexander, Terry	Math Coach	Provide insight/input on academic achievement, analyze and aggregate data, and work as liaisons with classroom teachers. Academic Coaches are designated liaisons to certain departments to maintain a close connection to the curriculum and decision-making process of the specified department(s). Additionally, they attend designated Common Planning meetings to provide insight and leadership for curriculum decisions.
Williams, Sparkle	Curriculum Resource Teacher	Social Studies Resource Teacher will provide support to all students in reading and civics for students in grades 6-8 through through a push-in/pull-out model of instruction for targeted students
Bartley, Tennesa	Other	Participate in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitate development of intervention plans; provide support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provide professional development and technical evaluation; facilitate data-based decision making activities.
Lemons, Celeste	ELL Compliance Specialist	The ESOL Contact assists school staff with ensuring ESOL program compliance. She works to assist ESOL Resource teachers in implementing school based ESOL services. Collaborates with community agencies and organizations in assisting families to access available resources. Monitors and conducts LEP student assessment and placement procedures. Conducts demonstration lessons for ESOL and support teachers in comprehensible instruction for LEP students. Coordinates ESOL record keeping requirements. Establishes school data collection, analysis, and reporting systems to assess student progress. Finally, she assists school staff in ensuring ESOL program compliance.

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Bauutista, Josephite	Teacher, ESE	The ESE Contact manages the caseload of ESE students and assists teachers and staff in coordinating ESE Services and related services for students with disabilities. She coordinates, organizes, and facilitates IEP meetings to ensure necessary participants are in attendance. Collaborates with teachers to provide suggested strategies and accommodations to best meet the individual needs and assist students in meeting goals as defined in the IEP. Provides families with required information regarding IDEA Procedural Safeguards. Finally, she establishes and maintains cooperative working relationships by consulting regularly with internal and external customers such as: students, parents, teachers, counselors, related service providers, agencies, etc.

### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The School Behavior Health Professional (SBHP) supports the behavioral and mental health of students and works along with the school counselors. The SBHP position started in 2019 as part of the Marjory Stoneman Douglass High School Public Safety Act to have more mental health professionals in schools. Through Parent Trainings we support families with educational workshops facilitated by our school counselors, Behavior Health Professional, reading and math coaches, ESOL, ESE, and Single School Culture Coordinators and the Administrative Team.

Our ESOL Coordinator and ESOL School Counselor work in conjunction with the District's multicultural department to ensure the fidelity of implementation of programs and services designed to improve the outcomes of English Language Learners. A District Migrant Liaison works with our ESOL Coordinator and ESOL School Counselor to provide school and community support services for families of migrant students. These supports are supplemental to school-wide supports for students and families. A school district officer is on campus every day for the safety and security of all students and staff. The school has one point of entry for everyone. Fortify Florida Application is on every computer, and students are made aware of this "app" in our assemblies. The "Raptor System" is used to sign parents/visitors before they can go to a classroom, or school event on campus.

### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) is a living document that memorializes the continuous improvement work we do at our school. The SIP is updated throughout the year to ensure proper documentation of what we do. Continuous improvement at the forefront of what we do. We work collaboratively to review and analyze data. We make decisions based on the data to ensure all students receive the necessary support and accommodations during instruction. Our team works towards the following student achievement goals:

· Strategic visioning and planning

- Problem identification and root cause analysis
- Developing action steps towards improvement
- · Creating and maintaining a culture of collaboration towards shared decision-making
- Supporting professional learning and improvement

Monitoring will take place throughout the year. We will monitor mastery of grade level benchmarks through the use of District Diagnostics: USA, Midterms, Semester exams, Achieve 3000, Imagine Learning, FAST Progress Monitoring, Florida Standard Assessments, End of Course assessments, and, teacher made assessments.

