

2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

### **Table of Contents**

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	9
III. Planning for Improvement	14
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	19
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	0
VI. Title I Requirements	19
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	21

### **Indiantown High School**

19000 SW CITRUS BOULEVARD, Indiantown, FL 34956

https://indiantownhs.irsc.edu/

### **School Board Approval**

This plan was approved by the Martin County School Board on 9/19/2023.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### **Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)**

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <u>https://www.floridacims.org</u>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **I. School Information**

### School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement.

Indiantown High School will offer a seamless integration of traditional high school curriculum with rigorous college track courses, alongside work force ready and career technical education. IHS students will become college and career ready global leaders.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

Indiantown High School will provide a superior education along with workforce training and college preparedness. IHS will equip and empower students for the future.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

### School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Davenport, Lisa	Principal	Develop a vision of academic success for all students. Create a positive, education focused climate Develop and cultivate leadership ability in others Improving instruction. Manage people and data, and implement processes that increase success Manage the school's activities and staff. Establish and oversee master and class schedules. Implement, and maintain curriculum standards in alignment with state requirements. Counsel and discipline students. Observe teachers and evaluate their performance.
Johnson, Kim	School Counselor	Scheduling, data review, career exploration, parent outreach, advisory,

#### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Input was requested from faculty, staff and members of our management advisory board. Once goals were defined this information was shared with stakeholders via email, open forum and online meetings Management Advisory Board:

Kevin Powers, Dr. Ann Hubbard, Troy Labarbara, Lana Barros, Terri Graham, Hans Sorenson, Heather Belmont, Deborah Kohuth

### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

School based leadership will regularly utilize the SIP in the decision making process, as well as sharing with the MAB for informational and decision making purposes. In conjunction with quarterly data chats, the SIP will be an additional tool for determining academic interventions and utilization of school and community based resources

#### Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2022-23 Title I School Status	No
2022-23 Minority Rate	100%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	75%
Charter School	Yes
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	N/A
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented	
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	
*2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating History	

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Total								
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined	0	^	^	0	0	0	^	0	0	

by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			(	Grac	de L	evel				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8								Total	
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

### The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Absent 10% or more school days		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.		
The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early war	rning indic	ators:
la d'actor		

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		

### The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		

### Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

### The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indiantar			Total							
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Absent 10% or more school days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The number of students identified retained:										
lu ali a sta u			(	Grad	le L	evel				Tetel
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
		-	-	-	-	-	•	~	•	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

### ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

### On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component		2023			2022			2021	
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	27	52	50		57	51			
ELA Learning Gains									
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*	27	43	38		41	38			
Math Learning Gains									
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									
Science Achievement*		74	64		44	40			
Social Studies Achievement*		69	66		47	48			
Middle School Acceleration					44	44			
Graduation Rate		94	89		66	61			
College and Career Acceleration		61	65		71	67			
ELP Progress		41	45						

\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

### ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index						
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	N/A					
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students						
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target						
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index						
Total Components for the Federal Index	2					
Percent Tested	100					
Graduation Rate						

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index							
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)							
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students							

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index							
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target							
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index							
Total Components for the Federal Index							
Percent Tested							
Graduation Rate							

### ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY											
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%								
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP	25	Yes	1	1								
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL												

	2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY												
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%									
SWD													
ELL													
AMI													
ASN													
BLK													
HSP													

### 2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY

ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL				

### Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

			2022-2	3 ACCOU	NTABILIT		NENTS BY	SUBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	27			27								
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP	30			20							2	
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL												

	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress		
All Students														
SWD														
ELL														
AMI														
ASN														

	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress		
BLK														
HSP														
MUL														
PAC														
WHT														
FRL														

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress		
All Students														
SWD														
ELL														
AMI														
ASN														
BLK														
HSP														
MUL														
PAC														
WHT														
FRL														

### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2023 - Spring	25%	50%	-25%	48%	-23%

			ALGEBRA					
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
N/A	2023 - Spring	27%	55%	-28%	50%	-23%		
GEOMETRY								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	51%	*	48%	*		

### III. Planning for Improvement

### Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

### Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Incoming student data shows 70% of all students are not ELA proficient school wide. 11th and 10th grade students are at 16% proficient each grade, with incoming 9th graders at 46% proficient. ELA will be our primary focus this school year. Due to this being a new school we do not have trending data at this time

### Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

There is no historical data due to being year 1

### Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

9th and 10th grade ELA shows a significant disparity between IHS scores and the state. State proficiency for 9th grade ELA is 48% while district is 50% and IHS is 16%. State proficiency for 10th grade ELA is 50%, district is 51% and IHS is 16% proficient.

### Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

There is no historical data due to being year 1

### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

We will focus on school attendance as a significant EWS as the majority of our incoming students have had significant truancy/tardy concerns in the past.

### Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Improve overall ELA proficiency to 55% for 9th/10th and 100% proficiency for all 11th graders. Maintain high attendance by all students

### Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

### #1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Early Warning System

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Improve overall student attendance. 62% of our incoming students missed more than 22 school days last year, with nearly 20% missing over 30 days unexcused. Missed instructional time is a leading indicator of lagging academic progress. Students that feel engaged, challenged, and safe show a much higher rate of attendance than students that do not. Parents that feel connected to their child's school support school initiatives and policies.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Less than 20% of our students will miss more than 20 unexcused days, no students will miss more than 25 unexcused days.

