

St. Lucie Public Schools

# Mosaic Digital Academy K 12 School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>                                   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>I. School Information</b>                                       | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>                            | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>                               | <b>13</b> |
| <b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>                       | <b>18</b> |
| <b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b> | <b>18</b> |
| <b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>                                    | <b>20</b> |
| <b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>                       | <b>21</b> |

# Mosaic Digital Academy K 12

9461 BRANDYWINE LN, Port St Lucie, FL 34986

<http://www.stlucie.k12.fl.us/mda>

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the St. Lucie County School Board on 10/10/2023.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP Sections   | Title I Schoolwide Program                                      | Charter Schools        |
|--|---|------------------------|
| I-A: School Mission/Vision   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)   |
| I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)   |                        |
| I-E: Early Warning System  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-A-C: Data Review  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)   |
| II-F: Progress Monitoring  | ESSA 1114(b)(3)   |                        |
| III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)   |
| III-B: Area(s) of Focus  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                        |
| III-C: Other SI Priorities   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9) |
| VI: Title I Requirements   | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                        |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

Our Mission: Mosaic Digital Academy engages students by enhancing learning through a variety of virtual and live experiences to support the whole child, personalizing learning for students and preparing them to be valued ethical contributors in a global society.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

**OUR VISION**

Mosaic Digital Academy is known for offering a premier online learning experience with personalized instruction and differentiation. Mosaic Digital Academy provides a learning environment that expands the opportunities available for students whose needs can best be met with state-of-the-art online curriculum while supported by quality online and face-to-face instruction. At the heart of our vision is a commitment to college and career readiness, by guiding students from where they are to where they will be. In expanding student educational opportunities, we will:

Employ the new curriculum standards and best practices for online instruction and learning, providing quality and rigor for the 21st century learner.

Build authentic learning experiences that support students' lifelong learning

Empower all students to achieve new altitudes and increase their confidence, and

Meet the needs of a diverse student population

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

**School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name           | Position Title      | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ziemba, Jeanne | Principal           |                                 |
| Davis, Michael | Assistant Principal |                                 |

**Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development**

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Mosaic Digital Academy involves our education community in the SIP development process through a Faculty Advisory Council and School Advisory Council. The Faculty Council members are administrators, teacher leaders, classroom teachers, and support staff. School Advisory Council members include administrators, parents, community members, and teachers and staff.

**SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State’s academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The MTSS plan details the Tier 1 instructional schedule along with guidelines surrounding attendance and participation in all courses and class time sessions across all grade levels. The Tier 2 and Tier 3 MTSS plan details the intervention schedule designed to optimize learning opportunities with just-in-time data to support instruction. The MTSS team monitors and responds to data in real-time with meetings monthly to determine areas of growth and improvement. This data is shared at Faculty Council and School Advisory meetings monthly. When data indicates an increase in intensity or revision of small group instruction the plan is modified to optimize student learning potential.

**Demographic Data**

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>2023-24 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Active                                 |
| <b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br>(per MSID File)  | Combination School<br>KG-12            |
| <b>Primary Service Type</b><br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education                 |
| <b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>   | No                                     |
| <b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>   | 0%                                     |
| <b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>   | 0%                                     |
| <b>Charter School</b>  | No                                     |
| <b>RAISE School</b>  | No                                     |
| <b>ESSA Identification</b><br>*updated as of 3/11/2024   |  |
| <b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>  | No                                     |
| <b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) |  |
| <b>School Grades History</b><br>*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.  | 2019-20: A<br>2018-19: A<br>2017-18: A |
| <b>School Improvement Rating History</b>   |  |
| <b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>   |  |

**Early Warning Systems**

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |    |   |   | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|-------|
|   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7 | 8 |       |
| Absent 10% or more days   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4  | 0 | 2 | 11    |
| One or more suspensions   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1  | 1 | 0 | 3     |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 |       |
| Course failure in Math  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 |       |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0           | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 42    |

**Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6     |

**Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |       |

**Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator   | Grade Level | Total |
|---|-------------|-------|
| Absent 10% or more school days  |             |       |
| One or more suspensions   |             |       |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   |             |       |
| Course failure in Math  |             |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment   |             |       |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment  |             |       |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. |             |       |

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Students with two or more indicators |             |       |

**The number of students identified retained:**



| Indicator                           | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year     |             |       |
| Students retained two or more times |             |       |

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|   | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Absent 10% or more school days  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| One or more suspensions   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Course failure in Math  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment  | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |

**The number of students identified retained:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     |

**II. Needs Assessment/Data Review**

**ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

| Accountability Component        | 2023   |          |       | 2022   |          |       | 2021   |          |       |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                                 | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement*                |        | 50       | 53    |        | 53       | 55    |        |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains              |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile      |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| Math Achievement*               |        | 51       | 55    |        | 41       | 42    |        |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains             |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile     |        |          |       |        |          |       |        |          |       |
| Science Achievement*            |        | 52       | 52    |        | 50       | 54    |        |          |       |
| Social Studies Achievement*     |        | 71       | 68    |        | 55       | 59    |        |          |       |
| Middle School Acceleration      |        | 75       | 70    |        | 50       | 51    |        |          |       |
| Graduation Rate                 |        | 90       | 74    |        | 50       | 50    |        |          |       |
| College and Career Acceleration |        | 69       | 53    |        | 74       | 70    |        |          |       |
| ELP Progress                    |        | 44       | 55    |        | 78       | 70    |        |          |       |

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

**ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)**

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |    |
|--|----|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)               |    |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students           |    |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   |    |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      |    |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         |    |
| Percent Tested                                 |    |
| Graduation Rate                                |    |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index           |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)     |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students |  |

| 2021-22 ESSA Federal Index                     |    |
|--|----|
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target   |    |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index      |    |
| Total Components for the Federal Index         |    |
| Percent Tested                                 |    |
| Graduation Rate                                |    |

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)**

| 2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY |                                 |                    |   |   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| ESSA Subgroup                      | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| SWD                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ELL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| AMI                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ASN                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| BLK                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| HSP                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| MUL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| PAC                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| WHT                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| FRL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |

| 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY |                                 |                    |   |   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| ESSA Subgroup                      | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| SWD                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ELL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| AMI                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| ASN                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| BLK                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| HSP                                |                                 |                    |   |   |

| 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY |                                 |                    |   |   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| ESSA Subgroup                      | Federal Percent of Points Index | Subgroup Below 41% | Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41% | Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32% |
| MUL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| PAC                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| WHT                                |                                 |                    |   |   |
| FRL                                |                                 |                    |   |   |

**Accountability Components by Subgroup**  
 Each “blank” cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

| 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2021-22 | C & C Accel 2021-22 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| SWD  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ELL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| BLK  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| HSP  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| MUL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| FRL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |

| 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| SWD  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ELL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |

| 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2020-21 | C & C Accel 2020-21 | ELP Progress |
| BLK  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| HSP  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| MUL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| FRL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |

| 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
|--|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Subgroups                                      | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2019-20 | C & C Accel 2019-20 | ELP Progress |
| All Students                                   |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| SWD  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ELL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| AMI  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| ASN  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| BLK  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| HSP  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| MUL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| PAC  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| WHT  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |
| FRL  |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |              |

**Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)**

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

**III. Planning for Improvement**

**Data Analysis/Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year’s low performance and discuss any trends.**

Math Achievement in 3rd-5th grades demonstrated the largest gap when compared to the state average. Grade 3 proficiency average of 38% was 21% below the state average of 14% in the district. Grade 4 proficiency average of 50% was 11% below the state average but only 6% below the district. Grade 5 proficiency was 47% which represented 9% below the state but only 1% below the district. The trend indicates our focus should continue with Grades 3-5 Math proficiency.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

We did see a significant decline in Grade 6 Math dropping from 72% to 65% proficiency from the previous year. Additionally, Algebra 1 went from 94% proficient to 72% overall and Geometry dropped from 84% proficient to 74% proficient.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

The greatest gap is noted in Grades 3-5 Math proficiency when compared to the state proficiency average.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

The data component showing the most improvement was Grade 8 Math (Prealgebra) which went from 42% proficient the previous year to 83% in Spring 2023.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

Reading deficiency is a potential concern based on the EWS data.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

To improve Grades 3-8 Math and Algebra 1 and Geometry proficiency and improve reading proficiency.

### **Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

**#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Early Warning System****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

To improve online attendance and progress made according to pacing guides and semester calendar time frame. By increasing student coursework completion according to the weekly pacing guide, students will have covered academic content prior to the F.A.S.T. Progress Monitoring periods as well as school EOC assessments which will increase student achievement in all areas.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

All assignments will be marked with due dates so these show on the students' calendar for the week they are due. Instructors will provide instructions during homeroom and classtime sessions regarding coursework due for the week providing resources as needed. Students will be marked absent for the period when assignments for the week are not completed. Parents will receive a robo call and email when an absence is reported in Skyward.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Teachers will contact parents when missing assignments are not submitted. When a pattern of nonattendance is determined (after 2 consecutive weeks of missing work), counselors will contact the parent and determine any barriers they may address. If the student continues to show signs of nonattendance, a parent teacher conference will be held with the team to address the issues.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

[no one identified]

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Frequent progress monitoring of student completion percentages and detailed notification with recommended strategies are sent to parents in a timely manner. Communication bulletin for students to stay connected and to increase awareness of expectations. Required attendance for weekly homeroom sessions and Class time sessions with instructors. The administrator attends homeroom periodically to remind students of the expectations to stay on pace weekly.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Students do not always follow best practices for following expected routines (i.e. schedule, pacing guide, logging in consistently) in virtual settings. Students complete online course work using a variety of strategies, however they do not always stay on weekly targeted pace in all courses. It is imperative our close monitoring is a priority in the virtual school.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

\* Require all students attend student orientation on day 1 of enrollment to learn Student Success Skills that will provide each student with recommended daily schedule, pacing guide and pace percentage expectation for each week of the semester \*Weekly School Messenger phone call and email notifications will be sent out every Monday to parents whose student is 1 week or more behind pace in any class from the previous week \*After applying strategies for improving attendance, staff will identify students who remain on the list for 3 or more weeks and then complete a parent/student conference addressing the attendance issues.\*Weekly progress monitoring is hosted with counselors. Quarterly the team will identify students with significant concern and apply attendance agreements with live day requirements when needed. By the end of the sem 1 our goal is to have 100% of courses completed successfully with a passing final grade.