The FAST assessments will occur three times a year (PM 1, 2, &; 3 in Mathematics and English Language Arts). The FAST assessments will occur one to two times a year in Algebra I and Geometry. The annual test administered for ELL students is WIDA ACCESS. The WIDA is used to assess ELL students; proficiency in the areas of speaking, listening, reading, and writing. Teachers are trained by the ESOL Coordinator to assess data, modify, and implement differentiated instruction based on the results of data.

The annual test for ESE students is the FSAA. The FSAA is used to assess ESE students; proficiency in all content areas to include: English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies. Teachers are trained by the ESE Coordinator to assess data, modify, and implement differentiated instruction, based on the results of data.

The Single school culture (Academics, Behavior, Climate) Academics: Collaborative Planning Communities and

Professional Learning Communities occur every week per content area. Content area teachers meet with the academic coaches and administration to discuss and analyze data and modify instruction. Student work and best practices are shared and analyzed during Administrative Team meetings, Professional Learning Communities, the Instructional Leadership Team meetings, Faculty meetings, and School Advisory Council meetings.

Teachers follow the scope and sequence as outlined on the Palm Beach County curriculum resource on blender and C-Palms. This ensures that teachers have a concrete timeline as well as the resources to provide quality instruction on the mandated curriculum.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

o Employing frequent monitoring will allow us to adjust the instructional focus for remediation, remediating deficiencies before they become substantial. In addition, we will be able individualize instruction to best meet the needs of our students, thus increasing student achievement.

We strategically plan for a variety of monitoring techniques:

- ? Review of Lesson Plans,
- ? Data Analysis,
- ? Classroom walks.
- ? Student attendance,
- ? Data Chats,
- ? Formal Observations,
- ? Professional Learning Communities attendance/participation,
- ? Formative/Summative Assessments and Technology.

### **Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	Middle School
(per MSID File)	6-8

Primary Service Type	
(per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2022-23 Title I School Status	Yes
2022-23 Minority Rate	95%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	100%
Charter School	No
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	ATSI
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
	Students With Disabilities (SWD)*
	English Language Learners (ELL)
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	Black/African American Students (BLK)
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	Hispanic Students (HSP)
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an	Multiracial Students (MUL)
asterisk)	White Students (WHT)
	Economically Disadvantaged Students
	(FRL)
	2021-22: C
Sahaal Guadaa History	2019-20: C
School Grades History *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
2022-20 3011001 grades will serve as all illiornational baseline.	2018-19: C
	2017-18: C
Cabaal Impressant Dating History	
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating History	

### **Early Warning Systems**

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator				Grade Level									
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	24	22	66			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	48	30	130			
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	9	5	22			
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	23	10	46			
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	150	157	113	420			
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	111	95	333			
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(	Grac	de L	evel				Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator		Grade Level											
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	6			

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator				Grade Level										
muicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total				
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	19	11	44				
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	35	20	82				
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	18	38				
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	34	5	49				
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	135	108	352				
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	155	104	390				
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	135	108	352				

### The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator				(	Gra	de L	evel			Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	126	81	307

### The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOLAT
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4

### Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

### The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Total							
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	19	11	44
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	35	20	82
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	18	38
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	34	5	49
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	135	108	352
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	155	104	390
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	135	108	352

### The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator				(	Gra	de L	evel			Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	126	81	307

### The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4

### II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

### ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	31	51	49	31	53	50	33		
ELA Learning Gains				39			39		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				39			44		
Math Achievement*	33	59	56	30	35	36	19		
Math Learning Gains				53			16		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				55			20		
Science Achievement*	22	50	49	29	56	53	20		
Social Studies Achievement*	44	68	68	51	64	58	49		
Middle School Acceleration	67	76	73	85	52	49	69		
Graduation Rate					50	49			
College and Career Acceleration					70	70			
ELP Progress	41	37	40	38	85	76	41		

<sup>\*</sup> In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

### **ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)**

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	40
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	238
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	95
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	45
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	450
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	96
Graduation Rate	

## ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMA	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	21	Yes	4	1
ELL	32	Yes	1	
AMI				
ASN				
BLK	40	Yes	1	
HSP	40	Yes	1	
MUL	53			
PAC				
WHT	44			

