Volusia County Schools

Hospital Homebound School



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	9
III. Planning for Improvement	14
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	17
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	0
VI. Title I Requirements	0
VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus	0

Hospital Homebound

1250 REED CANAL RD, Port Orange, FL 32129

http://myvolusiaschools.org/hospital-homebound/pages/default.aspx

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Volusia County School Board on 10/31/2023.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://www.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of the Hospital/Homebound program is to provide eligible students with the technology, materials and specialized instruction necessary to support progress toward graduation requirements.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Hospital/Homebound will enable students with catastrophic and acute illness to achieve academic success through specialized instruction.

School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Chandler, Cassie	_	The school leader will identify program needs and resources (both material and personnel) that will best support students and teachers. The school leader communicates a vision for student achievement and guides the team's instructional growth.

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Beginning with the 2023-24 school year, parents of students in the Hospital/Homebound program will be given a survey related to their participation and satisfaction with the Hospital/Homebound program. This survey will be given to parents upon the student's discharge from the program. Parent surveys will be reviewed with administration, teachers and faculty upon student exit from the program.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP will be monitored via faculty meetings. Review of parent surveys for students discharged from the Hospital/Homebound program will be reviewed at monthly faculty meetings. Instructional practices and parent communication updates will be considered after review of parent surveys.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status (per MSID File)	Active
,	Combination School
School Type and Grades Served	
(per MSID File)	PK-12
Primary Service Type	Special Education
(per MSID File)	<u> </u>
2022-23 Title I School Status	No
2022-23 Minority Rate	64%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	83%
Charter School	No
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	
*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
	2021-22: MAINTAINING
Sahaal Improvement Betime History	2018-19: MAINTAINING
School Improvement Rating History	2017-18: MAINTAINING
	2016-17: MAINTAINING
DJJ Accountability Rating History	
	1

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator				Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total						
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1						
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1						
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1						
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1						
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	8						

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

lu di seto u			(Grad	de L	evel	l			Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator				Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total					
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1					
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1					
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	10					

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total			
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator				Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total					
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1					
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1					
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	5					

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(Grad	de L	evel				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOTAL
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*		48	53		49	55			
ELA Learning Gains									
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*		45	55		32	42			
Math Learning Gains									
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
Science Achievement*		56	52		45	54			
Social Studies Achievement*		64	68		52	59			
Middle School Acceleration		53	70		44	51			
Graduation Rate		76	74		52	50			
College and Career Acceleration		43	53		62	70			
ELP Progress		58	55		68	70			

^{*} In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Graduation Rate	

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

		2022-23 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAF	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL				

		2021-22 ES	SA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMAI	RY
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL				

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress	
All Students													
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													
MUL													
PAC													
WHT													
FRL													

			2021-2	2 ACCOU	NTABILIT	Y COMPO	NENTS BY	SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students												
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL												

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students												
SWD												
ELL												

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress		
AMI														
ASN														
BLK														
HSP														
MUL														
PAC														
WHT														
FRL														

Grade Level Data Review- State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	47%	*

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	48%	*

ALGEBRA							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	32%	*	50%	*	

			CIVICS			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	65%	*	66%	*

III. Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Due to the short-term nature of the Hospital/Homebound program, student enrollment does not reflect the typical brick and mortar school. For this reason, school data that reflects school trends are not able to be generated. The focus for Hospital/Homebound students is based upon individualized review of student medical status and current academic progress. All students eligible for the Hospital/Homebound program are unable to participate in instruction that replicates a traditional school day. For this reason, instructional time is greatly reduced and shortened schedules of courses are prepared for the duration of the Hospital/Homebound eligibility period.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Due to the short-term nature of the Hospital/Homebound program, student enrollment does not reflect the typical brick and mortar school. For this reason, school data that reflects school trends are not able to be generated. The focus for Hospital/Homebound students is based upon individualized review of student medical status and current academic progress. All students eligible for the Hospital/Homebound program are unable to participate in instruction that replicates a traditional school day. For this reason, instructional time is greatly reduced and shortened schedules of courses are prepared for the duration of the Hospital/Homebound eligibility period.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Due to the short-term nature of the Hospital/Homebound program, student enrollment does not reflect the typical brick and mortar school. For this reason, school data that reflects school trends are not able to be generated. The focus for Hospital/Homebound students is based upon individualized review of student medical status and current academic progress. All students eligible for the Hospital/Homebound program are unable to participate in instruction that replicates a traditional school day. For this reason, instructional time is greatly reduced and shortened schedules of courses are prepared for the duration of the Hospital/Homebound eligibility period.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Due to the short-term nature of the Hospital/Homebound program, student enrollment does not reflect the typical brick and mortar school. For this reason, school data that reflects school trends are not able to be generated. The focus for Hospital/Homebound students is based upon individualized review of student medical status and current academic progress. All students eligible for the Hospital/Homebound program are unable to participate in instruction that replicates a traditional school day. For this reason, instructional time is greatly reduced and shortened schedules of courses are prepared for the duration of the Hospital/Homebound eligibility period. On an individual level, Hospital/Homebound implemented a small-group instruction service delivery model in the 2022-23 school year that was successful in providing an online forum for students in participate in academic courses on a weekly basis. This had a positive impact for students who require more support than virtual instruction, however, do not require 1:1 instruction.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

On an individual level, Hospital/Homebound does need to continue to work on providing certified instructors to students in all academic areas. In addition, continued staff development with part-time instructors on providing accommodating students in their academic courses. Finally, working collaboratively with parents to implement appropriate communication and allow for parent input on Hospital/Homebound procedures.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Implement with fidelity all service delivery models for Hospital/Homebound students
- 2. Implement parent survey to obtain information on perceived effectiveness of the Hospital/Homebound program
- 3. Monitor student progression through courses and identify students who are in danger of failing courses
- 4. Maintain ongoing communication with physicians
- 5. Hire certified part-time teachers for secondary students

Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Student instructional time while eligible for the Hospital/Homebound program is greatly reduced based upon their acute or catastrophic medical condition. Instructional sessions are scheduled in smaller increments of time based upon traditional classroom. Students are required to complete the majority of their academic assignments during periods of time that they are not actively meeting with their instructor. Parents are required to be learning coaches to support continued progress through classes. Obtaining parent information on the effectiveness of the Hospital/Homebound program and suggestions for improvement will allow the Hospital/Homebound program to consider areas of improvement when working with students and families.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Parents will complete an exit survey upon the dismissal of their student from the Hospital/Homebound program. Specific questions will be formulated using a rating scale of 1-10 with 10 being the highest rating. Review of the parent surveys will be completed and data compiled per question with average rating of 8-10 desired.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Data on parent surveys will be compiled on an on-going basis. Average parent rating per question that falls at 5 or below on the rating scale will be addressed as a faculty for further analysis and possible program update.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Cassie Chandler (cchandle@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

The research paper "Parent involvement and student academic performance: A multiple mediational analysis" published in 2011 states that parent involvement in a child's education is consistently found to be positively associated with a child's academic performance. Results indicated a statistically significant association between parent involvement and a child's academic performance, over and above the impact of the child's intelligence.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

The goal of the Hospital/Homebound program is to provide effective instruction and to maintain open communication between the home and Hospital/Homebound staff to support student achievement. Identifying barriers to positive student achievement will allow the staff of Hospital/Homebound to discuss and implement program updates to better facilitate effective program support.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

School funds will not be allocated for the implementation of this area of focus