

The School District of Palm Beach County

# Renaissance Charter School At Wellington School



2023-24

Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP)

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>SIP Authority and Purpose</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. School Information</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>II. Needs Assessment/Data Review</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>III. Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>IV. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>V. Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>VI. Title I Requirements</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus</b>	<b>0</b>

# Renaissance Charter School At Wellington

3200 S STATE ROAD 7, Wellington, FL 33414

<http://www.wellingtoncharter.org/>

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Palm Beach County School Board on 11/8/2023.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### Additional Target Support and Improvement (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parent), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be

addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), <https://www.floridacims.org>, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for: 1) Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and 2) charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP Sections	Title I Schoolwide Program	Charter Schools
I-A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I-B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(2-3)	
I-E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-A-C: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II-F: Progress Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)(3)	
III-A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III-B: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
III-C: Other SI Priorities		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(5-9)
VI: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## I. School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

It is the mission of Renaissance Charter School at Wellington to enhance a love of learning, provide a lifetime of growing, and to have a positive effect on our community and our world.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

At Renaissance Charter School at Wellington, our vision is to create community partnerships that provide a safe, supportive, and accepting environment where students can reach their greatest potential through critical thinking, global awareness, and career pathway choices.

### School Leadership Team, Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Monitoring

**School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as it relates to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Greene, Mary Beth	Principal	<p>The Principal will monitor and work with all staff listed above to ensure implementation with MTSS and SIP support. The Principal oversees the execution and monitoring of all strategies and action steps towards continuous improvement process at the school. The Principal will guide and facilitate instruction with the use of best practices and school district recommended resources/materials. It is the principal's responsibility to deepen the understanding of standards and engage faculty, students, parents, and the community members to understand the standards and the vision of academic success aligned to college and career readiness. In addition, the principal hires and retains highly qualified employees, uses data to inform decisions and instruction, professional learning, performance, and student learning. The principal quickly and proactively addresses problems in instruction and student learning. Finally, as principal, Ms. Greene must reflect on competing priorities and focus attention on those that will have the greatest leverage in improving instruction and learning.</p>
Griffin, Jessica	Assistant Principal	<p>As assistant Principal, Ms. Griffin supports professional learning and collaboration amongst teachers and resource staff and facilitates and leads professional learning focused on content, instruction, and pedagogical content knowledge. She must demonstrate through daily decisions and actions that the school's priority is academic success for every student. The Assistant Principal assists with eliminating barriers and distractions that interfere with effective teaching and learning. Supports the principal in building a culture of pride, trust, and respect. Monitors the implementation of cultural competence, equity, and access within the instructional practices at the school center. She also monitors and improves instruction by visiting classrooms to support and monitor instruction.</p>
Blas, Jesenia	Curriculum Resource Teacher	<p>The Curriculum Resource Teacher with the coordination and implementation of the District approved ELA curriculum, which follows state standards. She utilizes the coaching model (planning, demonstrating, and providing feedback) with teachers at the school site. Provides site based professional development to staff that is aligned to the needs of students based upon student assessment data. Assists administration and the classroom teachers in the interpretation of student assessment data. Participates in professional development and shares the content with school staff. She participates in and facilitate weekly Professional Learning Communities or PLC's. Finally, the Literacy Coach will provide support to classroom teachers in assisting with the Response to Intervention (RTI) process and ensure SIP goals are met for ELA (Reading/Writing). She will also lead standards-based planning and follow the FCIM coaching cycle.</p>

### **Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development**

Describe the process for involving stakeholders (including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders) and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESSA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

The Mental Health Counselor supports the behavioral and mental health of students and works along with the school staff. The Mental Health Counselor position started in 2019 as part of the Marjory Stoneman Douglass High School Public Safety Act to have more mental health professionals in schools.

Through Parent Trainings we support families with educational workshops facilitated by our school staff, reading and math coaches, ESOL, ESE, and SSC and the Administrative Team.

Our ESOL Coordinator and ESOL School Para work in conjunction with the District's multicultural department to ensure the fidelity of implementation of programs and services designed to improve the outcomes of English Language Learners.

A SSC works with our ESOL Coordinator and ESOL School Counselor to provide school and community support services for families of migrant students. These supports are supplemental to school-wide

supports for students and families.