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAF	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
FRL	37	Yes	1	

		2021-22 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAR	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	34	Yes	3	
ELL	41			
AMI				
ASN				
BLK	46			
HSP	46			
MUL	52			
PAC				
WHT	43			
FRL	45			

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress		
All Students	31			33			22	44	67			41		
SWD	19			20			21	31			5	15		
ELL	21			24			15	38	50		6	41		
AMI														
ASN														
BLK	30			30			19	42	66		6	55		
HSP	31			37			24	45	69		6	35		
MUL	56			50							2			

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress		
PAC														
WHT	35			49				47			3			
FRL	30			32			22	41	63		6	35		

	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	31	39	39	30	53	55	29	51	85			38
SWD	27	39	32	27	46	42	33	39				25
ELL	26	39	34	31	55	51	19	45	75			38
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	28	39	43	27	51	54	28	51	84			53
HSP	35	38	36	37	60	62	27	49	90			25
MUL	50	67		35	56							
PAC												
WHT	36	37		43	57							
FRL	30	38	39	30	54	57	28	52	85			38

			2020-2	1 ACCOU	NTABILIT	Y COMPO	NENTS BY	' SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students	33	39	44	19	16	20	20	49	69			41
SWD	21	32	36	14	10	8	15	43	65			
ELL	32	40	44	17	14	23	7	41	64			41
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	32	38	46	18	16	20	20	50	67			50
HSP	36	45	37	21	14	24	18	38	71			30
MUL	60	20										
PAC												
WHT	35	55		24	18				80			
FRL	32	39	44	19	16	22	20	48	70			41

### Grade Level Data Review- State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2023 - Spring	24%	48%	-24%	47%	-23%
08	2023 - Spring	29%	47%	-18%	47%	-18%
06	2023 - Spring	28%	45%	-17%	47%	-19%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	26%	54%	-28%	54%	-28%
07	2023 - Spring	25%	36%	-11%	48%	-23%
08	2023 - Spring	42%	65%	-23%	55%	-13%

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2023 - Spring	19%	46%	-27%	44%	-25%

			ALGEBRA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	80%	48%	32%	50%	30%

			GEOMETRY			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	75%	50%	25%	48%	27%

			BIOLOGY			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	63%	*	63%	*

			CIVICS			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	38%	65%	-27%	66%	-28%

### III. Planning for Improvement

### **Data Analysis/Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA FY19 FY22 FY23 6 32 29 28 7 28 24 24 8 30 25 29 SWD 7 10 13

MATH FY19 FY22 FY23 6 32 23 26 7 9 11 25 8 33 28 42 SWD 16 13 14

SCIENCE FY19 FY22 FY23 22 23 19

CIVICS FY19 FY22 FY23 57 46 38

Science showed the lowest performance at 19% proficient. There were new teachers in 8th grade science due to turnover and they were not familiar with the curriculum and were learning as the year progressed.

Our ELA data decreased over the past four years, both overall and for students with disabilities, owing partially to having three vacancies in Language Arts for more than half of the year.

Civics has shown the most drastic decline from FY19 to FY 23 of 19 percent, as we have had continuous turnover in Civics over the past three years.

Our students with disabilities are showing some improvement in ELA, from 7 to 13%, but are still far below the threshold in ELA and in Math.

Based on this data,

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Civics showed the greatest decline (8%) from the prior year. Both teachers were new to the content and were learning as the year progressed.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Science and Civics proficiency showed the greatest gaps when compared to the state average with a difference of 28% each. The teachers for both areas were new to the content and not effectively prepared to teach the content and prepare the students for the test.

School State

ELA

6 28 47

7 24 47

8 29 47

Math

6 26 54

7 25 48

8 42 55

Science 19 47

Civics 38 66

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Mathematics showed the greatest improvement, particularly 7th and 8th grade, with increases of 14% in both grade levels . Our actions included: more consistent collaborative teaching and academic support and capacity building by the Math coach and resource teacher.

### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

The EWS shows that almost 50% of our students are level 1 in ELA/Reading and more than a third of our students scored a level 1 in Math.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Our highest priorities for school improvement for the upcoming school year are:

- 1. ELA instructional delivery
- 2. Science instructional delivery
- 3. Civics instructional delivery
- 1. In addition, our school will infuse the content required by Florida Statute 1003.42(2) and S.B. Policy 2.09 (8)(b)(ii), as applicable to appropriate grade levels, including but not limited to:
- (g) History of the Holocaust
- (h) History of Africans and African Americans
- (i) History of Asian Americans & Pacific Islanders
- (o) Health Education, Life Skills & Social Media
- (q) Hispanic Contributions

- (r) Women's Contributions
- (t) Civic & Character Education
- (u) Sacrifices of Veterans, and the value of Medal of Honor recipients
- 2. Character-development program (required K-12) with curriculum to address: patriotism; responsibility; citizenship; kindness; respect for authority, life, liberty, and personal property; honesty; charity; self-control; racial, ethnic, and religious tolerance; and cooperation.
- 3. Our school highlights multicultural diversity within the curriculum and the arts.

### **Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

### #1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other

### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Our data has shown a steady decline in ELA, with a decrease from 31% to 27% proficiency from 2022 - 2023 and slight increases in Math, but more than 60% of our students are still not meeting the standard.

#### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

By May 2024, at least 30% of the students at Bear Lakes Middle School will demonstrate proficiency on the FAST Reading assessment. We expect our data to increase by at least 2% across all sub-groups in Math and ELA, with particular focus on SWD and ELL.

### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Data will be continuously monitored via the three State Progress Monitoring assessments and district USAs.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jennifer English (jennifer.english@palmbeachschools.org)

#### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

We will have opportunities for professional development and professional learning communities through collaborative planning.

Data analysis to strengthen standards based instruction.

Resource teachers will work with small groups of targeted students to provide strategic, differentiated instruction.

Instructional coaches will model, coach and build teacher capacity.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Small group differentiated instruction will allow students to have their specific needs addressed to help them master the concept that they are having difficulty with during the course of a lesson. Data analysis will identify students who are struggling with concepts taught in class. Coaches will support teachers and provide professional development to improve effective and engaging instruction.

### Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Resource Teachers identify students needing strategic support and create schedules and push into classrooms to provide differentiated instruction.
- 2. Professional development/professional learning communities to focus on data analysis and effective

instruction. Teachers will collaborate to plan and develop lessons aligned to the standards.

3. Coaches - coaches will build the capacity of teachers.

Person Responsible: Michelle Marks (michelle.marks@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** This will be monitored continuously through classroom walkthroughs, with checks after each USA and Progress Monitoring assessment.

### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Benchmark-aligned Instruction

### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

If we focus on standards-based instruction to increase learning gains in school-wide ELA and Math, then we will increase student achievement and ensure alignment to the District's Strategic Plan; This area of focus aligns directly with our District Strategic Plan, Theme A-Goal 3, Academic Excellence; growth. Our first instructional priority is to deliver the content, concept, or skill that is aligned to the benchmark and intended learning.

The results of our overall ELA and Science achievement were our lowest performing categories. Data indicates we need to review what is being taught, how it's being taught and make decisions to make the changes necessary to support all learners.

FY19 FY22 FY23

ELA SWD 7 10 13

MATH SWD 16 13 14

The gap between 2023 ELA Achievement (27%) and the District average (49%) is 22 percentage points. The gap between 2023 Mathematics Achievement (31%) and the District average (55%) is 24 percentage points. Science Achievement declined from 29 percentage points to 19 percentage points in 2023, reflecting a gap with the District of 32 percentage points. ELA ESSA data shows SWD (13%), and ELLs (7%) and Math ESSA data shows SWD (15%), and ELLs (20%) do not meet the required federal threshold of 41 percentage points.

