

Volusia County Schools

# Legacy Scholars Academy School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>	<b>21</b>

## Legacy Scholars Academy

51 CHILDRENS WAY, Enterprise, FL 32725

<http://www.flumc.org/newsdetail/1731144>

### School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Volusia County School Board on 10/31/2023.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

#### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

#### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

#### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Legacy Scholars Academy is committed to ensuring that all students have a comprehensive support system that will ultimately foster emotional and academic success.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The Legacy Scholars Academy Family envisions a climate of nurturing and trust where all students will have the opportunity for a high-quality, 21st-century education and graduate prepared for college, career and life.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

#### School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Chandler, Al	Coordinator	School administrator, responsible for all administrative duties for the school.
Stilwell, Melissa		Teacher: Lead teacher; PLC facilitator; Social Emotional Learning Lead; SAC
George, Tracy		Classroom/student support; sunshine committee chair; SAC

#### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

SAC meetings; Surveys (Guardians/parents, staff, business partners/community members); Faculty meetings; ESSA staffings; Open House; These are used to gather information on student/school needs, review SIP and revise as needed.

#### SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

School Improvement Plan is monitored at School Advisory Committee meetings. Additionally, PLC meetings monitor SIP goals and data.

### Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

<b>2023-24 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
<b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>	Yes
<b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>	35%
<b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>	85%
<b>Charter School</b>	No
<b>RAISE School</b>	No
<b>ESSA Identification</b> *updated as of 3/11/2024	CSI
<b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>	Yes
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL)*
<b>School Grades History</b> *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	
<b>School Improvement Rating History</b>	2021-22: COMMENDABLE 2018-19: MAINTAINING 2017-18: MAINTAINING 2016-17: MAINTAINING
<b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>	

### Early Warning Systems

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	1	13	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	5	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	5

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	19	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	11	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:



Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	5

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	10	
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	8	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	4

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**II. Needs Assessment/Data Review**

**ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	21	48	53	7	49	55	9		
ELA Learning Gains				42					
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile									
Math Achievement*	44	45	55	22	32	42	9		
Math Learning Gains				60					
Math Lowest 25th Percentile									
Science Achievement*		56	52		45	54			
Social Studies Achievement*		64	68		52	59			
Middle School Acceleration		53	70		44	51			
Graduation Rate		76	74		52	50			
College and Career Acceleration		43	53		62	70			
ELP Progress		58	55		68	70			

*\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.*

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

**ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)**

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	33
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	65
Total Components for the Federal Index	2

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

Percent Tested	89
Graduation Rate	

**2021-22 ESSA Federal Index**

ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	CSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	33
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	Yes
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	131
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	86
Graduation Rate	

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)****2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY**

ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT	39	Yes	1	
FRL	41			

2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD				
ELL				
AMI				
ASN				
BLK				
HSP				
MUL				
PAC				
WHT				
FRL	34	Yes	3	

#### Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	21			44								
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT	17			60							2	
FRL	27			54							2	

2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	7	42		22	60							
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL	7	42		25	60							

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students	9			9								
SWD												
ELL												
AMI												
ASN												
BLK												
HSP												
MUL												
PAC												
WHT												
FRL												

### Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
10	2023 - Spring	*	45%	*	50%	*
07	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	47%	*
08	2023 - Spring	*	39%	*	47%	*
09	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	48%	*
06	2023 - Spring	*	42%	*	47%	*

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	*	49%	*	54%	*
07	2023 - Spring	*	44%	*	48%	*
08	2023 - Spring	*	37%	*	55%	*

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2023 - Spring	*	47%	*	44%	*

ALGEBRA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	32%	*	50%	*

GEOMETRY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	39%	*	48%	*

BIOLOGY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	65%	*	63%	*

CIVICS						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	65%	*	66%	*

HISTORY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	57%	*	63%	*

### III. Planning for Improvement

#### Data Analysis/Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

ELA Achievement (7%); Our students are in the foster care system and referred to the children's home due to concerns socially, emotionally and/or academically. We work with our students on Social/Emotional Learning to help them feel safe and supported so they can focus more on academics. A majority of students come to us with attendance, behavioral and academic issues.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

ELA Achievement; Our students are in the foster care system and referred to the children's home due to concerns socially, emotionally and/or academically. We work with our students on Social/Emotional Learning to help them feel safe and supported so they can focus more on academics. A majority of students come to us with attendance, behavioral and academic issues.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

I do not have enough data to make this determination but the factors list previously contribute to this as well.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

Algebra 1 EOC; 7 of 8 Algebra 1 students we tested scored Level 3 or higher. We did an Algebra 1 boot camp leading up to the exam.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

Level 1 ELA.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

- Increase ELA proficiency
- Effective Instruction and Assessment Practices

**Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)



**#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Other****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Students earn stamps in their planners for appropriately participating in class, completing tasks, using SEL strategies as needed and contributing to a positive class environment. Planners are used to communicate daily with cottage parents and teachers will write notes when students do not earn a stamp to keep cottage parents informed.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

