

The School District of Palm Beach County

# Olympic Heights Community High School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>                                   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>I. School Information</b>                                       | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>                            | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>                               | <b>14</b> |
| <b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>                       | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b> | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>                                    | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>                       | <b>0</b>  |

# Olympic Heights Community High

20101 LYONS RD, Boca Raton, FL 33434

<https://ohhs.palmbeachschools.org>

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Palm Beach County School Board on 10/18/2023.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections   | Title I Schoolwide Program                                      | Charter Schools        |
|--|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)   |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)   |                        |
| I-E: Early Warning System  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-A-C: Data Review  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring  | ESSA 1114(b)(3)   |                        |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)   |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                        |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements   | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                        |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### **Provide the school's mission statement.**

The mission of the School District of Palm Beach County is to educate, affirm, and inspire each student in an equity-embedded school system. The Staff of Olympic Heights High School—with support of parents, the community, and students—will provide a safe learning environment for all students, affording them the opportunity to achieve mastery of essential skills and to develop their unique interest and talents. Students will graduate as responsible lifelong learners who will make a positive impact on their community and the world.

#### **Provide the school's vision statement.**

We envision...

The School District of Palm Beach County is an educational and working environment, where both students and staff are unimpeded by bias or discrimination. Individuals of all backgrounds and experiences are embraced, affirmed, and inspired. Each and every one will succeed and flourish.

The School District of Palm Beach County will take ownership for students' academic mastery, emotional intelligence, and social-emotional needs by creating environments where students, families, staff, and communities will develop agency and voice.

A joy of learning is fostered in each student and a positive vision for their future is nurtured. Each student's cultural heritage is valued and their physical, emotional, academic, and social needs are met.

...WE SEE YOU.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

#### **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name            | Position Title         | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Leaming, Joseph | Assistant Principal    | <p>Oversight of SIP implementation</p> <p>Coordinate all Fire/Code/ Safety Drills Assist with behavior management plans for ESE teachers and students Serve as the Chair of the Accreditation Committee (when applicable) PBIS Contact/committee</p> <p>Develop the School Improvement Plan and oversee its implementation</p> <p>Parent link messages as needed</p> <p>Attend SBT Meetings Assist with Coordinating the IDs/Lanyards to students</p> <p>Update Marquee's as needed Supervise after school activities as needed</p> <p>Monitor hallways</p> <p>Discipline for M-R</p> <p>Title IX Contact</p> <p>Monitor Community Service Hours Monthly</p> <p>Attend Graduation Meetings to assist students (2nd semester)</p> <p>Other Duties as Assigned</p>   |
| Riley, Sarah    | Assistant Principal    | Oversight of SIP implementation  |
| Ludy, Connie    | Administrative Support | Testing Coordinator and Math Department Chair  |
| Burke, Kelly    | Principal              | <p>Ensuring that academic policies and curriculum are followed</p> <p>Developing and tracking benchmarks for measuring institutional success</p> <p>Helping teachers maximize their teaching potential</p> <p>Meeting and listening to concerns of students on a regular basis</p> <p>Encouraging, guiding and assisting student leaders and teachers</p> <p>Meeting with parents and administrators on a regular basis for problem resolution</p> <p>Enforcing discipline when necessary</p> <p>Providing an atmosphere free of any bias in which students can achieve their maximum potential</p>  |
| Borah, Sara     | Assistant Principal    | <p>General Administration &amp; Supervision</p> <p>Master Schedule – work with data processors on timely input of information, monitor class size/loads, determine room assignments, determine 6th period supplements, and monitor CSR. Ensure timely referrals to School Based Team are made by your assigned departments</p> <p>Work closely with Guidance for scheduling/ reasons for changes; oversee changes that are made to schedules after deadlines. Put a strict system in place for schedule changes to be timely and efficient with date certain deadlines/monitor</p> <p>No dropping AP/AICE classes without final approval from you and log kept of ALL changes/reasons.</p> <p>Monitor that all senior privilege students meet criteria</p> <p>Monitor classroom instruction, serve as curriculum resources to teachers, and ensure equitable access for all students to programs. Supervise secretaries in Guidance and assist in writing end-of-year evaluations. Supervise Data Processors, collect FTE, and write end-of-year evaluations</p> <p>Organize and monitor tutorial programs/work with Belton on K-12 Grant and Deboo for Tutorials</p> <p>Oversee Department Chairs, facilitate meetings</p> <p>Assist Testing Coordinators with scheduling for May</p> <p>Liaison to the English Dept., Liaison to the SS Dept, Liaison to the Guidance Dept. Assist with checking lesson plans and grade books periodically throughout the year. Conduct teacher observations and write end-of-the year evaluations</p> |