A school security officer is on campus every day for the safety and security of all students and staff. The school

has one point of entry for everyone. Fortify Florida Application is on every computer, and students are made

aware of this in our assemblies. The "Raptor System" is used to sign parents/visitors before they can go to

a classroom, or school event on campus.

SSC work in partnership with families and the District McKinney-Vento liaison to ensure the needs of these families and students are met. These supports are supplemental to school-wide supports for students and families. Our ESOL Coordinator work in

conjunction with the District Multicultural Department to ensure the implementation with fidelity of programs and services designed to improve the outcomes of our English Language Learners.

### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESSA 1114(b)(3))

The School Improvement Plan (SIP) is a living document that memorializes the continuous improvement work we do at our school. The SIP is updated throughout the year to ensure proper documentation of what we do. Continuous improvement is at the forefront of what we do. We work collaboratively to review and analyze data. We make decisions based on the data to ensure all students receive the necessary support and accommodations during instruction.

Monitoring will take place throughout the year. We will monitor mastery of grade level benchmarks through the use of Interim Assessments, Diagnostics, Midterms, Semester exams, Reading Plus Diagnostics,

Imagine Learning, , FAST Progress Monitoring, Florida Standard Assessments,

End of Course assessments, and Teacher made assessments. The Unit Assessments will occur at the end of each unit of study. The FAST assessments will occur three times a year (PM 1, 2, & 3 in English Language Arts, and Math). The FAST assessments will occur one to two times a year in Algebra I and Geometry.



The annual test administered for ELL students is WIDA ACCESS. The WIDA is used to assess ELL students proficiency in the areas of speaking, listening, reading, and writing. Teachers are trained by the ESOL Coordinator to assess data, modify, and implement differentiated instruction based on the results of data.

Monitoring will take place throughout the year. We will monitor mastery of grade level benchmarks through the use of IFA's, Unit Assessments, i-Ready Diagnostic, NWEA, and FAST Progress Monitoring. The Unit Assessments will occur every 4 weeks. The i-Ready Diagnostic, NWEA and the FAST/STAR assessments will occur three times a year. Student assessments include the new Progress Monitoring which occur 3 times per year. In K- Grade 2 there is EarlyLiteracy/Star Reading, and Star Math. In Grades 3-5 there is FAST Reading and Math. Performance Matters Assessments, Florida Standards Assessments, iReady, and district diagnostics. The annual test administered for ELL students is ACCESS. In addition, the WIDA is used to assess ELL students proficiency in the areas of speaking, listening, reading, and writing. Teachers are trained by instructional coaches to assess data, modify, and implement differentiated instruction based on the results of data. Single school culture (Academics, Behavior, Climate) Academics: Professional Learning Communities occur every week per grade level. Grade level teachers meet with the academic coaches and administration to discuss and analyze data, modify instruction, and create standards-based learning goal scales. Student work and best practices are shared and analyzed. Teachers follow the scope and sequence as outlined on the CSUSA curriculum resource. This ensures that teachers have a concrete timeline as well as the resources to provide quality instruction on the mandated curriculum.

**Demographic Data**  
Only ESSA identification and school grade history updated 3/11/2024

<b>2023-24 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2022-23 Title I School Status</b>	Yes
<b>2022-23 Minority Rate</b>	85%
<b>2022-23 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b>	76%
<b>Charter School</b>	Yes
<b>RAISE School</b>	No
<b>ESSA Identification</b> *updated as of 3/11/2024	ATSI
<b>Eligible for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG)</b>	No
<b>2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities (SWD)* English Language Learners (ELL)* Asian Students (ASN) Black/African American Students (BLK) Hispanic Students (HSP) Multiracial Students (MUL) White Students (WHT) Economically Disadvantaged Students (FRL)
<b>School Grades History</b> *2022-23 school grades will serve as an informational baseline.	2021-22: C

	2019-20: A
	2018-19: A
	2017-18: B
<b>School Improvement Rating History</b>	
<b>DJJ Accountability Rating History</b>	

**Early Warning Systems**

Using 2022-23 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Absent 10% or more days	0	22	17	20	17	20	16	40	32	184
One or more suspensions	0	3	7	2	2	2	1	17	11	45
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	10	26
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	24	37
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	1	13	13	18	22	25	92
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	8	15	21	26	18	88
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	2	3	1	13	13	18	22	25	97

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Students with two or more indicators	0	2	3	8	13	13	20	33	34	126

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students identified retained:

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Prior Year (2022-23) As Initially Reported (pre-populated)**

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Absent 10% or more school days		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)		
Course failure in Math		
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment		
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.		

