

The School District of Lee County

# North Nicholas High School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>	<b>24</b>

# North Nicholas High School

428 SW PINE ISLAND RD, Cape Coral, FL 33991

<http://www.northnicholashs.com/>

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Lee County School Board on 9/20/2023.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The Mission of North Nicholas High School is to help at-risk students earn a standard high school diploma and prepare for post-secondary success.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

North Nicholas High School understands that at-risk students have different needs, learn at different rates, and have diverse learning styles which cause many of these at-risk students to drop out of school. We believe that everyone deserves a quality education that meets his or her individual needs and aligns to their personal goals and ambitions. All students can be successful in high school and in life regardless of their life circumstances.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

#### School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Dudek, Jeffrey	Principal	

#### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The school has built a safe and secure climate in a small learning environment that is founded upon mutually respectful relationships among students, parents, the community, faculty, staff, and school leadership. The school provides a safe and secure learning environment with small student/teacher ratios for personalized attention. Learning activities focus on developing respect for self and peers, and building relationships with peers, teachers, family, and community.

The Principal, Assistant Principal, Family Support Specialist, Career Coach, and other school personnel meet with community agencies that provide services to students and families with the goal of encouraging community-based service providers to partner with the school to provide necessary services to students and their families

The school's innovative instructional program contains the following elements, which support and contribute to student learning, achievement and transition from one level to another.

Small Learning Environment – Safe and secure learning environment that provides small student/teacher ratios for personalized attention and learning

Technology-enhanced Teaching and Learning – Evidence-based instructional software designed to

deliver content requiring ongoing interaction between the learner and the software

**Rigorous and Relevant Curriculum** – Curriculum aligned to the Florida Standards and focused on making real-world connections relevant to students' lives

**Individual Success Plan** – A comprehensive plan that serves as a “road map” to student success. o  
**Integrated Support Services** – Family Support Specialist (FSS) that works with each student to address and remove the personal, social, and behavioral barriers that prevent students from being successful. Also, the Career Coach teaches job-seeking, employment skills, and interviewing techniques; tracks mandatory employment hours; and conducts employer outreach on behalf of the students.

**Ongoing Communication of Student Progress** – A virtual portal for students, parents, teachers, and administrators to track, monitor, and measure individual student progress toward completion of the Individual Success Plan.

**Mastery-based Instruction** – Instruction guided by individual student mastery rather than seat time as the student works toward achieving state standards.

### Involved Families and Community Partnerships

Parents are invited to attend orientation meetings twice per year. They receive weekly Progress Reports via email regarding their students' work and attendance during the week. Every parent is contacted by phone at least once per month with a positive comment about their child. Our administration and teachers are available by phone and in person during school hours. We encourage all contact with parents.

Formal and informal partnerships with community agencies and postsecondary institutions are developed to enhance the services provided to all students. The Family Support Specialist secures affiliation agreements with community agencies and postsecondary institutions to bring university mental health and social work program interns on campus and enhance the overall social services provided. The Career Coach hosts College and Career Fairs, postsecondary presentations and workshops, and connects with community industry representatives for the purpose of connecting students to additional college and career representatives and resources. Some of the activities associated with community involvement are: open houses, periodic newsletters, partnership agreements, intern programs, creation and involvement of the school's SAC.

### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

SIP Monitoring Florida has adopted and amended the new Florida Standards which provide focus on in-depth critical-thinking and problem solving skills. Our school ensures that the core instructional program provided by Apex Learning and strategies are directly correlated to the adopted curriculum. Apex Learning courses serve as the school's primary curriculum and provides foundational, comprehensive, honors, and advanced placement courses. Apex Learning (accredited by the Commission on Schools of the Northwest Association of Accredited Schools) provides comprehensive online instructional content aligned to the Florida Standards.

A Balanced Assessment Model provides data that is both summative and formative in nature. Our data

analysis structures are put in place so that every member of the school is involved in solution finding that leads to greater student success. Teachers engage in individual review, and team review of student data within Professional Learning Communities, while our leadership engages in school wide, grade level, and content area level analysis. This cohesive structure provides a layered view that reaches every piece of our school.

The school's data-driven decision-making process follows this cycle: Plan, Implement, Assess/Analyze Results; and Adjust/ Modify. This cycle is rooted in solution finding activities that lead towards improved student learning through our holistic data based decision-making model.

### Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

<b>2023-24 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
<b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>	No
<b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>	51%
<b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>	27%
<b>Charter School</b>	Yes
<b>RAISE School</b>	No
<b>ESSA Identification</b> *updated as of 3/11/2024	CSI
<b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>	No
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities (SWD)* English Language Learners (ELL)* Hispanic Students (HSP)* White Students (WHT)* Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL)*
<b>School Grades History</b> *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
<b>School Improvement Rating History</b>	2021-22: COMMENDABLE 2018-19: COMMENDABLE 2017-18: COMMENDABLE 2016-17: COMMENDABLE
<b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>	

### Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:



Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### **Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Absent 10% or more school days		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.		