### **Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Daily attendance will be monitored. Phone calls home will take place if students are not present for their first block class. Students that miss more than 3 days unexcused will need to have a parent teacher conference. School counselor and/or principal will provide home visits for any students missing more than 7 days unexcused.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Lisa Davenport (Idavenport@irsc.edu)

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Parent communication, parent information nights, student led conferences, quarterly parent conferences all will be implemented to cement the relationship between home and school and to prioritize the relationship of parents and school as one of a mutual partnership for their child's success.

### **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Focus on Safety, Engagement, and Environment as per the National Safe and Supportive Schools Model Engagement. Strong relationships between students, teachers, families, and schools and strong connections between schools and the broader community.

Safety. Schools and school-related activities where students are safe from violence, bullying, harassment, and controlled-substance use.

Environment. Appropriate facilities, well-managed classrooms, available school-based health supports, and a clear, fair disciplinary policy.

### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### **Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Student and parent survey to determine thoughts about school and attendance

Create action plan based on survey feedback

Implement strategies as determined in action plan

Monitoring of daily/weekly attendance to determine effectiveness of plan

Person Responsible: Lisa Davenport (Idavenport@irsc.edu)

By When: 9/15/2023 for the survey and then on going monitoring.

### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

We will focus on ELA instruction this year. 46% of incoming 9th graders are proficient while state level is 48%. Only 16% of incoming 10th graders are proficient, while state level is 50%. Only 16% of our 11th graders are proficient, 84% of them are not on track for graduation at this time due to low ELA performance.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We will increase overall ELA scores to 55% proficiency for 10th grade and 60% for 9th grade. We will increase 100% of our 11th grade students. We will utilize the PM1 and PM 2 scores to drive our instructional practices, and target remediation and reteaching of skills. We will continue our focus on improvement of instruction and remediation throughout the school year, for the PM3 exam, as well as provide small group and individualized instruction and remediation. We purposefully created a 4x4 block for our academic schedules, with a full year's worth of 85 minutes a day of ELA remediation occurring in semester 1, followed by the core content ELA class with 85 minutes of daily instruction in semester 2.

### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Tracking and monitoring of data regarding assessment results in Focus and with the FLDOE assessment results site. Bimonthly review of student reading data from Achieve 3000, Read 180 and SPIRE

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Lisa Davenport (Idavenport@irsc.edu)

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

We are utilizing Read 180 and Achieve 3000 as interventions. Our unique 4x4 block schedule allows for all struggling ELA learners to spend semester 1(equivalent to an entire school year) receiving daily 90 minute instruction in reading and writing skills. Read and Achieve are the predominant interventions we are utilizing during this instructional time

### **Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

We chose a 4x4 block to allow for substantial instructional time as 84% of our students are not on grade level. We selected the Read and Achieve platforms as they are well respected educational platforms with proven track records for engagement and success.

### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Subscriptions and materials purchased from Achieve 3000 and Read 180 Small group instruction, break outs based on skills deficits and remediation needs. Placement of a reading interventionist. On going monitoring of classroom instruction, small group remediation and scheduling of students with interventionist.

### Person Responsible: Lisa Davenport (Idavenport@irsc.edu)

**By When:** 9/15/2023 for materials and interventionist, then on going monitoring with weekly curriculum review and monthly data chats

### CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

N/A

### **Title I Requirements**

### Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4)) List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

SIP will be posted on our website, an "all call" parent meeting will occur after SIP approval to present to all parents, plan will be presented and discussed monthly at our advisory board meetings

## Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

Constant communication in the form of website updates, social media postings, school wide emails and phone calls are being utilized. Disseminated information includes scheduling, school completion updates, course offerings etc. We hold parent information nights quarterly, and have student led conferences each semester.

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii))

We built a 4x4 block schedule whereby all students at levels 3,2, and 1 are in core content ELA and math remediation classes for the first semester, and then their state required course for second semester. We offer a weekly advisory period where students will receive additional academic support and tutoring. Students at levels 4 and 5 are being placed on a dual enrollment track for additional rigor. Courses are either offered on campus or through Indian River State College

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

All IHS students will have access to and are encouraged to participate in CTE career work force ready programs. Students may choose from Health Science, Automotive, Welding, and building trades as well as marketing and digital media

**Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan** Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(l))

Through our weekly advisory period students will have social emotional support with school and district based counselors. Their advisors are the student's mentors for their duration as students and will receive academic and general support.

# Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

IHS is a career and work force ready focused school. Our students have access to multiple career academies including medical, building, technology, welding and automotive. Our students are given an over view of the academy options as freshman and able to try out various pathways as early as semester 2 of their freshman year. Due to our 4x4 block schedule the majority of our freshman students will take at least 1 dual enrollment class at Indian River State College, and will continue to have the option of taking up to 3 dual enrollment classes each semester thereafter.

## Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

We follow the multi tiered support systems that Martin County Schools has in place, as we are under the MCSD umbrella. Our problem solving team consists of the principal, guidance counselor, ESE specialist, and school social worker/psychologist. Students are provided accommodations unique to their needs and individual preferences, whereby students are able to spend more time in class and are taught coping and management skills within the classroom environment

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

Teachers are provided relevant and meaningful PD opportunities pursuant to the content they teach and the needs of the students. All teachers are provided with raw and disaggregated data for their students as a baseline for necessary instructional and academic interventions

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

N/A

### **Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Early Warning System	\$0.00
2	III.B.	Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00

### Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No