Lake County Schools

Round Lake Elementary School



2023-24 Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

Table of Contents

SIP Authority and Purpose	3
I. School Information	6
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	7
III. Planning for Improvement	0
IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	0
V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence	0
VI. Title I Requirements	0
VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus	0

Round Lake Elementary School

31333 ROUND LAKE RD, Mount Dora, FL 32757

https://rle.lake.k12.fl.us

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and

Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://www.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

I. School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission this year will focus on creating motivated learners. The adult-focused mission is to have 100% of administration and staff motivated to learn by engaging in self-selected book studies throughout the year. The student-centered mission is to build classroom communities that help students to set personal growth goals that they will be motivated to achieve.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Round Lake Charter is a compassionate community that strives to create motivated learners by tailoring instruction to meet the needs of all students leading to growth in the classroom and beyond.

Demographic Data

Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

2023-24 Status	Active
(per MSID File)	
School Type and Grades Served	Combination School
(per MSID File)	PK-7
Primary Service Type	K-12 General Education
(per MSID File)	
2022-23 Title I School Status	No
2022-23 Minority Rate	28%
2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate	31%
Charter School	Yes
RAISE School	No
ESSA Identification	
*updated as of 3/11/2024	N/A
Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)	No
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities (SWD) English Language Learners (ELL) Asian Students (ASN) Black/African American Students (BLK) Hispanic Students (HSP) Multiracial Students (MUL) White Students (WHT) Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL)
School Grades History *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	2021-22: A 2019-20: A 2018-19: A 2017-18: A
School Improvement Rating History	
DJJ Accountability Rating History	
· · · · · · ·	

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.

Associate bility Component		2023			2022		2021			
Accountability Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement*	67	62	53	72	64	55	71			
ELA Learning Gains				64			57			
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				42			38			
Math Achievement*	84	68	55	81	44	42	75			
Math Learning Gains				77			70			
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				70			65			
Science Achievement*	64	61	52	62	65	54	66			
Social Studies Achievement*	94	84	68	87	66	59	88			
Middle School Acceleration	56	73	70	51	54	51	73			
Graduation Rate		63	74		58	50				
College and Career Acceleration		35	53		82	70				
ELP Progress	75	59	55	58	64	70	71			

^{*} In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.

See Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings.

ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index								
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	N/A							
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	72							
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No							
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1							
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	503							

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index								
Total Components for the Federal Index	7							
Percent Tested	100							
Graduation Rate								

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index									
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	N/A								
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	66								
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students									
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0								
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	664								
Total Components for the Federal Index	10								
Percent Tested	100								
Graduation Rate									

ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY												
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%									
SWD	31	Yes	1	1									
ELL	52												
AMI													
ASN	90												
BLK	56												
HSP	62												
MUL	66												
PAC													
WHT	73												
FRL	59												

	2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY											
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%								
SWD	41											
ELL	48											
AMI												
ASN	95											
BLK	64											
HSP	54											
MUL	71											
PAC												
WHT	71											
FRL	57											

Accountability Components by Subgroup

Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

	2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	67			84			64	94	56			75
SWD	24			38			32				3	
ELL	43			52			38				4	75
AMI												
ASN	83			96			91				3	
BLK	50			75			50				4	
HSP	56			72			49	100	36		7	82
MUL	52			80							2	
PAC												
WHT	71			87			68	95	53		6	
FRL	54			70			47	86	36		7	74

	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress	
All Students	72	64	42	81	77	70	62	87	51			58	
SWD	40	45	29	44	60	52	17						
ELL	45	47	36	51	68	64	14					58	
AMI													
ASN	100	86		100	95								
BLK	57	59	50	67	82	87	44						
HSP	61	49	38	67	69	59	39	73	29			56	
MUL	64	58		85	77								
PAC													
WHT	76	67	43	85	77	73	71	90	61				
FRL	63	57	34	68	77	67	49	75	30			52	

	2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress	
All Students	71	57	38	75	70	65	66	88	73			71	
SWD	38	44	22	44	50	35	42						
ELL	39	48	47	46	69	75	33					71	
AMI													
ASN	100	80		95	80								
BLK	59	42		55	63								
HSP	53	49	41	64	64	71	55	86	43			79	
MUL	68	52	40	70	56		56						
PAC													
WHT	77	60	37	81	74	61	76	88	85				
FRL	58	48	35	60	58	56	46	90	38			66	

Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2023 - Spring	65%	52%	13%	54%	11%
07	2023 - Spring	62%	43%	19%	47%	15%
08	2023 - Spring	67%	46%	21%	47%	20%
04	2023 - Spring	83%	54%	29%	58%	25%
06	2023 - Spring	70%	46%	24%	47%	23%
03	2023 - Spring	59%	50%	9%	50%	9%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	89%	55%	34%	54%	35%
07	2023 - Spring	91%	47%	44%	48%	43%
03	2023 - Spring	79%	62%	17%	59%	20%
04	2023 - Spring	90%	59%	31%	61%	29%
08	2023 - Spring	84%	56%	28%	55%	29%
05	2023 - Spring	81%	55%	26%	55%	26%

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2023 - Spring	61%	44%	17%	44%	17%
05	2023 - Spring	65%	52%	13%	51%	14%

			ALGEBRA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	100%	51%	49%	50%	50%

			GEOMETRY			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	*	49%	*	48%	*

			CIVICS			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	94%	67%	27%	66%	28%