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more school days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**II. Needs Assessment/Data Review****ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	10	47	50		49	51			
ELA Learning Gains									
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*	0	34	38		33	38			
Math Learning Gains									
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									
Science Achievement*		54	64		35	40			
Social Studies Achievement*	40	58	66		40	48			
Middle School Acceleration					38	44			
Graduation Rate	20	84	89	26	49	61	29		
College and Career Acceleration	28	65	65	32	60	67	13		
ELP Progress		36	45						

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

#### ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	20
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	98
Total Components for the Federal Index	5
Percent Tested	96
Graduation Rate	20

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	29

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	58
Total Components for the Federal Index	2
Percent Tested	
Graduation Rate	26

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)****2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	8	Yes	4	4
ELL	6	Yes	4	4
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP	19	Yes	4	4
MUL				
PAC				
WHT	29	Yes	4	1
FRL	23	Yes	4	4

**2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	20	Yes	3	3
ELL	7	Yes	3	3
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP	26	Yes	3	3

**2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
MUL				
PAC				
WHT	33	Yes	3	
FRL	24	Yes	3	3

**Accountability Components by Subgroup**

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

**2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS**

Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	10			0				40		20	28	
SWD											1	
ELL											1	
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP										20	2	
MUL												
PAC												
WHT										36	2	
FRL										26	2	

**2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS**

Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students										26	32	
SWD										20		
ELL										7		
AMI												
ASN												

2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
BLK												
HSP										18	33	
MUL												
PAC												
WHT										31	34	
FRL										23	24	

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students										29	13	
SWD										17		
ELL										8		
AMI												
ASN												
BLK										20		
HSP										27	17	
MUL												
PAC												
WHT										31	8	
FRL										22	14	

### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
10	2023 - Spring	15%	45%	-30%	50%	-35%
09	2023 - Spring	*	46%	*	48%	*

**ALGEBRA**

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	6%	39%	-33%	50%	-44%

**GEOMETRY**

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	43%	*	48%	*

**BIOLOGY**

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	0%	50%	-50%	63%	-63%

**HISTORY**

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	15%	54%	-39%	63%	-48%

**III. Planning for Improvement****Data Analysis/Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

The data the school selected was from the 2023 FAST ELA Reading for grades 8 and 10. For grade 8 the overall school average was 309 in comparison to the district 330 and the state 332 respectively. Additionally, grade 10 demonstrates a similar pattern with the school average score of 327, district 342 and state at 346 respectively. There are several key factors which led to these trends. 1. We service at-risk students who enroll at our school because they are primarily above age for grade level (middle school) and/or they are behind on credits, GPA, and testing requirements in order to graduate on-time. These students arrive with significant deficiencies in reading and mathematics. 2. Due to changes in school leadership and staffing shortages the school did not implement a reading intervention program with fidelity. 3. The school also experienced shift in school culture and climate. With the transition of school leadership it was quickly identified that there was a lack of emphasis on a positive culture and environment that was student focused with goals geared toward student achievement. This would account for the breakdown of following through with full implementation of a reading intervention program

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

Based on historical trends it is clear that the school has been struggling with meeting annual growth score requirements in English Language Arts testing such as the FSA and/or FAST ELA. In 2021-22 The school earned a School Improvement Rating (SIR) of : MAINTAINING. In the previous two(2) academic years the school earned a (SIR) of Commendable. Although scores have not been released for the 2022-2023 We are expecting a score of Maintaining once again. We equate these declines to a variety of factors. 1. The school need s to refocus on a positive culture and environment that celebrates and encourages student success. 2. A reading intervention program has not been implemented with fidelity. 3. Students are entering the school with greater deficiencies in reading skills due to the impacts of the pandemic.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

The 2022-2023 Grade 8 and Grade 10 FAST ELA Reading scores demonstrated the greatest gap when compared to the state average. Grade 8 Reading scores was -23 when compared to the state average and Grade 10 Scores was - 19 when compared to the state average. The authors equate this gap to the following factors: 1. The school need s to refocus on a positive culture and environment that celebrates and encourages student success. 2. A reading intervention program has not been implemented with fidelity. 3. Students are entering the school with greater deficiencies in reading skills due to the impacts of the pandemic.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

The area of most improvement from the ESSA data was math learning gains at 35. Actions which were taken to improve this data was the hiring of 2 part time math interventionist. These interventionists were able to work with students in small groups and one-on-one tutoring sessions to work on deficiencies in mathematics. They were able to address test taking strategies with students that further enabled them to demonstrate growth in mathematics. Furthermore, the utilization of concordant tests such as the ACT, SAT and PERT provided students with multiple opportunities to demonstrate growth. Furthermore, interventions and small group instruction where geared specifically towards these aforementioned concordant tests.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

Reflecting on the EWS data the greatest area of concern is student attendance. For the 2022-2023 school year Coronado had a 60.46% attendance rate. This was actually +7.81% from the previous year which was an attendance rate of 52.65%. Despite the improvement over the previous year this is still a concerning matter. The reality is that students need to attend school in order to learn.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