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		
Students retained two or more times		

**Prior Year (2022-23) Updated (pre-populated)**

Section 3 includes data tables that are pre-populated based off information submitted in prior year's SIP.

**The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Absent 10% or more school days	25	29	25	21	24	19	43	44	37	267	
One or more suspensions	2	3	8	3	3	0	13	12	10	54	
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	16	3	41	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	30	13	58	
Level 1 on statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	17	14	11	21	27	21	111	
Level 1 on statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	7	18	18	21	20	23	107	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

**The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level										Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Students with two or more indicators	2	1	4	12	13	16	33	40	29	150	

**The number of students identified retained:**

Indicator	Grade Level									Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## II. Needs Assessment/Data Review

### ESSA School, District and State Comparison (pre-populated)

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school.

**On April 9, 2021, FDOE Emergency Order No. 2021-EO-02 made 2020-21 school grades optional. They have been removed from this publication.**

Accountability Component	2023			2022			2021		
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement*	57	49	53	55	52	55	55		
ELA Learning Gains				57			49		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile				46			30		
Math Achievement*	55	51	55	39	45	42	36		
Math Learning Gains				49			22		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile				46			17		
Science Achievement*	63	46	52	31	48	54	46		
Social Studies Achievement*	75	63	68	65	57	59	68		
Middle School Acceleration	80	68	70	42	51	51	38		
Graduation Rate		73	74		38	50			
College and Career Acceleration		39	53		62	70			
ELP Progress	64	53	55	44	64	70	56		

*\* In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation.*

See [Florida School Grades, School Improvement Ratings and DJJ Accountability Ratings](#).

### ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	65
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	456
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100
Graduation Rate	

2021-22 ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	ATSI
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	47
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	474
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	99
Graduation Rate	

**ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)**

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	41			
ELL	53			
AMI				
ASN	75			
BLK	62			
HSP	63			
MUL	54			
PAC				
WHT	71			

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
FRL	65			

2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY				
ESSA Subgroup	Federal Percent of Points Index	Subgroup Below 41%	Number of Consecutive years the Subgroup is Below 41%	Number of Consecutive Years the Subgroup is Below 32%
SWD	35	Yes	1	
ELL	39	Yes	1	
AMI				
ASN	69			
BLK	46			
HSP	50			
MUL	54			
PAC				
WHT	45			
FRL	47			

**Accountability Components by Subgroup**

Each “blank” cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
All Students	57			55			63	75	80			64
SWD	31			49			43				3	
ELL	44			43			52	64			5	64
AMI												
ASN	83			67							2	
BLK	50			56			61	75	69		6	
HSP	57			53			57	79	80		7	65
MUL	67			40							2	

2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2021-22	C & C Accel 2021-22	ELP Progress
PAC												
WHT	66			61			78	68			5	
FRL	57			53			61	72	80		7	65

2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21	ELP Progress
All Students	55	57	46	39	49	46	31	65	42			44
SWD	30	45	30	21	50	35	10	56				
ELL	49	51	24	28	44	33	26	50				44
AMI												
ASN	83	67		78	47							
BLK	47	55	52	34	50	46	24	58	47			
HSP	59	60	36	41	52	51	34	71	43			49
MUL	52	71		43	50							
PAC												
WHT	56	49	50	34	41	37	28	64				
FRL	51	57	48	36	48	46	31	63	44			45

2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20	ELP Progress
All Students	55	49	30	36	22	17	46	68	38			56
SWD	26	21	10	17	5	0						
ELL	41	49	43	31	28	33	25	40				56
AMI												
ASN												
BLK	53	52	25	35	19	13	48	79				
HSP	56	49	39	36	23	26	45	62	40			57
MUL												
PAC												
WHT	53	44		33	22		42					
FRL	53	47	23	33	20	18	48	61	39			57