| Name            | Position Title            | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---|
| Posner, Deborah | Teacher, K-12             | ELA Department Chair Facilitate a PLC to include the maintenance of agendas, notes, learnings, data, etc. Engage as the liaison between the assistant principal over PLCs and the PLC group. Prepare briefings to include in the SIP as related to the work of the PLC. Ensuring the PLC maintains its focus on the four PLC questions. Effectively lead their PLC Focus on ensuring competence and growth for all students. Work with Teacher Leaders and Administration to set mission, goals and processes.  |
| Zerbo, Laura    | Teacher, ESE              | Assess students' skills and determine their educational needs Adapt general lessons to meet students' needs Develop Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) for each student Plan activities that are specific to each student's abilities Teach and mentor students as a class, in small groups, and one-on-one Implement IEPs, assess students' performance, and track their progress Update IEPs throughout the school year to reflect students' progress and goals Discuss students' progress with parents, other teachers, counselors, and administrators Supervise and mentor teacher assistants who work with students with disabilities Prepare and help students transition from grade to grade and from school to life outside of school facilitate student success and is the 504 contact   |
| Denny, Amy      | ELL Compliance Specialist | Assist Principals and teachers as needed in the supervision and evaluation of ESOL personnel. • Maintain all administrative paperwork associated with the ESOL program. • Conduct parent meetings for in-service in ESOL education and encourage active participation in the district program. • Conduct screenings and assessments and establish files for all students who have been referred to the ESL program. • Chair the selection committee for identification of students for the ESOL program. • Assist and provide support and resources for teachers with ESOL students in their classrooms as they attempt to identify and meet the needs of these students. • Provide a liaison between parents and the school, regular teachers and special teachers, the administration, program personnel, and the community at large. • Monitor and evaluate progress and revise plans. • Assist in the development of assessment and accountability systems to monitor student progress. |

### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Community stakeholders will be integrated through participation in School Advisory Council (SAC) meetings. The school's leadership team will receive notifications during administration meetings, while faculty members will be informed through dedicated faculty meetings. Updates regarding our interventions for students will be shared via parent communication such as all-calls and teacher updates. Teachers and staff will be updated through faculty meetings, surveys, and administrative updates.



**SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP team, including Assistant Principal Learning, Assistant Principal Riley, Math Department Head, Math Coach, PLC Coordinator, and New Teacher Program Supervisor will all collaborate to ensure effective implementation of School Improvement Plan. Data will be analyzed to make targeted adjustments as needed.

**Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>2023-24 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Active   |
| <b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br>(per MSID File)  | High School<br>9-12  |
| <b>Primary Service Type</b><br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education   |
| <b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>   | No   |
| <b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>   | 50%  |
| <b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>   | 50%  |
| <b>Charter School</b>  | No   |
| <b>RAISE School</b>  | No   |
| <b>ESSA Identification</b><br>*updated as of 3/11/2024   | N/A  |
| <b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>  | No   |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities (SWD)<br>English Language Learners (ELL)<br>Asian Students (ASN)<br>Black/African American Students (BLK)<br>Hispanic Students (HSP)<br>Multiracial Students (MUL)<br>White Students (WHT)<br>Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL) |
| <b>School Grades History</b><br>*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.  | 2021-22: A<br><br>2019-20: A<br><br>2018-19: A<br><br>2017-18: A   |
| <b>School Improvement Rating History</b>   |  |
| <b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>   |  |