85% of students will earn 80% of their stamps (28/35) each week

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Stamps will be counted each week and logged by students, charted and that data will be reviewed by our SEL PLC for trends and to develop strategies to increase or maintain. Additionally, data will be used to identify students needing individual supports.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Melissa Stilwell (mstilwel@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Social/Emotional Learning will be taught to help students recognize where they and others are emotionally, strategies to help regulate their emotions.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Research shows that students using Social/Emotional Learning experience improvement in academic performance and classroom climate

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 2 - Moderate Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

leadership team attend training on implementing SEL strategies

**Person Responsible:** Al Chandler (abchandler@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**By When:** by end of 1st semester (December 20, 2023)

set up PLC for SEL instruction/implementation and data collection process

**Person Responsible:** Melissa Stilwell (mstilwel@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**By When:** September 1, 2023

**#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Instructional Coaching/Professional Learning****Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

Increase knowledge and use of effective instructional and assessment practices through professional development and follow-up coaching. Due to issues our population presents, our focus will be on what staff can do to impact student learning.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Teachers will increase use of effective instructional practices as measured during walk throughs with check lists.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Monitoring will take place as part of the coaching, administrative walk-throughs and meetings to review implementation.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Al Chandler (abchandler@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Through observation, on going dialog, teacher and leader needs; PD will be suggested for individuals or groups in instructional practices with follow-up coaching to support and monitor

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Use of PD built on a research-based model of achievement

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 2 - Moderate Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

Yes

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Collaborative needs assessment with coach and school staff.

**Person Responsible:** Al Chandler (abchandler@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**By When:** September 15, 2023

set up PD for staff

**Person Responsible:** Al Chandler (abchandler@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**By When:** October 31, 2023

coaching specific to PD

**Person Responsible:** Al Chandler (abchandler@volusia.k12.fl.us)

**By When:** November 20, 2023

### **CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review**

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C)).

School Improvement funding, data and implementation are reviewed and discussed at SAC and faculty meetings throughout the year.

## **Title I Requirements**

### **Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))**

List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

SIP, Title I and UniSIG budgets are shared at Open House, SAC meetings and online. Copies are made available at Open House and SAC meetings. Additionally, we inform stakeholders at those meetings and through email that copies are available in the school office.

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.**

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

Planners, emails and phone calls are used to keep families informed of their students' progress and supports available. We build positive relationships through communication via planners, email, phone calls and meeting with our cottage parents and stakeholders regularly. Additionally, we have a family engagement activity each semester to encourage involvement and foster positive relationships with stakeholders.

**Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii)**

Increasing staff knowledge and use of effective instructional strategies through professional development and coaching will impact the quality of instruction and ultimately student achievement.

**If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))**

N/A

### **Optional Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan**

Include descriptions for any additional strategies that will be incorporated into the plan.

**Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))**

All students living at the children's home are assigned a therapist and some also meet with a psychiatrist monthly. Students can be referred to our school counselor by staff or requesting by support. We use RULER a SEL curriculum to support students and help them learn to regulate their emotions in and out the the academic setting.

**Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))**

We work with the Independent Living Case Manager for the children's home to make student aware of different postsecondary options. We have a Career and College Readiness Day each month where a presenter from a postsecondary school or a someone working in a specific field comes in to talk with students about their school or work. What it takes to get in, potential earnings, training needed and benefits.

**Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. 20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III).**

We meet with staff to discuss students who are having difficulty managing their behavior and not using SEL strategies and tools. Interventions are set up to support these students and reviewed after several weeks of use. Interventions are continued or revised based on the data review and documentation is started for MTSS if necessary.

**Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(IV))**

The district and school provide PD and coaching support for new staff.

**Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))**

N/A

### **Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

**Part VII: Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

<b>1</b>	<b>III.B.</b>	<b>Area of Focus: Positive Culture and Environment: Other</b>				<b>\$1,766.50</b>
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2023-24
	5100	510	9870 - Legacy Scholars Academy	UniSIG	0.0	\$1,766.50
			<i>Notes: Materials &amp; supplies - Math manipulatives and Words Their Way Textbooks. Algebra Teacher's Activities kits, Magnetic visual area modeler, pre-Algebra activities, common core state standards station activities for math, equation classroom sets, magnetic visual area modeler.</i>			
<b>2</b>	<b>III.B.</b>	<b>Area of Focus: Instructional Practice: Instructional Coaching/Professional Learning</b>				<b>\$42,950.00</b>
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2023-24
	6120	310	9870 - Legacy Scholars Academy	UniSIG	0.0	\$34,500.00
			<i>Notes: Catapult Learning Professional development. This training will enhance instructional practices focused on Tools, strategies, and frameworks to enhance instructional classroom, coaching, and leadership practices with follow up coaching.</i>			
	5100	640	9870 - Legacy Scholars Academy	UniSIG	0.0	\$8,450.00
			<i>Notes: Technology for student use and to enhance the classroom instruction, this will include laptops and table mounts.</i>			
<b>Total:</b>						<b>\$44,716.50</b>

**Budget Approval**

Check if this school is eligible and opting out of UniSIG funds for the 2023-24 school year.

No