**Grade Level Data Review– State Assessments (pre-populated)**

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2023 - Spring	54%	56%	-2%	54%	0%
07	2023 - Spring	50%	48%	2%	47%	3%
08	2023 - Spring	49%	47%	2%	47%	2%
04	2023 - Spring	58%	58%	0%	58%	0%
06	2023 - Spring	52%	45%	7%	47%	5%
03	2023 - Spring	57%	48%	9%	50%	7%

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2023 - Spring	42%	54%	-12%	54%	-12%
07	2023 - Spring	46%	36%	10%	48%	-2%
03	2023 - Spring	76%	57%	19%	59%	17%
04	2023 - Spring	57%	52%	5%	61%	-4%
08	2023 - Spring	56%	65%	-9%	55%	1%
05	2023 - Spring	56%	56%	0%	55%	1%

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2023 - Spring	39%	46%	-7%	44%	-5%
05	2023 - Spring	72%	51%	21%	51%	21%

ALGEBRA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	82%	48%	34%	50%	32%



GEOMETRY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	100%	50%	50%	48%	52%

BIOLOGY						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	89%	63%	26%	63%	26%

CIVICS						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
N/A	2023 - Spring	72%	65%	7%	66%	6%

### III. Planning for Improvement

**Data Analysis/Reflection**  
 Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

When looking at the FAST Math data throughout the FY23 school year we see a variety of declines. The largest drop was 12% in 6th grade. 8th grade showed a decline of 9%. We feel the decline in our students is a trend and we need to focus additional support for our students. We also need to ensure we continue to support our students with strategic interventions. We attribute these declines to the lack of an experienced teacher in the 6th and 8th grades. Unfortunately, we had vacancies and this affected us. Another concern was that we had many new teachers in these grades. We need to implement a stronger coaching and mentoring program to help develop and support new teachers to the professions. Our goal is to work closely with the Curriculum Specialist to further ensure our teachers are receiving all the support they need to ensure student growth and achievement. Lastly, we will ensure Professional Learning Communities are focused and aligned on the review of data and best practices. We will foster collaboration and data-focused conversations to monitor student progress. By focusing on standards-based instruction in PLC's, we can ensure that all students receive rigorous instruction and small group support to meet their needs. Math teachers will engage in standards-based instruction cycle during the collaborative planning (1) What do students need to know and understand. (Plan); (2) How do we teach effectively to ensure all students are learning (Do); (3) How do we know students are learning (Reflect); (4) What do we do when students are not learning or reaching mastery before expectation (Revise).

## Teachers

will analyze standards and test item specification during the planning process.

### **Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

The greatest need for decline is across Math content in grades 6 & 8. In FY23, 6th gr Math 42% and 8th gr Math 56%. The contributing factors to the decline was participation in after-school tutorials, student and teacher absences hands-on learning, and small group instruction. Intervention groups were interrupted due to substitute issues, and teacher capacity in the use and knowledge of technology all impacted student achievement.

Instead of waiting until the end of fall to begin closing gaps we needed to start right after returning to school. In addition, we needed to find a different way to allow for quality, standards-enriched accountable talk. In previous years we dedicated instructional time for accountable talk thus allowing students to build on prior knowledge from each other and talking through their learning. This past year we did not set time for that with fidelity. Teachers need to use strategies consistently throughout the day and provide the appropriate accommodations to meeting students learning needs.

### **Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

When looking at the FAST Progress Monitoring data for Window 3 we see the following data.

School State

ELA Achievement 53.3% 50.5%

Math Achievement 55.5% 55.3%

The data shows we have outperformed the state in ELA and Math which indicates we are moving in the right direction. ELA achievement was over the state a difference of 2.8%. While Math achievement shows we are over the state.

### **Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

In 3rd grade our proficiency level increased to 9% in ELA. This is contributed to the teachers being more aggressive in their daily monitoring of the student's achievement of the ELA standards, as well as tutoring during the day and after school.