**II. Needs Assessment/Data Review**

**ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

| Accountability Component        | 2023   |          |       | 2022   |          |       | 2021   |          |       |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                                 | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement*                | 57     | 52       | 50    | 64     | 55       | 51    | 65     |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains              |        |          |       | 55     |          |       | 56     |          |       |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile      |        |          |       | 43     |          |       | 41     |          |       |
| Math Achievement*               | 38     | 38       | 38    | 60     | 42       | 38    | 52     |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains             |        |          |       | 53     |          |       | 25     |          |       |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile     |        |          |       | 52     |          |       | 20     |          |       |
| Science Achievement*            | 64     | 68       | 64    | 65     | 43       | 40    | 70     |          |       |
| Social Studies Achievement*     | 75     | 67       | 66    | 80     | 53       | 48    | 83     |          |       |
| Middle School Acceleration      |        |          |       |        | 46       | 44    |        |          |       |
| Graduation Rate                 | 100    | 90       | 89    | 100    | 65       | 61    | 100    |          |       |
| College and Career Acceleration | 73     | 71       | 65    | 75     | 69       | 67    | 79     |          |       |
| ELP Progress                    | 52     | 40       | 45    | 71     |          |       | 48     |          |       |

*\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.*

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

**ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)**

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |     |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           | 66  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 0   |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 459 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 7   |

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

|                 |     |
|-----------------|-----|
| Percent Tested  | 97  |
| Graduation Rate | 100 |

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           | 65  |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   | 0   |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      | 718 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         | 11  |
| Percent Tested                                 | 98  |
| Graduation Rate                                | 100 |

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)****2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

| ESSA Subgroup | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| SWD           | 46                              |                    |   |   |
| ELL           | 51                              |                    |   |   |
| AMI           |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ASN           | 78                              |                    |   |   |
| BLK           | 57                              |                    |   |   |
| HSP           | 61                              |                    |   |   |
| MUL           | 75                              |                    |   |   |
| PAC           |                                 |                    |   |   |
| WHT           | 71                              |                    |   |   |
| FRL           | 57                              |                    |   |   |

| 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY |                                 |                    |   |   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| ESSA Subgroup                      | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| SWD                                | 49                              |                    |   |   |
| ELL                                | 56                              |                    |   |   |
| AMI                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ASN                                | 76                              |                    |   |   |
| BLK                                | 59                              |                    |   |   |
| HSP                                | 63                              |                    |   |   |
| MUL                                | 76                              |                    |   |   |
| PAC                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| WHT                                | 68                              |                    |   |   |
| FRL                                | 60                              |                    |   |   |

#### Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

| 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2021-22 | C & C Accel 2021-22 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   | 57       |        |             | 38        |         |              | 64       | 75      |           | 100               | 73                  | 52           |
| SWD  | 28       |        |             | 20        |         |              | 40       | 49      |           | 41                | 6                   |              |
| ELL  | 32       |        |             | 26        |         |              | 41       | 56      |           | 51                | 7                   | 52           |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  | 68       |        |             | 58        |         |              | 80       | 87      |           | 76                | 6                   |              |
| BLK  | 41       |        |             | 24        |         |              | 53       | 61      |           | 60                | 6                   |              |
| HSP  | 49       |        |             | 32        |         |              | 54       | 75      |           | 68                | 7                   | 52           |
| MUL  | 67       |        |             | 50        |         |              | 94       | 69      |           | 67                | 6                   |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  | 64       |        |             | 45        |         |              | 70       | 79      |           | 78                | 7                   | 59           |
| FRL  | 46       |        |             | 28        |         |              | 55       | 61      |           | 66                | 7                   | 40           |

| 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   | 64       | 55     | 43          | 60        | 53      | 52           | 65       | 80      |           | 100               | 75                  | 71           |
| SWD  | 33       | 44     | 32          | 30        | 52      | 59           | 34       | 58      |           | 97                | 48                  |              |
| ELL  | 29       | 46     | 47          | 49        | 51      | 50           | 44       | 66      |           | 100               | 60                  | 71           |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  | 76       | 68     | 50          | 63        | 67      |              | 74       | 87      |           | 100               | 100                 |              |
| BLK  | 48       | 52     | 45          | 45        | 52      | 64           | 38       | 76      |           | 100               | 65                  |              |
| HSP  | 62       | 56     | 43          | 55        | 48      | 46           | 66       | 77      |           | 99                | 70                  | 71           |
| MUL  | 71       | 56     |             | 73        |         |              | 76       | 92      |           | 100               | 62                  |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  | 67       | 55     | 44          | 66        | 56      | 54           | 70       | 82      |           | 100               | 80                  | 79           |
| FRL  | 53       | 52     | 43          | 47        | 47      | 51           | 53       | 74      |           | 99                | 66                  | 74           |