**Person Responsible:** Jeanne Ziemba (jeanne.ziemba@stlucieschools.org)

**By When:** 12/18/23 and 5/31/24



**#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Math proficiency in Grades 3-5 is the primary area of focus this year because these grade levels experienced the largest gap when compared to the state average.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

By the end of the school year (PM3), at least 70% of students in grades 3-5 will demonstrate a 15% improvement in their math assessment scores compared to their baseline scores (PM1) at the beginning of the year.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Starting with the PM1 data, students will be identified by their tiered level support and those in Tier 2 and 3 will be placed in required intervention sessions. Attendance in these sessions will be monitored and required. Instructional materials utilized in these sessions will be systematically monitored through MTSS meetings and instructional training will be provided as needed. Unit assessments (among other available data) will provide ongoing data to inform grouping and refinement of remediation. The MTSS team will collect data from Unit Assessments and other sources to track progress at regular intervals and adjustments will be made for intervention methods to provide additional support or implement intervention strategies to help struggling students. In terms of regular reporting, progress toward goals will be communicated with parents and teachers providing transparency as an essential accountability measure.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Michael Davis (michael.davis@stlucieschools.org)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Using Unit Assessments (formative assessments) will be implemented this year as we are aligning the scope and sequence of the Math instruction and online courses to the district's scope and sequence. We will also utilize state progress monitoring data and Dreambox Math to provide individualized targeted support based on performance on reporting categories.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Using Unit Assessments (formative assessments) as an evidence-based intervention will provide real-time feedback to both the teachers and students during the learning process. This feedback allows teachers to identify misconceptions, gaps in understanding, or areas where students are struggling. With insights gained from Unit Assessments teachers can tailor their instruction to address the specific learning needs of their students and ensure students receive support where and when they need it most. The use of Unit Assessments (formative assessments) generates data that can guide instructional decisions. Teachers can use this data to make informed choices about teaching strategies and resources used. Additionally, Unit Assessments can be interactive and engaging for students when they are actively involved in assessing their own understanding and progress which can motivate them to take ownership of their learning.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

structured MTSS meetings to discuss data collected, strategies and resources for targeted instruction and review of attendance will be the focus of this action step.

**Person Responsible:** Michael Davis (michael.davis@stlucieschools.org)

**By When:** Twice Monthly with a formal review of data every 9 weeks to determine tiered-level placement grouping.

A Book study utilizing 5 Practices for Orchestrating Productive Mathematics Discussions with a review of lesson planning protocols and monitoring strategies will begin with the instructors teaching Mathematics.

**Person Responsible:** Jessica Shovan (jessica.shovan@stlucieschools.org)

**By When:** by the end of the 1st semester.

**CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

**Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

**Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA**

Data for grade K shows 66% of students were proficient in reading, First grade was 66% proficient and Second grade was 60%. We will maintain instructional practices related to Reading/ELA.

**Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA**

Data for grade 3 shows 71% of students were proficient in reading, Fourth grade was 60% proficient and Fifth grade was 58%. We will maintain instructional practices related to Reading/ELA.

### Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

### Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes

More than 50% of students were on track based on 2023 state assessment.

### Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes

More than 50% of students were on track based on 2023 state assessment.

## Monitoring

### Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Ongoing monitoring will occur during MTSS meetings with data collection and a review of instructional resources based on students' needs.

### Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Davis, Michael, michael.davis@stlucieschools.org

## Evidence-based Practices/Programs

### Description:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

Not applicable

**Rationale:**

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

Not applicable

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school’s Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

| Action Step    | Person Responsible for Monitoring                |
|----------------|--|
| Not applicable | Davis, Michael, michael.davis@stlucieschools.org |

**Title I Requirements**

**Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))**

List the school’s webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

N/A

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school’s mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child’s progress.**

List the school’s webpage\* where the school’s Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

N/A

**Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii)**

N/A

**If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))**

N/A

### **Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan**

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

**Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))**

N/A

**Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))**

N/A

**Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).**

N/A

**Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))**

N/A

**Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))**

N/A

## **Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

### **Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

**The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.**

|               |        |   |               |
|---------------|--------|---|---------------|
| 1             | III.B. | Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Early Warning System | \$0.00        |
| 2             | III.B. | Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math                           | \$0.00        |
| <b>Total:</b> |        |   | <b>\$0.00</b> |

**Budget Approval**

**Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.**

Yes