#### Addressing improvement

Students had access to grade-level or above grade level texts. We provided opportunities to collaborate with students about the text and receive tasks that are aligned. Teachers follow up by providing students with specific feedback to address their thinking and learning needs.

#### Increase Grade 3 ELA achievement

With the rollout of the Benchmark literacy system, students receive a balanced literacy to address the reading foundation and the learning loss students faced during the FY21 school year. The Benchmark system offers explicit instruction in the whole group, phonics, decodable readers, vocabulary and shared reading. Students will learn to explore and explain their thinking using text-based evidence to support their thinking and answers.

#### Increase Grade 3 mathematics achievement

Students need opportunities to experience mathematics to learn mathematics by building, drawing, writing, talking, and thinking mathematically. Teachers received PD opportunities to learn best practices of teaching mathematics conceptually and not just procedurally through the Math Cadres provided by the

district. The Math Coach also provided PD sessions as needed.

In order to close the gaps for our students we implemented a 12-week action plan in ELA to drive our instruction based on the needs of our students using the iReady teacher directed lessons. Additionally, we instructed all of our students within their small groups within the reading block based on their needs as outlined through iReady, FAST, and NWEA. We determine the students progression of mastery through the use of teacher progress monitoring. Lastly, we have created language blocks within our daily instruction to enhance and support the language structure of our students. We also use this information to meet the needs of our students for mathematics instruction. Using the information gathered through assessments during PLC teachers disaggregate the data and determine the students; needs to formulate specific data to drive small groups. Through PLC, spiral review data, and IAF's we monitor the needs of all our students and close their gaps through science small groups and hands on experiments.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.**

Ensuring student success is at the forefront of our focus. If we address the areas of concern below, we are ensuring our students receive the support needed for growth and achievement. When looking at our Early Warning System indicators our potential areas of concern are:  
X 10% or more Absence

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.**

Professional Learning Communities focused on data analysis, planning for instruction, and best practices to ensure student growth and achievement for all students.  
Continue double down model in all ELA/Math classrooms focusing on the needs of our ESSA identified subgroups.  
Continue push in model for ELA and Math block for SWDs and ELLs.  
We will analyze student data to identify which students fall under various subgroup categories. Students who fall within our ESSA Subgroups will specifically be monitored for progress and receive additional support by teachers ensuring lessons are planned based on the specific needs of the students. In addition, we will thoroughly review ELL student data and provide support as needed.  
We plan to ensure that teachers are provided with uninterrupted collaborative planning time focused on standards-based instruction, ongoing professional development in reading, math, and science in grades 3-5, ongoing professional development, using iReady Diagnostic Results to create fluid instructional groups, and aligning human resources to provide intervention to targeted students.  
Develop a collaborative culture of learning and improvement. Engaging multiple stakeholders in the continuous improvement process can generate a sense of ownership and empowerment. With a focus on:  
Work together to develop trust, build common understanding and language, to support an appropriate level of transparency  
Learn from one another and give constructive feedback through a safe protocol that can move the work forward  
Collaboratively examine data with an equity lens—from improvement cycles, formative assessments, or other relevant data that can inform practice  
Communicate with and gather input from students, parents, and community partners about reform efforts

**Area of Focus**

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

**#1. Positive Culture and Environment specifically relating to Early Warning System**

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:**

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed. One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

We want to give all our students the opportunity to reach their potential and increase student achievement. We want to establish a culture of high expectations and continuous improvement by exposing our students to the rigor of the standard. A systematic approach to discipline enhances learning outcomes for all students. By reinforcing desired behavioral outcomes students will clearly understand expectations. Students are explicitly taught what the desired behavior should be. PBIS supports the decrease of levels of disruptiveness, rates of office referrals, and suspensions. To improve school climate, safety, in order to increase instructional time.

Attitude is Attitude Altitude(AIA) is a comprehensive learning experience that includes a curriculum where students learn and repeatedly practice skills for learning and life, known as Noble Techniques, that help them shift to be confident, proactive, respectful, compassionate students who develop healthy relationships and make responsible decisions. This experience is built upon an intentional implementation approach. If we focus on standards-based instruction to increase learning gains in school-wide ELA and Math, then we will increase student achievement for our low performing subgroups and ensure alignment to the District's Strategic Plan; This area of focus aligns directly with our District Strategic Plan.