Our second instructional priority is to ensure instructional practice will focus on supporting a teacher's ability to plan, implement, and assess high-quality, standards-based lessons that focus on instructional delivery practices requiring students to do the cognitive lift. Our goal is to be strategic and focus on standard-based instruction to ensure best practices: building teacher capacity through professional development and providing targeted and intensive support for our needlest students through small group instruction in all content areas. We will establish a culture of high expectations and continuous improvement.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Student Learning Outcomes:

By February 2024, we will increase the overall percentage of students making learning gains on the ELA Progress Monitoring by at least 2% bringing us to 29%. By May 2024, Bear Lakes Middle school will attempt to make up the decline of four points in ELA overall learning gains.

We will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in Math by 2% by May of 2024, and show an increase of at least 5% for all subgroups, particularly our ELL and SWD.

**Teacher Practice Outcomes:** 

By February of 2024, 50% of our teachers will effectively utilize the Gradual Release Model of instruction, by ensuring specific focus on the "you do" of the model, to ensure students can independently work on tasks to demonstrate understanding of the standard.

By May 2024, 90% of our teachers will effectively utilize the Gradual Release Model of instruction.

### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring is a key detail in achieving student progress. It is a way of supporting learning through the adaptation of instruction. It is an integral part of the continuous improvement model: Can, Do, Plan, Act. Monitoring is a very important step towards student achievement and school improvement. It provides teachers and administration the data that they need to make decisions about instruction and differentiated

support for the students. Our goal is to monitor for implementation and for impact.

At Bear Lakes Middle we strategically plan for a variety of monitoring techniques:

Weekly Review of Lesson Plans, Data Analysis, Classroom walks, Student work samples/portfolio/binder reviews, Student attendance, Data Chats, Formal Observations, Professional Learning Communities attendance/participation and all Formative/Summative Assessments.

The monitoring will be supported by key members of the leadership team: Assistant Principals, Reading Coach, Math Coach, Learning Team Facilitator.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Michelle Marks (michelle.marks@palmbeachschools.org)

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

- 1. Incorporate Small group instruction to support students learning at their ability with a variety of tasks, process, and product.
- 2. Professional Learning Community (PLC)/Professional Development will ensure teachers collaboratively unite to focus on best practices and methodologies. PD will support the development of teacher expertise and instructional strategy success and focus.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Incorporate small group instruction utilizing USA and FSQ data to meet the students; need for standards based practice and to identify areas of weakness for targeted remediation. Both USAs and Progress Monitoring assessments have proven successful in preparing students for state assessments.

PLC's and PDs allow teachers and leadership an opportunity to collaborate, to analyze data, and to make decisions to improve student achievement and progress.

### Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

- 1. Students will be assessed using USAs and PM assessments in both Math and Language Arts. Teacher will utilize Differentiated Instruction strategies and small group instruction in all ELA and Math courses.
- 2. Teachers will analyze student data to determine strengths and weaknesses in content area.
- 3. Teachers will create small group rotational cycles to ensure all students supported at their abilities.
- 4. Teachers will create lesson plans utilizing a variety of resources, instructional materials, and teaching methodologies to support all learners.
- 5. Teachers develop ongoing formative assessments to track student learning and adjust instruction.

Person Responsible: Jennifer English (jennifer.english@palmbeachschools.org)

By When: Ongoing throughout the year and after each assessment.

### **CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

Comprehensive Needs Analyses meetings were conducted with all stakeholders through school leadership meetings and a CNA meeting with the School Advisory Council to determine resources and allocations for FY2024. Resources for this school year include:

Coaching support - school based academic coaches to build teacher capacity. Resource teachers purchased - to support small group differentiated instruction.

### Title I Requirements

### Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4)) List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

All Title I schools in SDPBC are required to complete a Schoolwide Plan (SWP) where the answers to these questions are addressed. This information is located on the District Title 1 website.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

N/A

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii))

N/A

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

N/A