| 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   | 65       | 56     | 41          | 52        | 25      | 20           | 70       | 83      |           | 100               | 79                  | 48           |
| SWD  | 31       | 33     | 26          | 26        | 24      | 12           | 39       | 70      |           | 97                | 56                  |              |
| ELL  | 32       | 51     | 47          | 34        | 24      | 24           | 57       | 59      |           | 100               | 66                  | 48           |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  | 76       | 65     |             | 61        | 32      |              | 81       | 79      |           | 100               | 91                  |              |
| BLK  | 44       | 49     | 41          | 44        | 25      | 19           | 53       | 75      |           | 100               | 66                  |              |
| HSP  | 59       | 51     | 40          | 47        | 26      | 20           | 64       | 81      |           | 100               | 70                  | 39           |
| MUL  | 65       | 65     |             | 69        | 20      |              |          | 90      |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  | 72       | 60     | 42          | 56        | 24      | 17           | 75       | 85      |           | 99                | 87                  | 71           |
| FRL  | 54       | 50     | 37          | 45        | 25      | 18           | 63       | 78      |           | 100               | 67                  | 50           |

### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA   |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 10    | 2023 - Spring | 55%    | 50%      | 5%                         | 50%   | 5%                      |
| 09    | 2023 - Spring | 56%    | 48%      | 8%                         | 48%   | 8%                      |

| ALGEBRA |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A     | 2023 - Spring | 25%    | 48%      | -23%                       | 50%   | -25%                    |

| GEOMETRY |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|----------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade    | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A      | 2023 - Spring | 54%    | 50%      | 4%                         | 48%   | 6%                      |

| BIOLOGY |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A     | 2023 - Spring | 63%    | 63%      | 0%                         | 63%   | 0%                      |

| HISTORY |               |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|---------|---------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade   | Year          | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| N/A     | 2023 - Spring | 74%    | 62%      | 12%                        | 63%   | 11%                     |

### III. Planning for Improvement

#### Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

Our Algebra scores dropped from 60% to 25%. This drop can be credited to two key factors. Firstly, the removal of the Liberal Arts course from the masterboard course selection was necessary due to district regulations. This led to students missing out on preparatory work before taking Algebra 1. Secondly, the state's shift from FSA to the more demanding BEST standards increased the difficulty of the exams. These combined factors resulted in the observed decline in scores.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

Over the past year, we've embarked on a journey to enhance Algebra 1 proficiency levels. While facing certain challenges, we have seen shifts in proficiency rates, with scores declining from 61% in FY22 to 16% on the midterm and 25% on the end-of-year exam. These changes were influenced by factors such as the availability of Liberal Arts for remedial students and the introduction of the new BEST exam.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

Algebra 1 currently stands at 25% proficiency, while the state average is 50%. These changes were influenced by factors such as the availability of Liberal Arts for remedial students and the introduction of the new BEST exam.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

Among the EOC exams, 9th-grade ELA has shown the most significant difference compared to the district's performance. Our school achieved a commendable 58%, while the district scored 48%, reflecting a 10-point gap. To foster improvement, we're initiating key actions, including revised teaching assignments, collaborative planning, and an expansion of AICE General Papers sections for 9th graders. These strategies aim to increase the gap and enhance overall ELA performance in 9th grade.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

One of our main concerns, which coincides with our #1 SIP Goal, is that we had 485 students fail a quarter in Math. Additionally, 444 students were listed as Level 1 on State Wide Math Assessments.