2021-22 Accountability Components by Subgroup

ELA Achievement

All Students: 55%

SWD 30%

ELL 49%

2021-22 Accountability Components by Subgroup

Math Achievement

All Students: 39%

SWD 21%

ELL 28%

2020-21 Accountability Components by Subgroup

ELA Achievement

All Students: 55%

SWD 26%

ELL 41%

2020-21 Accountability Components by Subgroup

Math Achievement

All Students: 36%

SWD 17%

ELL 31%

ELA Achievement remained the same for all students, SWD improved 4%, ELL improved 8%.

Math Achievement improved 3% for all students, SWD improved 4%, ELL declined 3%.

**Measurable Outcome:**

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

Disciplinary incidents reported will decrease by 10% by May 2024.

**Monitoring:**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Data collection:

Classroom observation

Scheduled pulling of Attendance data

Scheduled pulling of Suspension data

Student Formative Assessment results

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Mary Beth Greene (marybeth.greenen@pbcharterschools.org)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

Schoolwide Discipline Plan

Schoolwide Attendance Plan

Attitude is Attitude Altitude(AIA)

Parent Involvement

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

Schoolwide Discipline Plan: A systematic approach to discipline enhances learning outcomes for all students. By reinforcing desired behavioral outcomes students will clearly understand expectations. Students are explicitly taught what the desired behavior should be.

Schoolwide Attendance Plan: The attendance rate is important because students are more likely to succeed in academics when they attend school consistently. It's difficult for the teacher and the class to build their skills and progress if a large number of students are frequently absent. An attendance plan will ensure all stakeholders understand the expectations and can collaborate to support all students to be in school on time and ready to learn.

AIA is a classroom management program that aims to improve student behavior plus strengthen learner engagement through a strategic system of clearly defined expectations and supports the decrease of levels of disruptiveness, rates of office referrals, and suspensions. To improve school climate, safety, and order. To increase instructional time.

Parent Involvement in schools improves student attendance, social skills, and behavior. It helps children adapt better in school.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Provide teachers with professional development to understand Schoolwide Discipline Plan, Schoolwide Attendance Plan, Attitude is Attitude Altitude(AIA), and Parent Involvement.

Ensure all expectations are clearly explained and understood.

Develop a buddy/peer support system of experienced and new teachers to ensure proper mentoring and coaching.

Ensure the school has postings of the SWDP and SWAP expectations in all common areas and in classrooms.

Monitor executions and implementation with fidelity.

Character-development program (required K-12) with curriculum to address: patriotism; responsibility;

citizenship; kindness; respect for authority, life, liberty, and personal property; honesty; charity; self-control; racial, ethnic, and religious tolerance; and cooperation.

**Person Responsible:** Mary Greene (marybeth.greene@pbcharterschools.org)

**By When:** Beginning in August and ongoing throughout the school year ending in May 2024.

## #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Benchmark-aligned Instruction

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a crucial need from the data reviewed.

One Area of Focus must be positive culture and environment. If identified for ATSI or TSI, each identified low-performing subgroup must be addressed.

If we focus on standards-based instruction to increase learning gains in school-wide ELA and Math, then we will increase student achievement and ensure alignment to the District's Strategic Plan; This area of focus aligns directly with our District Strategic Plan, Our focus is to ensure instructional practice will focus on supporting a teacher's ability to plan, implement, and assess high-quality, standards-based lessons that focus on instructional delivery practices requiring students to do the cognitive lift. Our goal is to be strategic and focus on standard-based instruction to ensure best practices utilized throughout all content areas. We want to give all our students the opportunity to reach their potential and increase student achievement. We want to establish a culture of high expectations and continuous improvement by exposing our students to the rigor of the standard. In Math we had the lowest achievement level. Ensuring teachers receive the adequate training and supports towards great instruction will lead towards positive learning gains and improvements school wide. If we focus on standards-based instruction to increase learning gains in school-wide ELA and Math, then we will increase student achievement for our low performing subgroups and ensure alignment to the District's Strategic Plan; This area of focus aligns directly with our District Strategic Plan.

