

Hillsborough County Public Schools

Lopez Exceptional Center School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	9
III. Planning for Improvement	14
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	18
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	18
VI. Title I Requirements	20
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	22

Lopez Exceptional Center

315 W OLD HILLSBOROUGH AVE, Seffner, FL 33584

[no web address on file]

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Provide support to empower student communication and understanding to be successful citizens.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Preparing self-advocating independent students for life through engaging interactions.

School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Schwanzl, Sara	Principal	
Mulrenin, Stacey	Teacher, ESE	SAC chair: assists the principal and staff in the development, implementation, and monitoring of the School Improvement Plan (SIP) required in accountability legislation.
Higgins Ortiz, Blossom	Teacher, ESE	SAC co-chair: assists the principal and staff in the development, implementation, and monitoring of the School Improvement Plan (SIP) required in accountability legislation.
Meyer, Heidi	Parent Engagement Liaison	Encourage parents/guardians to participate in school?based activities and support the school's vision and mission with their children at home.
Rivas Rodriguez, David	Teacher, ESE	HCTA Representative - assists the principal and staff in the development, implementation, and monitoring of the School Improvement Plan (SIP) required in accountability legislation.

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

School leadership team met on 8/21/2023 to review previous Areas of Focus and revise to include new initiatives. SAC was presented with new Areas of Focus at first meeting on 8/31/2023 for approval.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State’s academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

SAC will meet monthly and review data for SIP Areas of Focus. If data trends do not show improvement for Areas of Focus by second semester, school leadership team will review and revise SIP.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2022-23 Title I School Status	Yes
2022-23 Minority Rate	73%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	90%
Charter School	No
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification *updated as of 3/11/2024	CSI
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	Yes
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities (SWD)* Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL)*
School Grades History *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
School Improvement Rating History	2021-22: MAINTAINING 2018-19: UNSATISFACTORY 2017-18: MAINTAINING
DJJ Accountability Rating History	

Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
Absent 10% or more days										1	0	1
One or more suspensions												
Course failure in ELA												
Course failure in Math												
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment												
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment												
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.												

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	0	51	53	5	51	55	0		
ELA Learning Gains				35			14		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*	0	50	55	10	41	42	15		
Math Learning Gains				43			33		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									
Science Achievement*	0	48	52		48	54			
Social Studies Achievement*		65	68		57	59			
Middle School Acceleration		70	70		51	51			
Graduation Rate		83	74		44	50			
College and Career Acceleration		33	53		68	70			
ELP Progress		52	55		73	70			

** In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.*

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	0
Total Components for the Federal Index	3

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	99
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	23
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	93
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	95
Graduation Rate	

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	0	Yes	4	4
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	0	Yes	4	4

2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	23	Yes	3	3
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	19	Yes	3	3

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each “blank” cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	0			0			0					
SWD	0			0			0				3	
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL	0			0							2	

2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	5	35		10	43							
SWD	5	35		10	43							
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL	7	36		15								

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students	0	14		15	33							
SWD	0	14		15	33							
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT				27								
FRL	0	17		19	40							

Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

III. Planning for Improvement

Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Our data focus is on student communication and self-advocacy skill increases as opposed to academic gains.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Comparable data is challenging to collect as our students' needs are highly individualized and distinct.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Our data focus is on student communication and self-advocacy skill increases as opposed to academic gains.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Comparable data is challenging to collect as our students' needs are highly individualized and distinct.

Still, new actions we took in 2022-2023 were to implement core vocabulary skill growth with students through weekly

lessons on specific words, review and discuss the various skill areas as denoted by the Communication Matrix, and offer Ukeru training to all staff.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Attendance is an ongoing concern; however, this is primarily due to our students who are considered medically fragile and their daily health needs.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

Improving receptive and expressive communication
Decreasing problem/physically aggressive behaviors

Area of Focus

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Improve student engagement through increased communication and tactile/kinesthetic learning opportunities, which supports students' varying learning styles to increase positive behavior outcomes.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Increase in Florida Alternate Assessment scores and decrease in physically aggressive behavior.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

IEP data related to communication, PBIS data, walk-throughs.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Teachers will use core word visual communication boards, visual supports, PBIS data, and communication devices to increase engagement, communication, and decrease problem behavior.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Students are able to more effectively express their wants and needs when they have access to multiple modes of communication, which results in increased engagement and decreased problem behaviors.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 3 - Promising Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Increase amount of communication-enhancement technology devices, including those that are low-technology (such as eye-gaze boards).

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Increase amount of referrals to ACAT.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Provide ongoing training opportunities for staff regarding use of communication devices and tactile/kinesthetic instructional techniques.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Purchase 15 ITalk 2 communication device at \$215 per device to improve engagement with students.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: End of October

Purchase classroom libraries for 10 classrooms at \$250 per class. The books will provide students with knowledge and exposure to real-life experiences.

Person Responsible: [no one identified]

By When: End of October

Teachers will be provided with additional planning time to support their learning of students with disabilities and establishing ways to communicate and engage students.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: Beginning October through December.