Additionally, we had 124 12th graders missing 10% or more of their school days. As part of our emphasis on graduation rates, this is having a negative impact on our graduation.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

Algebra 1  
ELA 10th  
Geometry  
Biology  
ELA 9th

Our school will infuse the content required by Florida Statute 1003.42(2) and S.B. Policy 2.09 (8)(b)(ii), as applicable to appropriate grade levels, including but not limited to:

- (g) History of Holocaust
- (h) History of Africans and African Americans
- (i) History of Asian Americans & Pacific Islanders
- (o) Health Education, Life Skills & Social Media
- (q) Hispanic Contributions
- (r) Women's Contributions
- (t) Civic & Character Education
- (u) Sacrifices of Veterans, and the value of Medal of Honor recipients

2. Character-development program (required K-12) with curriculum to address: patriotism; responsibility; citizenship; kindness; respect for authority, life, liberty, and personal property; honesty; charity; self-

control; racial, ethnic, and religious tolerance; and cooperation.

3. Our school highlights multicultural diversity within the curriculum and the arts.

**Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)



**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

We want to improve our Algebra 1 PM and Geometry PM test scores.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We want to improve our Alg 1 PM3 test scores from 25 to 40, and improve our Geometry PM3 test scores from 54 to 59.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Math Coach, Data Collection, Targeted Pull outs, ongoing support system, data analysis of diagnostic tests, practice tests, and test results, PLC meetings.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Connie Ludy (connie.ludy@palmbeachschools.org)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Students in need of extra support will be placed in Algebra 1A before taking the Algebra 1 EOC in 10th grade. Both Algebra 1 and Geometry teams will be participating in biweekly PLC meetings to incorporate EOC based practice questions and comprehension checks to track student progress. We also will be utilizing a Math Coach to conduct small group pullouts with level 2 students throughout the year.

During the second semester, various Math teachers are going to conduct a math pull out for students that scored a level 1 or 2 on the diagnostic scores. All year, we will be running an after school program for level 1 students to receive extra support once a week.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Data shows that ongoing and consistent PLC meetings, small group interventions, and targeted practice questions have been effective in improving student performance.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Monitoring of Algebra 1 and Geometry PLC meetings

**Person Responsible:** Connie Ludy (connie.ludy@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** Ongoing throughout the year

Math Coach begins targeted pullouts to assist Level 2 students

**Person Responsible:** [no one identified]

**By When:** 9/1/2023

Afterschool tutoring for Level 1 students once per week

**Person Responsible:** Connie Ludy (connie.ludy@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** 9/1/2023

**#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Improve overall teacher satisfaction and morale through increased support systems and collaborative professional development opportunities.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We want to improve teacher satisfaction and morale. We want 75% of teachers to approve of school morale through an increase in administrative feedback and support systems.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Our school has appointed an administrative liaison to work with our Teachers, Admin Team, and PTO and monitor our school's engagement and support opportunities. This intervention includes an increase in our provision of PDD opportunities, and consistent PLC opportunities with targeted feedback and ongoing monitoring.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Sarah Riley (sarah.riley@palmbeachschools.org)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

We have implemented a liaison to work with the PTO to honor teachers on a monthly basis, we are providing teachers with more Professional Development Opportunities to improve their pedagogy, an increase in Data Chats at the beginning of the year and mid year, and an ESP Program onboarding program that focuses on classroom management, lesson plan developing, and asking the right questions.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Research shows that improved targeted Professional Development, increased data analysis, and targeted ongoing support for teachers is effective in improving teacher retention and school morale.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Collect and analyze data from Teacher Satisfaction Survey

**Person Responsible:** Joseph Leaming (joseph.leaning@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** 9/8/2023

Share results with admin team and SSCS to determine next steps and specific targeted interventions

**Person Responsible:** Sarah Riley (sarah.riley@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** 9/11/2023

Continue to monitor PLC meetings, department meetings, data chats, and teacher feedback for fidelity.

**Person Responsible:** Joseph Leaming (joseph.learning@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** Ongoing throughout the school year

Provide teachers with Midyear Needs Assessment to determine areas of improvement along with areas of need.

**Person Responsible:** Joseph Leaming (joseph.learning@palmbeachschools.org)

**By When:** 12/11/23