#### 2021-22 Accountability Components by Subgroup

ELA Achievement

All Students: 55%

SWD 30%

ELL 49%

#### 2021-22 Accountability Components by Subgroup

Math Achievement

All Students: 39%

SWD 21%

ELL 28%

#### 2020-21 Accountability Components by Subgroup

ELA Achievement

All Students: 55%

SWD 26%

ELL 41%

#### 2020-21 Accountability Components by Subgroup

Math Achievement

All Students: 36%

SWD 17%

ELL 31%

ELA Achievement remained the same for all students, SWD improved 4%, ELL improved 8%.

Math Achievement improved 3% for all students, SWD improved 4%, ELL declined 3%.

### Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

We will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in Math by 5% in SY24, in all subgroups.

### Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.



Monitoring is a key detail in achieving student progress. It is a way of supporting learning through the adapting of instruction. It is an integral part of the continuous improvement model. Monitoring is a very important step towards student achievement and school improvement. It provides teachers and administration the data that they need to make decisions about instruction and differentiated support for the students. Our goal is to monitor for implementation and for impact.

We strategically plan for a variety of monitoring techniques:

Review of Lesson Plans, Data Analysis, Classroom walks, Student work samples/portfolio/binder reviews, Student attendance, Data Chats, Formal Observations, Professional Learning Communities attendance/participation, all Formative/Summative Assessments and Technology

The monitoring will be supported by key members of the leadership team: Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coach, Math Coach.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:**

Mary Beth Greene (marybeth.greenen@pbcharterschools.org)

**Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention being implemented for this Area of Focus (Schools identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI must include one or more evidence-based interventions.)

1. Incorporate Small group instruction to support students learning at their ability with a variety of tasks, process, and product.
2. FSA tutoring programs to ensure learning supplemented with additional resources and teacher support.
3. Math teachers will incorporate the use of technology-based programs including Imagine Math and IXL. Language Arts teachers will use Study Island, Reading Plus, novel study, and writing strategies to enhance students, ability to integrate knowledge.
4. Professional Learning Community (PLC)/Professional Development will ensure teachers collaboratively unite to focus on best practices and methodologies. PD will support the development of teacher expertise and instructional strategy success and focus.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Intervention:**

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy.

1. Incorporate small group instruction to meet the students need for standards based practice and to identify areas of weakness for targeted remediation.
2. Students who participate in the FSA tutoring program have demonstrated an increase in student achievement based on the most recent data from standardized assessments.
3. Both IXL and Imagine Math have aided in significantly increasing student achievement when the programs used with fidelity. The Reading Plus program, Study Island, and the incorporation of writing strategies such as Top Score are effective tools that enable teachers to differentiate instruction based on a students specific area of need.
4. PLC's and PD's allow teachers and leadership an opportunity to collaborate, to analyze data, and to make decisions to improve student achievement and progress.

**Tier of Evidence-based Intervention**

(Schools that use UniSIG funds for an evidence-based intervention must meet the top three levels of evidence as defined by ESSA section 8101(21)(A).)

Tier 1 - Strong Evidence

**Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?**

No

**Action Steps to Implement**

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Small group instruction will begin within the first two weeks of school. Teachers will review data from Fy23 and they will conduct formative assessments to ensure proper placement of students within the groups. The small group participation is fluid and flexible and will be updated continuously from data analysis. Small groups will continue throughout the year.

**Person Responsible:** Mary Greene (marybeth.greene@pbcharterschools.org)

**By When:** May 2024

Tutorials:

1. Analyze student data to determine students for tutorial groups and the support necessary.
2. Choose research-based supplemental materials and resources to during tutorials.
3. Analyze teacher classroom data to determine who will be tutors.
4. Provide tutors with training to understand expectations and become familiar with materials to execute tutorials.
5. Students will be selected and grouped for pullout tutorials based on data and ESSA identified low performing subgroups.

**Person Responsible:** Mary Beth Greene (marybeth.greenen@pbcharterschools.org)

**By When:** Tutorials will begin during the second semester in January 2024. Student participants will be chosen based on data. They will be grouped based on need and separated by content. Tutorials will continue through May of 2024.