Provide supplemental materials that will engage students and increase their understanding of standards.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: By the end of October.

#2. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Teacher Retention and Recruitment

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Offering and encouraging participation in trainings for behavior management will help staff create safe environments on campus to decrease problem behaviors and increase positive behavior, improving instructional outcomes.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Improvement in PBIP data, PBIS data, behavior tracker data, and decrease in staff injury reports; increase in teacher daily attendance/reporting to work and the number of teachers retained during transfer period.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Daily sign in sheet for staff, monthly staff perfect attendance luncheon, monthly voting of staff spotlight, data collection charts for PBIPs, injury reports

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Teachers will participate in Ukeru, NCI, and/or other behavior management trainings offered through professional development in the district. New staff will receive informal mentoring by veteran staff members through PLC meetings.

Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Staff will be able to provide de-escalation techniques and encourage self-regulation in students. Safety of students and staff will increase with use of strategies. Well-being of staff and positive culture will increase as injuries decrease.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 3 - Promising Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Monitor staff participation in behavior trainings.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Monitor PBIP data collection and behavior tracker data and analyze for trends.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Monitor staff injury reports.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

Monitor staff attendance sheets and minutes of PLCs.

Person Responsible: Sara Schwanzl (sara.schwanzl@hcps.net)

By When: 5/24/2024

CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C).

We used monies from Title I funding to support both high- and low-tech communication devices, including color printers and replacement cartridges to create high-contrast visual aids.

Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment. Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

NA

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically related to Reading/ELA

NA

Measurable Outcomes

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data-based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K -3, using the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment;
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a Level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment; and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2 Measurable Outcomes

NA

Grades 3-5 Measurable Outcomes

NA

Monitoring

Monitoring

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

NA

Person Responsible for Monitoring Outcome

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Evidence-based Practices/Programs

Description:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidence-based Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

NA

Rationale:

Explain the rationale for selecting practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

NA

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

NA

Title I Requirements

Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))

List the school's webpage* where the SIP is made publicly available.

Parent link, parent binder in the front office, school social media accounts and paper flyers to parents.

Webpage: <https://www.hillsboroughschools.org/lopezese>

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

Scheduling holiday events, positive reinforcement activities such as awards ceremony and our fall festival, as well as sensory and communication activities where parents are welcome to attend and participate will increase positive relationships with families and stakeholders. Students IEPs are reviewed annually and at any time by request of parent. All instructional personnel regularly communicate with parents regarding their child's progress at school.

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(ii))

Improve student engagement through increased communication and tactile/kinesthetic learning opportunities, which supports students' varying learning styles to increase positive behavior outcomes. Using core communication visual boards will strengthen the overall academic program for our school.

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))

We fall under the parameters of IDEA and all of our students have IEPs. Our SIP is designed to support all students' IEP goals.

Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

We have a full-time school psychologist and school social worker who provide individual counseling as needed as well as if required per IEP to supplement academic instruction. Social skills instruction is embedded within all classrooms.

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

We currently have three classes that focus on post-secondary life and education skills to transition into adulthood.

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).

We have a tier I all school behavior code; for tier 2 we group students accordingly for academic, behavioral, and medical needs in small groups; for tier 3, we are a ukeru trained school and have monthly triage to discuss high behavioral-needs students according to IEP.

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))

Our PLCs are designed for our faculty and staff to better understand where academic support is needed, including evidence-based interventions in communication and behavioral support.

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

NA - no preschool students are enrolled at this time.

Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.B.	Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement				\$45,883.25
Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2023-24	
5200	510	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$2,375.00	
<i>Notes: Purchase supplies for teachers and students that will support their learning. The supplies include surge protectors, crayons, pencils, pens, copy paper, file folders, notebooks, and dividers.</i>						
5200	510	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$3,225.00	
<i>Notes: Purchase 15 ITalk 2 communication device at \$215 per device to improve engagement with students.</i>						
5200	520	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$2,500.00	
<i>Notes: Purchase classroom libraries for 10 classrooms at \$250 per class. The books will provide students with knowledge and exposure to real-life experiences.</i>						
5200	520	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$3,183.50	
<i>Notes: Provide supplemental materials that will engage students and increase their understanding of standards. Purchase High Noon books provides a means to expose and reinforce the common words in English to at-risk, struggling readers, and special education populations for the purpose of getting students reading on grade level. They will purchase level 1- It's All About Animals and Life Skills in Action: Job Skills.</i>						
6300	120	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$28,451.40	
<i>Notes: Teachers will be provided with additional planning time to support their learning of students with disabilities and establishing ways to communicate and engage students.</i>						
6300	210	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$3,860.86	
<i>Notes: Retirement</i>						
6300	220	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$1,763.99	
<i>Notes: FICA</i>						
6300	220	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$412.55	

			<i>Notes: Medicare</i>			
	6300	240	2541 - Lopez Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$110.95
			<i>Notes: Workers Compensation</i>			
2	III.B.	Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Teacher Retention and Recruitment				\$0.00
					Total:	\$45,883.25

Budget Approval

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No