1. Improve school climate and culture
2. Implement reading intervention program with fidelity
3. Increase student graduation rates
4. Increase student retention rates.
5. Improve Grade 8 and Grade 10 FAST ELA Reading scores



**Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

**#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed.

One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

: For the 2022-2023 school year the schools year to date attendance percent was 60.46. The reality is that a school that has a positive culture and environment is a school that students want to attend daily. By focusing on positive school culture and environment we will developing a school that students will want to attend.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

By the end of the academic school year 2023-2024 the year-to-date attendance percent will

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Coronado will use all Early Warning system indicators to identify patterns of students that are off-track and access resources at the school to re-engage those students to improve school wide attendance rates. All staff will communicate daily with all students via Black Board, Google Voice, phone calls, Pull outs by Attendance Coordinator to Increase student engagement. Schedule home visits by the school attendance coordinator and school social workers. We will also increase student incentives, i.e. improved PBIS programing. We are also incorporating more student-based activities such as clubs to improve the overall culture and climate of the school.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Jeffrey Dudek (jeffreyad@leeschools.net)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

We are going to implement grater Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS)

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Research suggests that the implementation of a PBIS program helps to improve school climate as well as increase students academic success. As stated previously, students are more likely to attend school when there is a positive culture and climate. By improving the school climate and environment there will be a positive impact on student attendance

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

***No action steps were entered for this area of focus***

## #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Intervention

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed.

One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

The data the school selected was from the 2023 FAST ELA Reading for grades 8 and 10. For grade 8 the overall school average was 309 in comparison to district 330 and state 332 respectively. Additionally, grade 10 demonstrates a similar pattern with the school average score of 327, district 342 and state at 346 respectively. There are several key factors which lead to these trends. 1. We service at-risk students who enroll at our school because they are primarily above age for grade level (middle school) and/or they are behind on credits, GPA, and testing requirements in order to graduate on-time. These students arrive with significant deficiencies in reading and mathematics. 2. Due to changes in school leadership and staffing shortages the school did not implement a reading intervention program with fidelity. 3. The school also experienced a shift in school culture and climate. With the transition of school leadership, it was quickly identified that there was a lack of emphasis on a positive culture and environment that was student focused with goals geared toward student achievement. This would account for the breakdown of following through with full implementation of a reading intervention program.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

By the end of the academic school year 2023-2024 the school's Grade 8 and Grade 10 FAST ELA Reading Scores will increase by 10 points.

### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

The school will review FAST Progress Monitoring Testing 1. Students will be grouped by performance for targeted intervention by the school's reading intervention. Furthermore, we will monitor the schools reading program "Reading Plus." Through this program we can conduct pre-tests to identify students reading Lexile Levels. We can track and monitor student progress and performance through the utilization of this program. We will have built in time during the school day for students to work in Reading Plus. Students that fail to make progress in Reading Plus will be targeted for small group and one-on-one intervention. Furthermore, FAST PM 2 will be utilized to determine student growth and to track the efficacy of our intervention programs. Adjustments will be made accordingly for students that still do not indicate progress

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jeffrey Dudek (jeffreyad@leeschools.net)

### Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Reading Plus is the reading program utilized by the school. Students have designated time to work and make progress in Reading Plus. Data is collected daily to track students' growth and progress.

### Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Reading Plus is an evidence-based reading intervention program. It has been utilized by the school for numerous years. It has been shown to improve student test scores.

Action Steps:

- 1, Review Individual Students prior year FAST ELA Reading Scores
2. Group Students accordingly for small group intervention.
3. Students will be required to work daily in the Reading Plus Program
4. Continued tracking of data from Reading Plus, FAST Progress Monitoring Testing
5. Adjust intervention accordingly to student needs.

#### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

#### **Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

*No action steps were entered for this area of focus*

### **CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C)).

North Nicholas administration analyzes data during the summer and creates plans based on need. Our budget is created with a collaborative team, and there are monthly meetings with the finance team and grants department. The principal develops the areas of focus, based on data and surveys. After the area of focus is determined, the principal determines what interventions are needed. We purchase programs for interventions that are evidence-based and vetted through our educational platforms team and regional directors.

### **Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)**

#### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

## **Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA**

## **Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA**

### **Measurable Outcomes**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

### **Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes**

### **Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes**

### **Monitoring**

#### **Monitoring**

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

#### **Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome**

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

### **Evidence-based Practices/Programs**

#### **Description:**

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

**Rationale:**

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

**Action Step****Person Responsible for Monitoring****Title I Requirements****Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))**

List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

N/A

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.**

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

N/A

**Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii)**

N/A

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

N/A

#### Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

N/A

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

N/A

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

N/A

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

N/A

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

N/A

### Budget to Support Areas of Focus

#### Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Other	\$0.00
2	III.B.	Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: Intervention	\$0.00



	<b>Total:</b> <b>\$0.00</b>
--	-----------------------------

## Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No