Adaptive Technology

1. Provide teachers with professional development to ensure appropriate use of adaptive technology.
2. Teachers will develop a rotational schedule to ensure all students have access to technology.
3. Teachers will engage students in small group instruction based on adaptive technology results. Small groups are fluid and flexible and will be updated based on data and student needs.

**Person Responsible:** Mary Beth Greene (marybeth.greenen@pbcharterschools.org)

**By When:** Technology will begin within the second week of school. Students will participate in formative assessments using adaptive technology (add name here). Students will utilize the program during the content area block. The program will be used throughout the school year.

PLC's/Professional Development:

1. Development of a PLC schedule to include all content area teachers, resource teachers, and electives.
2. The PLCs/PD sessions will focus on data analysis and effective instruction based on the needs
3. The leadership team will develop and implement the coaching cycle to build teachers capacity with the gradual release model, small group instruction and differentiated instruction.
4. The leadership team will assist with standards-based planning to build teachers capacity with FSA standards and item specifications during PLCs. Teachers will work collaboratively to plan and develop lessons focused on strategies aligned to the standards.
5. Instructional coaches will build professional learning opportunities for teachers to utilize research-based strategies.  
based strategies.

**Person Responsible:** Mary Greene (marybeth.greene@pbcharterschools.org)

**By When:** PLCs and Professional Development will begin within the first month of the start of the new year. PDs will be determined based on data and observations of classroom walks. Coaches will support teachers with tiered PD. PLCs will focus on student achievement data analysis, best practices, and peer/ buddy support. PLC's and PD will continue throughout the school year.

## CSI, TSI and ATSI Resource Review

Describe the process to review school improvement funding allocations and ensure resources are allocated based on needs. This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI in addition to completing an Area(s) of Focus identifying interventions and activities within the SIP (ESSA 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C)).

SDPBC requires schools to complete a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) aligned to the district's 5-Year Strategic Plan in the Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS) portal. Since our schools was identified as Additional Targeted Support & Improvement (ATSI), we are provided personalized, one-on-one or small group support to assist the principal and leadership teams in developing comprehensive plans of action steps in the SIP for improving student achievement. These sessions ensure SIP and Strategic Plan alignment, provide an overview of the requirements of the School Board and school improvement updates. The training is mandatory for all principals. Principals select members of their SIP leadership teams to attend a session with them. Working in collaboration with the school leadership team, Performance Accountability/School Improvement, School Transformation and Federal/State Programs, the District ensures that the SIP, the Schoolwide Title I Plan, and other grant funded plans or allocations are in alignment with the District's Five-Year Strategic Plan and complementary in the funded strategies and supports for each school's continuous improvement. All plans are carefully reviewed and approved by the School Board and the Office of School Improvement.

Resources and allocations are focused on:

1. Resource teachers (ESOL and ESE) support during small group instruction.
2. Teachers and support staff will attend ongoing professional development to engage deep, focused, collaborative planning to support and strengthen data analysis and small group planning and implementation.
3. Professional Learning Community (PLC)/Professional Development will ensure teachers collaboratively unite to focus on best practices and methodologies.
4. Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) framework to ensure students are provided with the specific instruction, resources, time, and intensity needed for success.
5. Curriculum Resources: Curriculum resources to enhance ELA, Science, Civics & Math skills and support student mastery of the Florida B.E.S.T. standards, will support literacy across the content areas, will support social emotion growth through the resources found in the Skills for Learning & Life (SLL) Resource Center to promote character education.
6. We have partnerships with multiple community and business partners. Together the schools, partner organizations, and businesses provide additional high-quality resources and services to students and families and comprehensively focus on health and wellness, as well as academic achievement.

## Title I Requirements

### Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP) Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in the ESSA, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESSA 1114(b)(4))**

List the school's webpage\* where the SIP is made publicly available.

All Title I schools in SDPBC are required to complete a Schoolwide Plan (SWP) where the answers to these questions are addressed. This information is located on the District Title 1 website.

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.**

List the school's webpage\* where the school's Family Engagement Plan is made publicly available. (ESSA 1116(b-g))

N/A

**Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part III of the SIP. (ESSA 1114(b)(7)ii)**

N/A

**If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESSA 1114(b)(5))**

N/A